ASSOCIATION ROTC MEDAL WINNERS

This year the Coast Artillery Association resumed the practice of donating a medal to be awarded to the outstanding advanced student in each of the Coast Artillery Corps Senior ROTC units.

Due to the status of the ROTC during the war, donation of the award was suspended after 1943 but the reception which it received this year highly justifies the decision of the Executive Council to reinstate it.

Although the Association has hitherto prescribed the rules for the selection of the recipient, it was decided to leave the method to the discretion of the President of the University or College during the present period of reorganization.

A short sketch of each of this year's recipients follows:

University of Alabama: Ernest L. Brown from Mobile, Alabama. Cadet Brown is 20 years old and a graduate of the Mobile public schools. During the war he served as a radio operator in the Merchant Marine. He is Executive Officer of the Cadet Battalion and a member of four honor societies.

University of California (Berkeley): Donald F. Whistler of Palo Alto, California. Cadet Whistler is 24 years of age and holds the cadet rank of Major. During the war he served seventeen months overseas with a chemical mortar battalion and was awarded the Bronze Star and the Purple Heart Medals.

University of California at Los Angeles: James V. Traughber, who holds the cadet rank of Captain. During the war he saw overseas service with the 13th Armored Division and participated in two campaigns. He is a member of four campus societies and has been awarded a varsity letter in track.

University of Cincinnati: (Two awards were made as the previous medal donated had not been presented.) Donald D. Johnston of Wyoming, Ohio. Cadet Johnston is 22 years of age and a cadet First Lieutenant. During the war he saw service in the Navy as an Aviation Radioman 3rd Class.

The Citadel: Marion Pinckney Shuler, Jr., of Orangeburg, South Carolina. Cadet Shuler is 19 years of age and at present is a member of the band. He led the Junior ROTC CAC class with an average of 97%.

University of Delaware: Kurt L. Seligman of Arden, Delaware. Cadet Seligman is 24 years of age and holds the cadet rank of Major as Executive Officer of the ROTC Battalion. He is also a member of the varsity track and soccer teams. During the war, he saw combat service with the 102nd Infantry Division and was awarded the Purple Heart with Oak Leaf Cluster.

Fordham University: Henry H. Ives of Clifton, New Jersey. Cadet Ives is 24 years of age and commands the Cadet Battalion with the rank of Lieutenant Colonel. Cadet Ives served with the 97th Infantry Division both in the E.T.O. and the Pacific when it was redeployed. He earned two campaign stars in Europe as a member of a 105mm howitzer battalion.

Georgia School of Technology: Buck Mickel of Elberton, Georgia. Cadet Mickel holds the rank of Captain in the Cadet Corps. During the war, he was a Naval Reserve Cadet in the Merchant Marine. He is a member of six campus societies.

Hampton Institute: John C. Littlejohn of New York City, New York. Cadet Littlejohn is 20 years of age and a Cadet Captain. He is a member of five campus societies and captain of both the school track and wrestling teams.

University of Kansas: Robert A. Franklin of Kansas City, Missouri. Cadet Franklin is 19 years old and a Captain in command of the Cadet Corps. He is a graduate of the Missouri Military Academy where he received the Chicago Tribune Medal for general military merit.

Kansas State College: Joe E. Zollinger of Junction City, Kansas. Cadet Zollinger is 24 years of age and a Lieutenant Colonel in the Cadet Corps. He is a member of eight campus societies. He saw service in the E.T.O. from the fall of 1944 till the end of the war as liaison agent for the 94th Cavalry with the 14th Armored Division.

University of Maine: Clayton Edward Johnson of Bangor, Maine. Cadet Johnson is 24 years of age and is a Second Lieutenant in the Cadet Corps. During the war, he saw service in the Navy as a 2nd Class Fire Controlman and is authorized five campaign stars for service in the Pacific.

University of Minnesota: Wilson L. Wells, Jr., of Wahkom, Minnesota. Cadet Wells is 22 years of age. During the war, Cadet Wells saw service both in the Army and the Navy with the 14th Armored Division at Camp Campbell, Kentucky, and U.S.N.T.C., Bainbridge, Maryland, respectively.

Mississippi State College: Lawrence E. Baldwin of Crowley, Mississippi. Cadet Baldwin is 24 years of age and a Captain in the Cadet Corps. During the war, he spent five and one-half years in the Army including six months on Okinawa.

University of New Hampshire: James S. Weeks of Keene, New Hampshire. Cadet Weeks is Lieutenant Colonel of the ROTC Battalion. He is a member of four campus societies. During the war, he earned three battle stars for campaigns in the Pacific during thirty months overseas.

University of Pittsburgh: Joseph L. Walker, Jr. Cadet Walker is 25 years of age and is Cadet Battalion Commander with the rank of Captain. He is a member of three campus societies. In the war, he earned three battle stars for campaigns in the Pacific.

University of San Francisco: John F. Marias of Piedmont, California. Cadet Marias is 22 years of age and a Cadet Lieutenant Colonel in command of the ROTC Battalion. He is a member of seven campus societies. While in service in the Pacific, he was awarded the Philippine Military Merit Medal with cluster, three battle stars and one arrowhead for combat service in New Guinea, Leyte, Mindoro, and Luzon.

Agricultural and Mechanical College of Texas: Edward D. Bateman of Wills Point, Texas. Cadet Bateman is 19 years of age and a Captain in the Cadet Corps. He is a member of two campus societies.

Utah State Agricultural College: Gordon L. Schwanveldt, who is Cadet Lieutenant Colonel in command of the ROTC AAA Battalion. He is a member of two campus societies and the varsity baseball team. During the war, he served with the 4th Infantry Division in the E.T.O. and is entitled to wear the Bronze Star, Purple Heart and French Croix de Guerre medals, and the assault arrow and five battle stars on his E.T.O. ribbon.

Virginia Polytechnic Institute: Wesley L. Baum who is a First Lieutenant in the Corps of Cadets. He is a member of five campus societies besides being active in intramural athletics.

Washington University: Edward F. Esler of St. Louis, Missouri. Cadet Esler is 22 years of age and holds the rank of Cadet Major. He is a member of three campus societies. He served with the 95th Infantry Division in Europe and was awarded the Purple Heart Medal for being wounded in action.

University of Washington: Robert P. Hungate of Kent, Washington. Cadet Hungate is 21 years of age and is a Second Lieutenant in the Cadet Corps. He is a member of one campus society. During the war, he served with the Army Air Forces Communications System.
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EGIDOR
A Tradition

By Colonel William C. Braly, CAC, Retired

(Editor's Note. The accompanying article is based on
records contained in the author's Operations Office
Diary which he carried from Corregidor through more
than three years in Japanese prison camps, and his per-
sonal diary, found when Corregidor was re-taken, and
eventually returned to his wife. The play by play ac-
count herein is therefore absolutely authentic.)

WAR COMES

A telephone in the Harbor Defense Command Post
jangled noisily, jarring the early morning quiet of 8 De-
cember, 1941. As I picked up the receiver, the clock indi-
cated 3:40 A.M. The voice I heard was that of Captam
Bob Brown, Aide-de-camp to Major General George F.
Moore, Commanding General of the Harbor Defenses of
Manila and Subic Bays.

"Rudie Fabiani just phoned me two messages he picked
up a few minutes ago," said Bob. "Let's have 'em," said I.

"Hostilities commenced with air raids on Pearl," and,
"Air raids on Pearl Harbor. THIS IS NOT DRILL!"

Both messages were signed by the Naval Commander-in-
Chief, General Moore, who had also gotten the word from
Fabian, came at once to the Command Post, accompanied
by Colonel Joseph F. Cottrell, the Harbor Defense Executive,
and followed shortly by several other staff officers.
This was IT. The war we had feared was inevitable had ar-
ived. A few days earlier I had received a letter from my
wife written in San Francisco on 18 November, 1941 in
which she remarked:

"If war comes, and everyone says it will, I suppose I need
not look for you soon." Little she knew how right she was.

For several months we had been rotating one third of the
command in positions of readiness each month, and for the
past eight days all units on the fortified islands of Manila
and Subic Bays had been at battle stations, under continu-
ous alert. Thus the transition to actual war conditions was
slight indeed.

General Moore promptly issued the necessary orders to
accomplish this and transmitted the text of the above mes-
ges to General MacArthur's Headquarters in Manila,
designated USAFFE. Then, at 6:02 A.M. this communi-
cation was received from the Manila Headquarters:

"A state of war exists between the United States and
Japan. Govern yourself accordingly." That made it of-
icial.

Our portion of General MacArthur's U.S. Forces in the
Far East consisted then of approximately 275 officers, 2,000
American enlisted men, 1,200 Philippine Scouts and 400
Philippine Army personnel. These trO~s were di-
distributed among the four fortified islands stretch-
ing 10 an megular

The longest range cannon in the Harbor Defenses were
two batteries of 12" guns on Corregidor with all around
fire and a horizontal range of about 30,000 yards. There
were also other 12" gun batteries, besides mortars for high
angle fire, and smaller calibers. At the other three Manila
Bay forts, calibers varied from 3" to 14", while at Fort Wint
the heaviest guns were 10".

All heavy seacoast armament was of pre-World War I
type and had been emplaced prior to the present day con-
cept of air warfare. Thus, while formidably designed to
repel any attack from sea, many batteries were wide open
to aerial bombing as well as vulnerable to artillery fire from
their rear, such as enemy batteries firing from the adjacent
shores of Bataan or Cavite Provinces. The protection of

Lt. Rudolph J. Fabian, USN, in charge of Navy Radio Intercept Station
Corregidor.
these flanks was not a Harbor Defense function but depended on such mobile forces as were available in the Philippines.

Antiaircraft units were equipped with pre-war 3" AA guns, caliber .50 machine guns and Sperry 60" searchlights. Two radio direction finding sets were received in October 1941.

A brief word on the tactical organization may be worth while. The three major elements of the defense were:

1. The Seaward Defense Command, under Colonel Paul D. Bunker, CAC.
   Troops: 59th CA (American) (less Battery I)
   91st CA (Philippine Scouts) (less Batteries C and E)
   92d CA (Philippine Scouts)
   1st CA (Philippine Army)

   Troops: 60th CA (American)
   Batteries I-59th, C-91st and E-91st CA.

3. The Beach Defense Command, with Colonel Sam McCullough as Executive for Beach Defense.
   Troops: Alternate mission for all troops.

For anyone serving in the Philippines during the years immediately preceding World War II the thought of war with Japan was ever present, including the possibility of a surprise landing attack prior to any formal declaration of war. War plans therefore provided a dual assignment for all Harbor Defense troops:

1st: An M-Day assignment to their organic armament.

2d: An assignment to emergency beach defense missions in the event of such a surprise landing attack.

These plans, or variations thereof, had been in effect for several years.

As time progressed through 1941 the wisdom of such plans became increasingly evident. Accordingly, with the availability of long delayed appropriations, intensive preparations were instituted for the provision of such means as were possible to increase the effectiveness of the Harbor Defenses. Many of the projects thus initiated contributed to the story that follows.

Among the more important of these was the laying of the mine fields across the entrance channels to Manila Bay in the summer of 1941. The Coast Artillery controlled mine field closed the north channel while the Navy contact mine field effectively blocked the south channel.

When news of the Pearl Harbor attack was flashed to shipping in Philippine waters there was a general rush for the safety of Manila Bay. Throughout the day on 8 December, vessels of all types were entering the bay through the controlled mine field, always with coordination between the Navy Inshore Patrol and our Seaward Defense Command.

Our first Air Raid Alarm came at 10:26 A.M. when a flight of 17 enemy medium bombers was reported from the direction of Neilson Airport, near Manila. The flight turned off without coming within range.

At 1:15 P.M. however, three medium bombers passed just east of Corregidor and Fort Hughes. Two antiaircraft batteries, I-59th and D-60th, opened fire, severely damaging one plane. Thus began our "shooting war" in the Harbor Defenses of Manila Bay.

That night we had a report from Major S. A. Mellnik,
The V for victory chalkmark is clearly visible on the helmets of this 3" antiaircraft gun crew. This picture was taken on 5 January 1942.

CAC, a staff officer at Philippine Coast Artillery Command headquarters in Manila, of enemy air raids that morning on Baguio and at noon on Clark Field near Fort Stotsenburg. In the latter, many B-17's and P-38s had been destroyed on the ground, a loss we could ill afford as of course none of these were replaced.

We did get a momentary thrill from U.S. High Commissioner Francis B. Sayre's broadcast to the States on the morning of 9 December. Said he:

"The message I send to you in America is this: We on the front line are fighting to the death, for we have abiding confidence in our cause and in our leader. We know that you back home will send us help and that you will not permit divided counsels or capital-labor disputes or red tape or anything else to delay your getting effective help to us before it is too late. We are in the fight to stay. War enjoins upon us all action, action, ACTION! Time is of the essence. COME ON AMERICA!"

Many a weary month was to pass ere that longed-for help arrived.

The next few days saw Japanese landings at Aparri and Vigan in northern Luzon while small enemy forces appeared at Legaspi and other southern ports. From bases in Formosa, Japanese air forces were carrying out daily heavy bombings of Cavite Navy Yard, Neilson Airport, Nichols Field and the Manila Port Area. They would arrive about noon, unload their bombs, then swing out past the fortified islands on their return to base, being careful to keep well out of range of most of our antiaircraft batteries.

At that time B-60th was the only battery equipped with mechanical fuzed ammunition reaching to the normal enemy bombing altitude of around 30,000 feet. Even so a number of medium bombers were shot down during these early raids over Corregidor, southern Bataan and Fort Wint.

Meanwhile, all organizations were busy digging in, camoufllaging, and sand-bagging their positions. While a few of the shelters might have been considered bomb-proof most were of splinter-proof type construction. All seacoast batteries with an exposed flank or rear toward Bataan erected protective barricades of timber, rails, oil drums filled with earth or any other materials available.

On 14 December, the Harbor Defense Command Post was moved from Topside, Corregidor, to Lateral No. 2 in Malinta Tunnel where it functioned throughout the campaign. This was one of the numerous smaller tunnels opening off the main passageway under Malinta Hill. The Station Hospital had already occupied its Malinta Tunnel quarters as had the Navy.

By agreement with the Navy, all lighthouses in the vicinity of Manila Bay had been extinguished. General MacArthur however authorized the occasional use of Corregidor Light to facilitate the entrance of submarines. For each entry a secret schedule was agreed upon for the light. The Navy maintained a "control ship" inside the bay near the entrance to the channel through the controlled mine fields. During passage of the submarine, the mines were to be on "safe," with the channel marking buoys illuminated by seacoast searchlights on Corregidor. Action by the Naval In-
The remainder of the outfit, under Colonel S. L. Howard, USMC, arrived on the evening of 27 December, making a total of about 125 officers and 1400 men. The regiment, supplemented by Coast Artillery troops manned 75mm and 155mm guns, took over the Beach Defenses of Corregidor.

In conformity with the general withdrawal plan, General MacArthur had ordered Fort Wint evacuated on Christmas Day. At 6:15 P.M., Colonel Napoleon Boudreau, the Fort Commander, radioed in code: "All personnel evacuated with matériel as directed." That included 34 officers, 505 enlisted men, 2 ten-ton tractors, 2-155mm guns, 4-3" antiaircraft guns with fire control equipment, ammunition for both calibers, and 1-60" antiaircraft searchlight.

The remaining seacoast armament was rendered unserviceable prior to evacuation. All troops from Fort Wint joined the Bataan forces. Colonel Boudreau himself rejoined the Harbor Defenses and was assigned to command Fort Frank, which position he held until surrender.

As December wore on, the Japanese established their own air bases on Luzon and proceeded to bomb their objectives at will. All day long their planes could be seen circling over Manila Bay, Bataan and Cavite. Three weeks of aerial bombardment made a shambles of the Cavite Navy Yard, wrecked Neilson Airport and Nichols Field, and left the bay dotted with burning or sinking vessels. On 29 December the attack switched to Corregidor.

**Initial Aerial Bombardment Period**

After a quiet morning with fleeting clouds at about 18,000 feet, the Air Raid Alarm sounded at 11:54 A.M. and the antiaircraft guns opened fire. The attacking force which consisted of 81 medium bombers and 10 dive bombers, approached in formations of 27, broke into smaller groups of 9 each, and passed over us in waves, raining bombs on our little island. Between runs, the dive bombers were strafing the batteries with machine-gun fire. The attack lasted until 2:15 P.M. by which time all of Corregidor had been blasted with 300 lb. bombs.

First to be hit was the Station Hospital, with its huge Red Cross clearly painted on the roof. Soon the Tospide Cine, Corregidor Club, and numerous other wooden structures were burning as was the Navy gasoline storage dump at the tail of the island. The antiaircraft gun batteries came in for heavy bombing, especially B-60ths. Also hit were the various barracks and quarters, water tanks, 60th CA garage, and shipping in Corregidor Bay. Two Philippine Army observation planes at Kindley Field were also destroyed.

Power, water and communication lines generally were disrupted. Casualties were 22 killed and about 80 wounded. In my rounds I discovered that one bomb had hit just outside the quarters which Colonel Louis Bowlan and I shared, blowing out all doors and windows in the house and really wrecking the place. Miraculously, Colonel Bowlan’s two beautiful Persian cats had escaped injury. Our Filipino house boy took to the bushes but showed up later. He wanted a pass to go to Manila “to see about his brother.” He got it. I didn’t blame him; I had no “brother in Manila.” Everyone realized that this was only the beginning and steeled himself for what lay ahead.

Our antiaircraft meanwhile had exacted a heavy toll...
from the enemy by shooting down 9 medium bombers and 4 strafing planes, for which they were officially commended by the Harbor Defense Commander. The Japs had made the mistake of coming in at around 20,000 feet. They immediately raised their bombing altitude to about 30,000 feet. Their propaganda radio in Tokyo then proudly announced that "the raid on Corregidor by Japanese planes was so successful every battery on the island has been silenced, the 'Rock' is in flames, and Corregidor as a fortress is now as good as useless." Time would tell.

In the late afternoon of the next day, outside the east portal of Malinta Tunnel, were held the Inaugural Ceremonies of the President of the Philippine Commonwealth. The oath of office was administered to Mr. Quezon by the Honorable Jose Abad Santos, Chief Justice, Supreme Court of the Philippines, after which the President made a brief inaugural address. He was followed by His Excellency, Francis S. Sayre, U.S. High Commissioner to the Philippines, who spoke well for the United States, then read a message from President Roosevelt. After the oath of office to the Vice-President, Mr. Osmena, General MacArthur spoke briefly but impressively. It was evident that he was deeply moved. The National Anthems of the Philippines and the United States were to have been played by the 91st Coast Artillery Band, closing the program, but the enemy bombing of the previous day burned their barracks and instruments so a small organ, played by one of the ladies in Mr. Quezon's party, sufficed instead.

January was a not so Happy New Year. The Japs were almost in Manila. The rear echelon of USAFFE pulled out just ahead of them for Corregidor after destroying records, Port Area warehouses, and installations. During the forenoon a Jap fighter plane swooped low over Kindley Field, a small air strip on the tail of Corregidor. Battery M-60th CA (anti-aircraft machine guns) opened fire and after the first bursts, the plane crashed near a Navy small boat in Manila Bay. Many vessels from Manila, including President Quezon's yacht the Casiana, had taken refuge under the shelter of our Corregidor batteries. Just before the evening meal, I heard the President phoning to Mr. Jorge Vargas, his former Secretary, whom he had left as his representative in Manila. His order was: 'You go to the treasury Jorge, and burn every — thing there, TONIGHT!' 2 January dawned cloudy with a ceiling of about 5,000 feet. We did not like it and sure enough, the Jap bombers took advantage to attack, dropping through the clouds, letting go wherever they happened to be, and disappearing into the clouds again. By 2:30 p.m., 54 enemy planes were operating in the area of the defense. The attack concentrated on Topside, pounding the barracks and quarters again. The car barn, a wooden structure, was hit and burned with several casualties. The Command Post of Battery L-60th, on South Shore Road, received a direct hit, killing the battery commander, Captain Alva L. Hamilton, and three of his men. Total casualties were 13 killed and about 30 wounded.

There ensued several consecutive days of bombing. From fragments assembled it appeared that 1,000 lb. bombs were being used, making craters 40-50 feet across. At Battery Geary (12" mortars) where the assigned personnel (from Battery H-59th) had been constructing a bomb-proof shelter, 34 men took cover in the incompleted structure when the Air Raid Alarm sounded. Unfortunately a large bomb hit adjacent to the shelter, collapsing it on the occupants. Three men were gotten out, injured and shocked,

The gallant defenders of Corregidor surrender after making the capture of the island a most bitter and costly campaign for the Japs.
but the other 31 were completely lost beneath the debris.

The Quezon yacht *Casiana* became a casualty in Corregidor Bay during this period. Only her masts remained above water with the American and Filipino flags flying. The week's bombing disrupted water, power, and telephone lines all over the island but emergency repair details soon restored service at most essential points.

When, on 5 January, our ration allowance was cut in half, most batteries adopted the two meals per day schedule. At Battery C-91st a muzzle burst from a defective antiaircraft round killed 4 and wounded 8, including the battery commander, Captain Jack Gulick, and his executive officer, Lieutenant Morris Shoss. Meanwhile the antiaircraft gun batteries were knocking them down daily in line with their new slogan, "Keep 'em falling." A recapitulation to date showed a total of 75 enemy planes downed by antiaircraft fire of the harbor defenses and the 200th and 515th AA Regiments in Bataan. At the price they were paying, we felt we could take a lot more of their bombing. The Japs evidently decided it was rather expensive and we were given a week's respite.

On 13 January a small Japanese vessel approached Ternate on the Cavite shore but retreated promptly when Fort Drum opened fire with a 3" gun installed that morning.

The next day two flights of bombers returned to the Corregidor attack, hitting Topside mainly. The lighthouse and surrounding buildings were damaged and there was a huge crater in front of the Post Telephone Switchboard Room but sandbag protection prevented damage to that facility. 4 mules were killed at the picket line in Government Ravine. These were carved up and the mule meat distributed to the various messes. Not bad either. We made it an expensive operation for the enemy by shooting down 4 of the raiding planes.

**Cavite Artillery Bombardment Period**

The middle of January witnessed a change in enemy tactics. He was, by our AAAIS detail in Cavite Province, emplacing artillery in defiladed positions between the villages of Sapong and Ternate on the bay shore. His air activity over the harbor defenses was restricted to observation only ("Photo Joe" we called the daily morning observer), while on the west coast of Bataan he landed detachments in rear of our lines. This resulted in a request from our forces in Bataan for artillery fire on an enemy concentration on Longoskawayan Point which was answered at 12:13 A.M. 26 January by Battery Geary (12" mortars).

Sixteen rounds of 700 lb., point detonating, instantaneous fuze, personnel shells were used and proved most effective. According to our observers on Pucot Hill, Bataan, some fragments flew 500 yards and a large fire was started. This firing, under Captain Ben King as battery commander, was the first action by major caliber coast artillery guns against a hostile force since Fort Sumter in 1861. The next morning 24 more rounds were fired, with another 16 on the 29th.

A G-3 Information Bulletin issued by USAFFE on February 2 stated:

A wounded Japanese prisoner captured at Longoskawayan Point, during interrogation by G-2 HPD was asked: "What effect did the large artillery shell fire have on your force?" Answer: "We were terrified. We could not know where the big shells or bombs were coming from; they seemed to be falling from the sky. Before I was wounded my head was going round and round, and I did not know what to do. Some of my companions jumped off the cliff to escape the terrible fire." It would seem that the 12" mortar fire from Corregidor did a bang-up good job.

As enemy activity in Cavite Province increased it was
Paratroopers make the initial landing on the bomb-battered terrain of Corregidor on 16 February 1945 during its recapture.

decided to take their operations under fire from Fort Frank. On 31 January Battery Koehler (eight 12" mortars), which had not been fired in several years and never at an enemy, blasted 30 rounds at a Japanese concentration near Ternate, and Battery Frank North (four 155mm guns), 36 rounds. It was evident by that time that we had a land war on our hands and not an operation against naval vessels. The "forgotten guns" that could fire back inside the bay were coming into their own. Thereafter, for many weeks, some of the seacoast batteries which could fire on the south mainland were in action almost daily, especially those at Forts Frank, Drum, and Hughes.

Observation was very difficult from the fortified islands but Captain Richard G. Ivey, 60th CA, with a small party of enlisted men, all volunteers, using a walkie-talkie radio set at an observation point on the mainland, gave spotting data until his detail was attacked and driven out.

There was considerable undercover excitement the night of 3 February when a fleet submarine came in, direct from Honolulu, bringing 2750 rounds of sorely needed 3" anti-aircraft mechanical fuze ammunition. This enabled the Anti-aircraft Defense Commander to equip one more battery (C-60th) with the longer range ammunition. The submarine loaded up with torpedoes and about $4,000,000 in gold bullion from the Philippine Treasury vault on Corregidor, submerged east of Corregidor until the next night, then was passed through the mine fields safely and eventually reached Australia with her valuable cargo.

With her went my good friend Lieutenant Colonel Warren Clear, a War Department Intelligence Officer who had been roving the Far East before the war. I went down to the south dock to see him off in the darkness, little dreaming that his report of our parting would reach my family and hundreds of friends through his subsequent story in the Reader's Digest.

Shortly after 8:00 A.M. on 6 February, Forts Drum and Hughes received the first enemy artillery fire against the fortified islands. A few minutes later we on Corregidor were hearing the familiar "whiz-bangs" of 1918, shrill whistles ending in detonations as the shells landed. The bombardment came from 105mm batteries on the Cavite mainland and lasted until about 11:00 A.M., with Fort Drum receiving the heaviest attack. Although hit by approximately 100 shells, her fighting strength was unimpaired. (We drew little comfort that day from a news report that an American Expeditionary Force had landed in Ireland!)

Meanwhile the various vessels which had taken refuge in San Jose Bay south of corregidor moved over to the Bataan side of the island to escape the artillery fire from Cavite Province. These included several harbor boats and launches, and three Yangtze River navy gunboats, the Oahu, Luzon, and Mindanao. These were left behind when Admiral Hart and his Asiatic Fleet took off immediately after Pearl Harbor.

I spent the period of February 13 and 14 on a staff visit to our various Coast Artillery organizations in Bataan of which there were quite a few. Batteries B, D, and H, 92d CA, were all manning 155mm guns there; G-60th and C-91st had anti-aircraft guns, while E-60th was an anti-aircraft searchlight battery. A Philippine Army outfit under Lieutenant Al D'Arezzo, 92d CA, was manning an 8" railway gun on concrete emplacement at Sasayin Point, up the

(continued on page 36)
THE FUTURE OF JET PROPULSION

At the present time, six basic types of aircraft power plants are under development—the reciprocating engine, the turbo-prop engine, the turbo-jet engine, the pulse jet engine, the ram jet engine, and the rocket engine.

We pointed out in the previous installment of this article that the efficiency of the propeller-reciprocating engine combination drops off at speeds in excess of 450 mph because of the compressibility effects of the air on propeller efficiency.

In order to overcome the size and weight disadvantages of the reciprocating engine, we must look to a new type of power plant—the thermal jet engine. We shall see, in the discussion of thermal jet units, that each type of engine has its particular application and that we cannot designate any one type as the ideal jet engine of the future.

The thermal jet power plant has certain distinct advantages over the reciprocating engine. These may be summarized as follows:

1. The elimination of the propeller results in:
   a. The removal of speed and altitude limitations as encountered with the reciprocating and turbo-prop engines.
   b. A considerable weight reduction which allows the utilization of lighter and less complicated landing gear assemblies.
   c. The design of airplanes closer to the ground, since no propeller clearance is required.
   d. A reduction in frontal areas, thus affording better streamlining of aircraft designs, since the jet engines can be completely enclosed in the wings or fuselage of the airplane.

2. Jet engines* can, in general, use a wider range of fuels, including lower grade fuels such as kerosene to the high octane fuels. This does not apply to rocket engines, however, in which special types of liquid propellant fuels are utilized.

3. The power produced by jet engines is applied directly to the propulsion of the aircraft, and eliminates the requirement for complicated transmission or power conversion mechanisms.

4. Thermal jet units can be built with a considerable reduction in weight and size for a given output as compared with a reciprocating engine. Thus, jet engines provide greater specific horsepower, i.e., horsepower output per pound of engine weight.

5. In the case of the gas turbine type of jet engine, dynamically balanced rotary components can be used exclusively, thus enabling higher operating speeds to be obtained as compared with the reciprocating engine. Also, lubrication of this type of engine is comparatively simple since it incorporates only one major...
6. Jet engines require no warm-up as do reciprocating engines.

7. The operation of the jet engine is smooth, with the exception of the pulse jet engine which is not intended for application to passenger carrying aircraft. Thus, jet propelled airplanes will afford more comfortable and smoother air travel than that obtainable with the reciprocating engine.

Having discussed the advantages of jet engines, let us now consider their main disadvantage—high fuel consumption. Figure 1 illustrates the trends in fuel consumption with flight velocity for the various types of engines.

You can see from Figure 1 that the rocket engine has a high specific fuel consumption, regardless of flight velocity. The specific fuel consumption of the ram jet engine is high at low velocities, but improves with an increase in flight velocity until it approaches that of the reciprocating engine at supersonic speeds in the magnitude of 2000 mph. The fuel consumption of a ram jet is high at low velocities because the ram pressure is too low for efficient acceleration of the gases. As the velocity is increased, the pressure rises and the efficiency improves. For very high velocities, the ram jet engine should have a lower specific fuel consumption than any other of the thermal jet engines. The specific fuel consumptions of the pulse jet engine and the turbo jet engine bridge the complete region between the ram jet engine and the reciprocating engine as indicated in Figure 1.

The relationship of thrust horsepower output with speed for the various types of engines is shown in Figure 2.

\[
\text{THP} = \frac{\text{lbs. thrust}}{\text{engine speed}}
\]

The propeller type engines, such as the reciprocating engine and the turbo-prop engine, have the advantages of providing thrust horsepower output at zero speed, and therefore, have better take-off characteristics. Thus propeller type engines lend themselves to application on heavy payload aircraft, such as cargo aircraft and transports, which require high power outputs for take-off on comparatively short runways. Figure 2 indicates, furthermore, that the rocket and ram jet engines will provide the greatest power outputs at very high speeds and that the ram jet comes into its own at speeds greater than 1500 miles per hour and surpasses the rocket in power output. It is pointed out that the set of curves in Figure 2 is computed at sea level and that at higher altitudes the speeds at which the ram jet surpasses the rocket in power output become higher because of the rarer atmosphere. Of course, since the ram jet is an air breathing engine, it becomes inoperative at altitudes beyond the atmosphere.

In order to synthesize our ideas as to the application of the various types of engines we have prepared a chart, Figure 3, which summarizes some of the salient performance characteristics of the various types of power plants.

The predictions made in Figure 3 are conditioned by numerous problems which must be solved before development in the field of jet propulsion can reach its ultimate stages.

**Problems To Be Solved**

Although the thermal jet engine is striking in its simplicity, as compared with the reciprocating engine, there are several major problems involved with the development of jet propulsion engines. Briefly, these are:

1. **Problems of Combustion.**—These problems are concerned with the maintenance of combustion in a rapidly moving current of air which may be greatly in excess of the amount of air required to furnish the oxygen needed for combustion.

2. **Problems of Metallurgy.**—The major problems under this head relate to a search for high temperature resisting metals with high tensile strength and other properties for the construction of turbine wheels and buckets as required in the case of gas turbine types of engines.

3. **Problems of Structural Design.**—New materials and
TABLE OF PREDICTED PERFORMANCE
OF VARIOUS ENGINES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENGINE TYPE</th>
<th>ENGINE CLASIFICATION</th>
<th>RELATIVE PRINCIPAL SIZE</th>
<th>RELATIVE WEIGHT OF FUEL FOR A COVER STATION</th>
<th>MAXIMUM SPEED</th>
<th>MAX. EFFICIENT PERFORMANCE</th>
<th>PRIMARY APPLICATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TURBO-JET</td>
<td>Convectlonal, direct,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UP TO 450</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>Long range, high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>combustor, turbine,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>flying transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ramjet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUSEL-JET</td>
<td>Convectlonal, direct,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UP TO 550</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>Long range, high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>combustor, turbine,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>flying transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ramjet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAM-JET</td>
<td>Convectlonal, direct,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>350-1500</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>High speed, short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>combustor, turbine,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>range flight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ramjet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROCKET</td>
<td>Integrated, direct,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200-750</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>High speed, short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>combustor, turbine,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>range flight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ramjet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>600-3000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>High speed, short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ramjet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>range flight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3

new methods of installing and arranging parts with respect to each other may result in marked improvements over present-day types of jet propulsion engines.

4. Problems of Supersonic Speed.—Whereas jet propulsion power plants come into their own at sonic and supersonic speeds, the same is far from true for the remainder of the airplane. Therefore, fundamental and advanced research on the aerodynamics of sonic and supersonic speeds is of highest importance. At sonic and supersonic speeds, the shock, or compression waves formed, greatly increases the resistance, or drag, of the object, and changes greatly the distribution of pressure over its surface. Thus, control surfaces properly adapted for use at normal speeds may fail utterly to furnish control at sonic and supersonic speeds. A continuing and active research in the field of supersonic aerodynamics must go hand in hand with the study of ways and means for the improvement of jet propulsion power plants.

The great vigor with which the problems of supersonic speeds are being attacked by the Army and Navy gives great promise for the solution of these problems in the future. But, conceding the solution of these problems, what will the supersonic airplane of the future look like and what will it do? Mr. Hall Hibbard of the Lockheed Aircraft Corporation says that in appearance the supersonic airplane will compare with the present design, but that it will be modified for high speed; that its fuselage will have a long pointed nose; that it will have wing and control surfaces with sharp leading edges to provide lift and maneuverability for taking off and climbing through the atmosphere and also for descent and landing of the airplane; that the wings and control surfaces would be useless at high cruising altitudes and that all steering would be done by a directable jet exhaust nozzle; that an airright cabin will be required to maintain pressurization at high altitudes and that oxygen will be required for breathing purposes.

This prediction of a future passenger-carrying airplane seems to be fairly logical and it seems to agree with the idea of National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics whose conception of a passenger-carrying supersonic airliner is shown in Figure 4, which you may remember seeing in the 6 January 1947 edition of Life Magazine. This futuristic airliner design would be capable of operating at a speed of 1000 MPH at 35,000 feet. Friction at that speed would heat the plane to 113°F., but the passengers would be comfortable because the cabin would be refrigerated and pressurized to the proper temperature and pressure conditions. The propulsion of the aircraft would be accomplished by seven engines of three different types—the turbo-jet, the ram jet, and the rocket. The turbo-jets would propel the airplane to a speed of approximately 600 MPH; the rockets would then take over and propel the airplane through the sonic barrier; and then the ram jets would take over when the rockets were expended and maintain the airplane’s cruising speed at 1000 MPH.

However, as pointed out by the editors of Life Magazine, the fuel consumption of this type of aircraft is prohibitive and thus its eventual development may be dependent upon the development of atomic power plants for aircraft propulsion.

Before leaving this discussion of the airliner of tomorrow, let us say a word about the "Buck Rogers" type of rocket plane which we usually visualize as the space ship of the future. Many engineers believe that bullet-shaped rocket planes, without wings, are impractical for carrying humans because of the sudden acceleration required to propel such an airship into space. They point out that a human can comfortably withstand a maximum acceleration force only 3 G’s—three times the pull of gravity—whereas an
acceleration of 9 G's is required to escape from the earth's atmosphere. Furthermore, they point out that such a rocket plane could not slow down for a safe and comfortable landing.

The Future of Guided Missiles

In general, missiles may be divided into three basic types:

1. Short Range Missiles.—The barrage type rockets which are in this class have a range of a few thousand yards and are of relatively low accuracy.

2. Intermediate Range Missiles.—This type of rocket is fired as from a gun barrel as a projectile with the rocket engine starting to burn after the velocity of the missile has slowed down. The range of this type of missile is from 40 to 60 miles.

3. Long Range Missiles.—The long range missile is used to attack extensive targets at a long range. The V-2 rocket, which was fired against London at a distance of 300 miles, is an example of this type of missile.

The three basic types of missiles may be classified as follows:

1. Air launched to air targets.
2. Air launched to surface targets.
3. Surface launched to air targets.
4. Surface launched to surface targets.

Guided missiles have three major problems; high fuel consumption, heat-resistant alloys for combustion chamber construction, and controls. The fuel consumption problem may be solved by atomic power. However, the control problem may be a more difficult one.

The remote control of missiles requires the employment of an "electric guiding system," a servo-mechanism, for the purpose of insuring flight stability. This guiding system can be broken down into the following elements:

1. Stabilization Controls.—Deviations in angle of attack, yaw and pitch, are corrected by the Gyro system. Three different gyros corresponding to these three angular degrees of freedom, act as stabilizers for deviation from the normal values (pre-set values before launching).

2. Steering Controls.—Azimuth accuracy of the missile can be maintained by a radio beam system similar to the ordinary commercial aircraft navigation beam system. Another unique method of steering control of subsonic missiles is the wire control system. In this system, a coil of very fine steel wire is mounted on each wing tip of the missile and a mating coil is mounted on the parent airplane. During flight of the missile, the wire is paid out by the missile while moving away from the launching airplane. Steering control of the missile could be maintained up to 15 to 18 miles by sending electrical signals along the wire. This method of steering control was first patented in 1917 by the Germans and was developed during World War II.

3. Flight Controls.—These controls consist of aerodynamic control surfaces, rudders, and exhaust jet rudders.

The subject of remote controls is highly technical and, therefore, no attempt is made to fully discuss it. However,
it will suffice to say that the stabilization steering control system sends out electrical signals which, in turn, activate the flight controls, thereby keeping the missile on the flight path to the target. It is apparent from this discussion that a missile is only as good as its controls, assuming, of course, that the power plant can propel it over the required range.

**Summary**

It is difficult at this time to state with any degree of certainty that the speeds and configurations of the supersonic airplanes and guided missiles of tomorrow will conform to the predictions which we have made in this article. Many aerodynamic and mechanical problems remain to be solved before supersonic flight can be achieved—and when supersonic flight is finally achieved, who knows but what our present theories on the subject may be completely revised. Of one thing we can be sure, however, and that is that jet propulsion will play an increasingly important part in the future of air travel and aerial warfare. In this latter respect, the Coast Artillery, in the accomplishment of its mission of National Defense, will probably employ jet propelled missiles of some of the types discussed above. Therefore, jet propulsion is a field of development in which Coast Artillery Officers should have a keen interest.

**ORC Guided Missile Battalion at Fort Bliss**

It is quite natural that the first guided missile battalion to be organized among the Civilian Components of the Army should get its start at The Antiaircraft Artillery and Guided Missile Center at Fort Bliss, Texas. And it normally follows that the officers of the new reserve battalion should hail from the Texas border city of El Paso which is adjacent to Fort Bliss and less than fifty miles from the White Sands Ordnance Proving Grounds in New Mexico.

The 400 Army Ground Force Reserve Officers in the El Paso area have their headquarters and hold their regular meetings at Fort Bliss. Officers from the Antiaircraft and Guided Missiles Branch of The Artillery School frequently visit and serve as instructors at the ORC troop schools. The Guided Missile Museum and the specialists of the Army Ground Forces Board No. 4 are also available to add stimulus and increase interest in rockets and rocket development. And at near-by White Sands Proving Ground, experimental German V-2 rockets are being launched to explore the stratosphere and to advance scientific knowledge of rocket behavior.

In this atmosphere, the first ORC guided missile battalion was born and is taking its first steps under the able guidance of the Antiaircraft Artillery and Guided Missile Center. The fact that no such unit as a guided missile battalion exists in the troop basis for ORC units did not long deter Lieutenant Colonel W. L. Sanders, El Paso Area ORC Instructor, and his Reserve Officer rocket enthusiasts. Nor did it keep the Commanding General of the Antiaircraft Artillery and Guided Missile Center from making available to the pioneer battalion all of the facilities and resources of the Center, the Artillery School Branch and AGF Board No. 4 and the valuable experience of the 1st Guided Missile battalion.

Under authority from the Commanding General of the Fourth Army, the new guided missile battalion has been organized within the 482d ORC Composite Group, with the assistance and addition of officers from the 483d Group.

The organization of the new battalion is based on the proposed T/O&E that has been recommended for the Army Ground Forces 1st Guided Missile Battalion now actively engaged in training and guided missile development at White Sands Proving Ground. Great care is being exercised in the selection of qualified Reserve Officers to fill the variety of highly technical vacancies in the new battalion. As soon as the officers are selected and the necessary specialists are available in the Enlisted Reserve Corps, it is planned to man the battalion with as near a complete component as is possible.

The mission of the new guided missile battalion parallels that of all other reserve units in that it will provide peace-time training for its personnel in their primary arm. This it should accomplish with rapidity and relative ease. The officers of the battalion are enthusiastic for their new work; they have the incentive of being pioneers in a new endeavor with the attention of the army and the entire nation focused on their activities; and there is the physical proximity to the center of the nation's guided missile development to add impetus to their program.

But with all these birthrights, the First ORC guided missile Battalion has a tremendous responsibility. It is not just another reserve unit. It is a pioneer, a new kind of outfit in a new and untried field. If it succeeds, it will form the nucleus and pattern for guided missile units among the Civilian Components just as the Army Ground Forces 1st Guided Missile Battalion is blazing the way for future Regular Army guided missile units. It will prove that even with new and relatively undeveloped weapons, the Reserve and National Guard officer can keep abreast of the latest tactics and techniques in his peacetime training.

Any other units or groups of individuals interested in the organization of similar type units will receive the full cooperation of the Antiaircraft and Guided Missile Branch of the Artillery School at Fort Bliss by addressing communications to that installation.
THE TURBO-JET

By E. S. Thompson

The constant urge of airmen to fly faster and faster has received a tremendous impetus in the past few years because of the creation of new modes of aircraft propulsion. Spurred on by the war, the development of the prop-jet, the turbo-jet, the pulse-jet and the rocket has now reached a stage which prompts many to believe that the present forms of aircraft propulsion are outmoded. Careful analysis will show that this is by no means the case.

One of these new engines, the turbo-jet, is making a bid for a large portion of future aircraft installations. It has now established itself as a fairly dependable aircraft powerplant and its characteristics appear ideal for many applications.

It is the purpose of this article to evaluate the turbo-jet with respect to other types of aircraft powerplants. Many features of the turbo-jet will require modification and further development and perhaps a somewhat new concept of design will be necessary before the turbo-jets can claim their rightful place in the aircraft field.

In order to establish the position of the turbo-jet with respect to aircraft speed and range, it will be desirable to review briefly each type of powerplant suitable for aircraft propulsion. An estimate of the maximum effective speed attainable by aircraft equipped with various types of powerplants is shown in Figure 1. Generally, the speed of an airplane increases with increase in altitude as long as the engine power can be maintained at its maximum value by supplying excess air or supercharging in the case of the reciprocating engine. An estimate of the maximum effective speed attainable by aircraft equipped with various types of powerplants is shown in Figure 1. Generally, the speed of an airplane increases with increase in altitude as long as the engine power can be maintained at its maximum value by supplying excess air or supercharging in the case of the reciprocating engine, or by maintaining the highest design compressor pressure ratio in the case of the gas turbine. The power of rockets, which do not depend upon atmospheric air, is not affected by change in altitude.

The reciprocating engine which must get along on the air it can suck in, can be brought up somewhat below its cellar position on the speed scale by the addition of an air pump driven from the engine crankshaft. Addition of a supercharger driven by a gas turbine to this type of engine allows full power operation at even higher altitudes resulting in a gain in maximum speed. A further increase in speed may be obtained in the reciprocating engine and propeller-driven aircraft by directing the exhaust pipes rearward so as to utilize the thrust from the exhaust gases. The maximum effect from the exhaust gas is obtained by using separate jets for each cylinder, or at the most by combining the exhaust gas from two cylinders.

Installation of an exhaust-gas-driven-turbosupercharger within the nacelle or fuselage in such a position that the exhaust from the turbosupercharger is in a horizontal direction opposite to the line of flight allows very effective use of the residual velocity of the exhaust gas in increasing the combined thrust of the powerplant. As altitude is increased the ratio of the thrust available from the turbosupercharger exhaust becomes a larger percentage of the total engine power. Airplanes equipped with this type of powerplant are capable of speeds of approximately 500 miles per hour. This speed limitation will be increased somewhat when new developments now underway result in acceptable propeller efficiencies at speeds above 500 miles per hour.

The turbosupercharged powerplant does not utilize the entire flow of exhaust gas from the reciprocating engine in the turbosupercharger but wastes a considerable portion of the gas through a valve or wastegate. Consideration is now being given to utilization of all the exhaust gas in the turbosupercharger and this will probably make it possible to eliminate the geared-driven supercharger within the engine and possibly result in reducing the specific fuel consumption, at least at the higher engine speeds. All the designs for increasing the relative amount of work done by the turbosupercharger, whether by directly gearing it to the reciprocating engine or not, are called "compound engines."

Figure 1—Maximum effective speed of aircraft powerplants.
The powerplant for aircraft of the next higher maximum speed is the aircraft gas turbine for propeller drive, or more popularly known as the prop-jet engine. This engine is a high-speed, turbine type, powerplant driving a propeller through a reduction gear. Air is the working fluid and either kerosene or gasoline of any octane rating is the fuel. Combustion is a continuous flow process and is self-sustained after the powerplant has been started. Ram air enters the compressor, is compressed, and passes into the combustion chambers where the gas temperature is increased by combustion of fuel. The hot gases from the combustion chambers expand through the turbine where power is developed to drive the compressor, the accessories and the propeller shaft. The energy remaining in the exhaust gases is utilized in a high velocity jet to produce additional propulsive thrust. The compressor for this engine can be of either the axial or radial flow type. Approximately 80% of the propulsive thrust is obtained from the propeller and the remainder from the jet exhaust. An airplane utilizing prop-jet engines can obtain speeds approaching 600 miles per hour and again this may be increased when higher efficiency propellers at increased speeds are available.

To advance in speed beyond 600 miles per hour, aircraft must be powered by using some form of jet propulsion system. Jet propulsion systems embrace two main types, i.e., those using atmospheric air, called jets and those not depending upon atmospheric air for combustion, called rockets. There are three main types of jets: mechanical, auxiliary and thermal.

A mechanical jet propulsion system may employ a reciprocating engine or a gas turbine for the prime mover. Forward thrust is obtained by means of an enclosed or ducted fan driven by the engine. A mechanical jet propulsion system may be designed to combine the air from the ducted fan with the higher velocity gas from the engine so as to augment or increase the velocity of the mixture.

Auxiliary jet propulsion has been referred to above in those powerplant combinations which utilize the exhaust from a reciprocating engine or a turbosupercharger. Utilization of a greater percentage of the available energy in these auxiliary jet propulsion devices reduces the amount of power required from the propeller driven by the engine, particularly at higher altitudes, and will, therefore, result in an increase in speed.

Thermal jet propulsion includes four main types. These are the Campini system, turbo-jet, aero pulse and athodyd.

The first thermal jet powered aircraft to fly was the Campini-Caproni. It made a successful ten-minute flight in Milan on August 27, 1940. The design of this engine was laid down by S. Campini in 1932. This engine utilized a standard reciprocating aircraft engine driving a compressor, whose air was then mixed with the exhaust from the reciprocating engine and fed to combustion chambers, where additional fuel was added. The resulting products of combustion were then directed through a nozzle which furnished the high velocity jet. The Campini system was quite complicated in comparison with the turbo-jet, which was developed shortly thereafter. As no relative advantages over the turbo-jet were apparent, the project was not continued.

The turbo-jet is an aircraft engine which makes use of the thrust provided by a high velocity jet of gas from a suitably shaped jet nozzle. (See Figure 2.) As in the prop-jet, air is the working fluid and either kerosene or gasoline is the fuel. Combustion is a continuous flow process and is self-sustained after the powerplant has been brought up to starting speed and the fuel ignited by a spark plug, which is used in the starting cycle only. Ram air enters the compressor, is compressed, and passes into the combustion chambers, where its temperature is increased by the combustion of fuel. On expansion of the gas through the turbine, sufficient energy is extracted to drive the compressor. The energy remaining in the gas produces a high velocity jet. Thrust is the reaction to this high velocity discharge. The compressor can be of the radial type, or of the axial flow type.

The aero pulse, or pulse jet, which does not employ a mechanically driven compressor but depends upon its forward flight for compression, is best exemplified by the German V-1 'Buzz Bomb.' This system employs a properly shaped cylinder with the diffuse air inlet periodically interrupted by shutters or valves, a fuel nozzle, a spark plug and a jet nozzle for discharging the high velocity exhaust. Since air is forced into the combustion space as a result of the forward motion of the aero pulse, it is necessary to launch this device before it will operate under its own power. Ignition is intermittent. The spark discharge explodes the fuel-air mixture, increasing the pressure in the combustion space, causing the inlet shutters to close and forcing the gas rearward through the jet nozzle. Release of the gas through the nozzle lowers the combustion space pressure below that on the forward side of the shutters, so that they open and admit air for the next cycle. Frequency of the explosions, which has a direct effect on speed, can be varied by spark discharge timing. The pulsating cycle is relatively inefficient, but the device is quite simple and inexpensive.

The athodyd, or aerothermodynamic duct, popularly known as the ramjet, is a cylinder with a diffusing air inlet and jet nozzle discharge. Fuel is supplied to the combustion space in the cylinder. After ignition, combustion is continuous. Compression of the air depends entirely upon forward motion of the athodyd through the air. The athodyd cannot be started from a static condition, but must have an initial velocity of approximately 350 miles per hour before sufficient net thrust is obtained for forward motion. The efficiency of the athodyd is very low until speeds of 800 miles per hour and above are reached. It is even simpler than the aero pulse, as there are no moving parts. An excellent description of the ram jet appeared in the January-February 1947 issue of THE COAST ARTILLERY JOURNAL.
Rockets which do not use air for combustion may be divided into four classes, depending on the type of fuel used. These are—solid propellant, liquid propellant, gas propellant and mixed or combination types.

The solid propellant rocket can be exemplified in its simplest form by the Fourth of July skyrocket in which gunpowder is the fuel. When ignited, the gunpowder burns and the resulting gas exhausts through a jet nozzle, thus developing the thrust.

Liquid propellant rockets employ various combinations, such as alcohol and oxygen, which are fed to the combustion chamber at high pressure, ignited and burned continuously. The products of combustion are directed through a jet nozzle.

Gas propellant rockets have not received much attention because of the difficulty in storing the gas. Operation of this type of rocket is similar to the liquid propellant type in that the gas is injected into the combustion chamber where it is ignited. Combustion is a continuous process, with the products of combustion being ejected through a jet nozzle.

The mixed type of rocket, as its name implies, may combine any combination of solid, liquid or gas for the propellant. The means of mixing and causing combustion varies with the combination of propellants used, but, as in other cases, the device consists of a fuel injector, a combustion chamber and a jet nozzle.

Now which types of aircraft should employ each of these kinds of engines? Obviously, there must be considerable overlapping. Speed, which is the main variable being considered here, is not the only criterion for selection of a powerplant. In borderline cases, the choice will be influenced by many other factors. Operational economy has been considered in the choices listed.

PERSONAL AIRCRAFT

The simple reciprocating engine with, or without, a geared-driven supercharger is universally used at the present time on all personal aircraft. The privately owned airplane will undoubtedly for many years to come, use this type of engine. The increased cost of the turbosupercharged or compound engine can not be justified for the low use factor prevalent in this class of airplanes. The fuel economy of the large prop-jet is poorer than that of comparable size reciprocating engines hence it is obvious that the small prop-jet will be unable to compete with the commendable performance of existing piston engines in the personal plane size. Whether their lower specific weight, relative simplicity and eventual attainment of longer life will offset the disadvantage of high fuel consumption remains to be seen. At any rate, there will be no major invasion of the prop-jet in the low powered field for at least ten years.

The turbo-jet will not find ready application to the personal plane because its efficiency below 400 miles per hour is so poor as to make it uneconomical for this purpose. Speeds of 400 miles per hour and above will not be attractive to the great majority of private flyers.

Rockets, except for assist at take-off, are out of the question because of the high speeds involved in rocket powered aircraft and because of the extremely high fuel consump-
Rockets have been used for assisted take-off of transport planes of both land based and seaplane types. Increased use of this device is expected. Use of the rocket as the main power source for commercial airplanes will inevitably follow successful military exploitation but until the attainment of supersonic speeds with safety is assured, the commercial airlines will not be interested.

MILITARY AIRCRAFT

Now let us consider the military services who have supported the development of all these new types of aircraft powerplants. At the end of World War II, production of aircraft was greatly reduced, but certain long-range bomber and pursuit projects using the dependable reciprocating engine and propeller were continued. Notable in the bomber class are the six-engine Consolidated B-36 and the four-engine Northrop B-35 and Boeing B-50. The North American P-82 pursuit is outstanding because of its long range.

But for the air force of the future, the Army and the Navy are interested in the highest speeds possible, with reasonable consideration of range and maneuverability requirements. The turbo-jet is a powerplant for high speed aircraft which has made possible the design of pursuit and bomber types of aircraft which admirably fill the requirements of the Services.

How well the turbo-jet has been accepted can best be exemplified by a look at the newest Air Forces planes now on procurement. In the pursuit class there is only one airplane in the 80 to 90 series which uses the reciprocating engine propeller combination.

The two 80 series pursuits, for which there are sizable production orders, and which have received the most attention in the past year, are the Lockheed P-80 "Shooting Star" and the Republic P-84 "Thunderjet." The flight by Colonel Council from Burbank, California to LaGuardia Field, New York on January 26, 1946 in 4 hours, 13 minutes, 26 seconds at an average speed of 586 miles per hour and recent overwater flights of more than 700 miles by several P-80 airplanes in the Pacific area indicate both the speed and reliability of this plane. The Republic P-84 "Thunderjet" now holds the official American speed record of 611 miles per hour.

The North American XFJ-1, which is flying, and the Douglas D558, soon to fly, are the latest high-speed additions to the Navy's jet powered planes. The McDonnell XFD-1 "Phantom" was the first pure jet plane to take off and land on a United States carrier. This event, which took place on July 21, 1946, proved that take-off and acceleration characteristics required for a wave-off were at least acceptable.

Combination of propeller drive and turbo-jet in one airplane offers certain characteristics of special appeal to the Navy. The first such plane was the Ryan FR-1 "Fireball" with a reciprocating-propeller engine in the forward end and a turbo-jet in the aft end of the fuselage. The latest version is the XF2R-1, with a prop-jet forward and a turbo-jet aft. The Glenn L. Martin XP4M-1 has two nacelles each equipped with a reciprocating-propeller engine forward and a turbo-jet aft. Major claims for the combined powerplant type are superior take-off and longer range, as compared with the all jet type. Long range cruising is accomplished at most economical propeller engine speed with the turbo-jet inoperative.

The turbo-jet has invaded the bomber field and although flight test results are not available, performance predictions indicate that their use for this application will be highly acceptable. The Douglas XB-43, North American XB-45, Consolidated-Vultee XB-46, Boeing XB-47, Martin XB-48 and Northrop YB-49 will all be in the air this year establishing an entirely new conception of bomber operation. (See Figure 3.) These planes range in size from the medium to the heavy bomber class and the number of turbo-jets installed varies from two to eight.
An excellent opportunity to make a comparative evaluation of jet and reciprocating engines will occur when the first YB-49 takes to the air this summer. The Northrop XB-35 “Flying Wing,” powered by four Pratt & Whitney R3360 engines and eight General Electric BF-1-1 turbo-superchargers is now undergoing shakedown flights at Muroc Army Air Base. The YB-49, is the same airplane but equipped with eight TG-180 or J-36 turbo-jets. For operation at 705 miles per hour, which is the speed at which one pound of thrust is equivalent to one horsepower, the YB-49 will have nearly three times the power available from its 8 turbo-jets as will the XB-35 from its 4 turbosupercharged-propeller engines.

The ram-jet is suitable for the main powerplant for ultra-high-speed pursuits, but such a ship would have to be launched from a catapult or a mother plane. Ram jets for extra bursts of speed in combat would be feasible.

Rockets are the obvious answer for the highest speeds, so the development of rocket powered planes for military use is underway. The first of these, the Bell XS-1, is now flying and others are on the way. Rockets have the advantage over the ram jet of being able to take off under their own power.

The helicopter is a slow-speed aircraft, but the blade tips move at speeds which make the use of turbo-jets or ram jets at their tips an attractive possibility. Dismemberment of the turbo-jet so that the main engine feeds compressed air to tip combustion chambers and jet nozzles at the tips of the wings making huge pin wheels would remove the complication of driving the rotor by means of a reciprocating engine or gas turbine. With an auxiliary starting means provided, ram jets at the wing tips offer another possibility of simplifying helicopter powerplant installations. As much of the military air program and some of the future commercial air plans are dependent upon the aircraft gas turbine, it will be well to take a brief look at the short history of its development. In comparison with the time and the amount of work expended in developing and perfecting the reciprocating engine, the prop-jet and the turbo-jet have had a relatively short existence.

Except for the pioneering work done by Group Captain Frank Whittle, there was no real concentration of effort on this program until 1940. Even spurred on because of the War, it would not have been possible to bring the various types of engines to their present state if it had not been for the work done in previous years on the various components of jet engines and on gas turbines for other than aircraft use.

Many of those in this country who were actively engaged in gas turbine work feel somewhat chagrined, for having all the components of a turbo-jet in their grasp, they did not assemble them into a jet engine at least as soon as the British and Germans did. However, with the background of experience in working with similar kinds of equipment, workers in this country were able to make rapid progress when the basic idea of jet propulsion was handed to them in the tangible form of the Whittle WIX engine. Independent development along this line was being pursued by several companies prior to receiving the Whittle engine, but the fact remains that those ideas had not progressed to the point where an engine was actually operating before the time the British engine was sent to this country.

The General Electric Company was assigned the task by the Army Air Forces in September 1941 of improving and placing into quantity production the Whittle WIX engine. Although the basic design of the Whittle engine was not altered, it was necessary to adapt it to American requirements and the resulting engine was known as the J-16 which powered the Bell P-59. This airplane, which first flew on October 2, 1942, has the distinction of being the pioneer in jet powered flight in this country. Even during attempts to get the J-16 into mass production while it was still in the development stage, it became evident that an engine of larger output would be required for a single engine pursuit airplane offering superior tactical characteristics. The General Electric J-40, or J-35, was next developed and is now being produced in considerable quantity by the Allison Division of General Motors Corporation for the Lockheed P-80 “Shooting Star.”

Paralleling the above programs, General Electric also was developing the TG-180, or J-36, turbo-jet engine and the TG-100, or T-31, prop-jet engine. These two engines differed from the “T” types in that the compressor was of the axial flow rather than the radial or centrifugal variety. Much of the fundamental data upon which designs of the axial flow compressor were based were obtained from the original research conducted by the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics at its Langley Field Laboratories in Virginia. Work on the axial flow type gas turbine was begun prior to receiving the Whittle engine, but its use was not specifically pointed towards aircraft propulsion at that time.

Entirely independent of any work being done by General Electric, the Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Company, under the sponsorship of the Navy Bureau of Aeronautics, developed and now has in production the W-19B, or J-30 and the W-24C, or J-34. These turbo-jet engines are both of the axial flow type.

During the war period, the other of the three largest American electrical manufacturers in this country, Allis-Chalmers, undertook the manufacture of the British De-Havilland H-1 engine.

At the present time there are a dozen or more concerns engaged in the development of turbo-jet or prop-jet engines. These companies, of course, include the three large reciprocating aircraft engine manufacturers, Allison Division of the General Motors Corporation, Pratt & Whitney Aircraft Company and Wright Aeronautical Corporation. The only one of these three companies now actively in production of jet engines is Allison, manufacturing the General Electric J-40 and TG-180.

Questions have recently been raised as to why the large electrical manufacturers rather than the large aircraft engine manufacturers were called upon by the Army and Navy to develop the aircraft gas turbine. Briefly the problems of the aircraft gas turbine are far more closely associated with those encountered in the steam turbine than with those encountered in the reciprocating engine. Actually, the only point of similarity between the gas turbine and the reciprocating engine is that they are both installed in airplanes. The background of experience which the electrical manufacturers could draw upon as a result of many
years of manufacture of the steam turbine has certainly been an important factor in bringing this program to its present state.

On top of these considerations of experience, it must be remembered that we were just entering a war, the duration and magnitude of which no one could predict. It was evident from the outset that it would be fought in or from the air. At that time all of this country's existing aircraft designs used the reciprocating engine, and it was imperative that Allison, Pratt & Whitney and Wright exert heroic efforts to meet our demands for production, as well as the demands which were placed on them by France and England. It was impossible at the time the decision had to be made for any one to determine the usefulness of the jet or prop-jet engine. It was a gamble which should be taken but which should not in anyway interfere with the important work of improving and producing the reciprocating type engines.

The decision to place the responsibility for the development of turbo-jet engines with the electrical manufacturing companies rather than with the aircraft engine companies was made and no one can deny, in the light of what has transpired, that it was a wise move for which the Services should be congratulated.

Progress which has been made to date in the development of turbo-jet engines should not obscure the fact that there are a great number of detailed design problems still to be solved. Further improvement of the turbo-jet depends upon development of new, or better ways of manufacturing the various components. Any major improvement to the turbo-jet will depend upon a new conception of design of one or more of the major components.

Turbo-jet designers have been continually treading on the heels of metallurgists in attempting to obtain alloys for turbine buckets, turbine nozzles, jet nozzles and combustion chambers which would withstand temperatures higher than those to which the engines are now limited.

In addition to being subjected to elevated temperatures, the aircraft gas turbine buckets must also withstand high centrifugal stresses. A considerable amount of information has been accumulated on the relation of stress, temperature and life of many existing alloys which were developed for this use. Information regarding resistance to fatigue, caused by bending due to gas impingement and also due to nodal vibration, is not complete but is being accumulated as rapidly as possible. Extensive tests on many high temperature alloys to determine the effect of grain size and means of preventing cracks due to thermal stresses are now underway.

Many of the difficulties now being experienced with turbo-jet engines can be traced to the problem of burning large amounts of fuel in a confined space. Heat release rates in the order of ten million b.t.u.'s per cubic foot per hour are not uncommon. Temperatures as high as 4000°F are encountered in certain areas in the combustion space. For best efficiency, the combustion must be uniform and steady as any pulsation may induce vibration of nozzle blades or turbine buckets which will result in ultimate failure due to fatigue. The pressure drop of air and the resulting products of combustion into, through and out of the combustion chamber must be kept to a minimum. Combustion must be maintained over an exceedingly wide range of power from sea level to altitudes extending up to 50,000 feet or higher.

The use of multiple combustion chambers, resorted to in many designs, has certain advantages but it is important that the combustion in all chambers be equalized, so that there will be no variation in gas flow over the entire turbine nozzle ring. It is also essential that the gas leaving each combustion chamber should have a fairly uniform temperature over its entire cross-section.

Complete combustion of the fuel is essential in order to prevent smoking, which in itself is not detrimental but is an indication of a reduction in combustion efficiency over that theoretically possible. The problem of completely mixing the fuel and air in a very small combustion space has been met by various means of whirling the air as it enters the combustion chambers, and also by introducing the air in proper portions throughout the length of the combustion chamber. Solving the smoking problem when using kerosene for fuel has presented far more difficulties than when using gasoline.

In attempting to mix the fuel and air completely, care must be exercised to prevent any local reduced pressure areas which will result in excessive carbon formation. Proper placement of the spark plug for initial ignition has also been the subject of considerable research. Although the ignition is on only during the starting period, it is important that the spark plug itself be in a location where electrodes will not be subject to carbon formation or excessive temperatures. Relighting of the fuel following engine shutdown at altitude is more difficult than at sea level and for this reason it is highly important that the spark plug be in perfect condition.

The turbo-jet engine revolves at speeds in the order of 7500 to 20,000 rpm, depending upon the size and type of design. Selection of bearings suitable for the compressor end, which is subjected to inlet temperatures of as low a minus 70°F, and the exhaust end as well as the mid-bearings which are surrounded by or close to combustion chambers or turbine nozzle boxes at temperatures of 1800°F and higher, becomes a major design problem. Sleeve type bearings have not been satisfactory for this service so anti-friction bearings of both the ball and roller type have been used.

The anti-friction bearing offers the advantage of low starting power, which is a most important item during cold weather operation, and also the power loss at high speeds is relatively low. In addition, the lubricating oil requirement is only a small fraction of that for sleeve bearings. In fact, many turbo-jet engines have a self-contained oil system with no external storage tank, with no need for an oil cooler. As turbo-jet engines are installed in high speed airplanes, which in the case of pursuit ships are expected to engage in violent maneuvers, the bearings must withstand heavy precession loads.

The accessories for turbo-jet engines are entirely different from those used on reciprocating engines, and therefore the entire line has been subject to an intensive development program since the project was initiated.

The attention of all those engaged in the development of turbo-jet and prop-jet engines is being focused on design problems. With their solution, will be born an aircraft engine embodying the characteristics of dependability an
long life which have become the accepted standard of the reliable reciprocating engine.

And what about engines that will provide aircraft with speeds higher than those attainable by the turbo-jet? These are the pulse jet, the ram jet and the rocket. The turbo-jet has been successfully used for aircraft up to a Mach number of about 0.82. At sea level this corresponds to a speed of 32 x 763, or 625 miles per hour. The speed of sound at sea level is 763 miles per hour and reduces for increase in altitudes to about 660 miles per hour at 50,000 ft. Mach number is merely a convenient ratio of actual speed divided by the speed of sound at the particular condition being considered. The present world speed record of 616 miles per hour is not an indication of the highest speed a turbo-jet powered airplane can attain in level flight. Upon reaching the velocity of sound, air flow conditions over aerodynamic surfaces undergo a violent change in characteristics. Some portions of the aircraft are locally subject to the velocity of sound prior to the entire aircraft reaching that point. Increases in aircraft speed at the present time are not mainly dependent upon obtaining more power from the turbo-jet but, are rather upon designing an airframe structure suitable for higher Mach numbers. The problem of control and stability when approaching and passing through the transonic range is now one of the major projects in the Army Air Forces, Navy Bureau of Aeronautics and the research laboratories of airframe manufacturers.

Figure 4 is an indication of the thrust required for a pursuit airplane at various altitudes up to a Mach number of 2.4. In addition to showing the tremendous increase in thrust required at Mach numbers above 1.3, it is interesting to note that information on thrust required in the transonic range (Mach number range of 0.9 to 1.3) is non-existent. Extensive wind tunnel testing programs have provided data for speeds in the supersonic range so that the power requirements in this range are quite well known. The extreme difficulty in making wind tunnel measurements in the region where the air velocity approaches, reaches and goes above the speed of sound, has so far prevented the accumulation of accurate data in this region. Until such data is obtained, designing aircraft to enter or cross the transonic region becomes pioneering in the true sense of the word.

Turbo-jet engines for powers required in the supersonic range can be built. As it is not definitely known what power will be required to drive the airplane through the transonic region, it can not be definitely stated that the turbo-jet will be able to accomplish this. For operation at a Mach number of about 1.5, the rise in temperature of the inlet air to the turbo-jet compressor will have reached a value of about 200°F. This introduces an extra design complication, and it is doubtful whether the use of turbo-jets much above such speeds is feasible. The use of exhaust reheat will raise the maximum speed at which turbo-jet units are useful. With this improvement it is doubtful whether the turbo-jet gas turbine will be competitive at Mach numbers above about 2. The rise in temperature presents no difficulty in the operation of the ram jet and, of course, has no effect on rockets which do not use atmospheric air so it would appear logical to consider either of these types for speeds above 1,000 miles per hour.

In addition to requiring more thrust for take-off because of its low speed thrust characteristic, it is obvious that extra bursts of power from the turbo-jet engine may be useful in entering and crossing the transonic range. This can be accomplished by injecting water and alcohol mixtures into the engine. Introducing this mixture into the compressor inlet provides a greater power increase than if it is injected into the combustion chambers but has the disadvantage of precluding the use of the air from the compressor for cabin supercharging.

Another method of obtaining short time but large power increases is to burn additional fuel in the tailpipe just ahead of the jet nozzle. As the fuel air ratio of a turbo jet is quite high, there is sufficient air remaining in the turbine exhaust to support combustion if fuel is added in the tailpipe. The resulting thrust from the burning of fuel in the tailpipe is only about one half that obtainable from the fuel burned in the engine. It is possible to obtain thrust increases up to fifty per cent by this method.

A third means of increasing thrust for short periods of time, again at the expense of over-all fuel consumption, is to bleed some of the excess air from the compressor for use in an auxiliary combustion chamber which discharges its gas into the main tailpipe. As the same kind of fuel is used in this and also in the tailpipe burning system as is used in the main portion of the engine, it is not necessary to predetermine the length of time available for booster operation for each flight. In the case of water alcohol injection, the augmentation is limited by stored liquid capacity.

With the requirement of extra take-off power met by one of the augmentation methods, there remains only the problem of economical operation at low speed and low altitude.
and the improvement of accelerating characteristics in the event of wave-off or overshoot of the landing field. The latter is partially met by thrust augmentation if control devices can be devised to make the added thrust available instantaneously. Use of a variable area jet nozzle appears to offer the best solution to the acceleration problem, for it allows the engine to be operated at nearly full speed at reduced thrust. Opening of the variable jet nozzle from a partial area position will provide rapid increases in thrust. The variable jet nozzle, perhaps in combination with one of the thrust augmentation methods, should give satisfactory acceleration characteristics.

The poor economy of the turbo jet at low speed and low altitude still is to be solved. The variable area jet nozzle is of some help, but leaves much to be desired. Awaiting the signal to land at a low visibility airport or circling for a landing on a carrier deck becomes a risky business with a thirsty turbo-jet gulping the last few gallons from the tanks. And what is the use of flying from Los Angeles to New York in four hours if an hour or more is wasted because of poor weather at destination?

The turbo-jet powered plane must land when it arrives and, until this can be assured, its usefulness for commercial operation is seriously handicapped. Installation of some or all of the many available all weather landing aids will remove this final obstacle from general acceptance of the turbo-jet for high speed commercial airline service.

When the Instrument Landing System, the Ground Control Approach, the fog dispersal system, the high intensity runway and approach lighting, and the control tower surveillance radar are in operation, safety of airline operation will be tremendously improved. They must be in operation before widespread use of turbo-jet planes becomes a reality.

Predictions should be avoided in a fast moving business like air transportation, but as it is a most intriguing sport, the author will also indulge.

To look ahead ten years may seem like a very long-range prediction, particularly when there is likelihood of a method of using nuclear energy for propulsion of aircraft being perfected within that period. Disregarding that possibility, here are the powerplants for the 1957 model airplanes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Small personal plane</th>
<th>Reciprocating engine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive type or business plane</td>
<td>Reciprocating engine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeder line commercial</td>
<td>Turbosupercharged engine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-range commercial (medium size)</td>
<td>Few with Prop-jet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-range commercial (large size)</td>
<td>Compound engine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pursuit</td>
<td>Prop-jet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium-range bomber</td>
<td>Turbo-jet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-range bomber</td>
<td>Compound engine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missile or uninhabited aircraft</td>
<td>Rocket or Ram jet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As a professional soldier you do not inherit a greater share than your citizen brothers of the courage, endurance and fortitude that millions of Americans have so generously displayed on many stricken fields. Neither does your commission confer upon you distinctive right or privilege. But you are set apart professionally that you may better fit yourself for a particular and exacting role in preserving our American heritage of human dignity and justice for all. To measure up to that responsibility, no field of knowledge nor enterprise within our economy is alien to your interest. The arts and sciences, as well as the profession of arms, are bulwarks of security. And greatest of all is the spirit— the will for freedom and justice—that binds together Americans of every age and provides the mainspring for your own life work. —Extract from 1947 graduation address at U.S.M.A. by General of the Army Dwight D. Eisenhower.
PRINCIPLES OF ROCKET PROPULSION

The terms jet propulsion and rocket propulsion have become familiar to the average citizen in recent years. It is customary to consider a jet propulsion system as one in which matter is ejected from the propelled body to create momentum. This matter may either be carried in the body or taken in from the surrounding medium in which the propelled body moves. A jet propulsion device of the first kind is called a rocket, whereas one of the second kind is classified as a thermal jet (ram jet, pulse jet, turbo jet). It is evident that mixed cases are possible; i.e., a system may be a combination of rocket and thermal jet, or thermal jet plus a mechanical system. The above definition of jet propulsion is, however, sufficient for the present discussion which will be limited to rocket propulsion.

The fundamental process in all jet propulsion is the conversion of heat energy into kinetic energy by an adiabatic expansion, i.e., one not accompanied by gain or loss of heat. Heat energy is obtained by burning a propellant (fuel and oxidizer). The propellant may be in either solid or liquid form. To illustrate this process, consider the liquid propellant rocket motor shown in Figure 1. The liquid propellant which consists, in this case, of a fuel and oxidizer is continuously injected through suitable orifices and allowed to mix in the combustion chamber. If the propellant is not of the spontaneously ignited type, a combustion initiator, such as a spark plug, is required. The combustion process gives rise to conditions of heat, pressure, and velocity in the following manner. Throughout the cylindrical portion of the combustion chamber, the pressure and mass velocity of the combustion gases are essentially constant. As the combustion process proceeds, however, the temperature rises and reaches a maximum near the end of the cylindrical section. In the distance from the cylindrical portion to the throat of the motor the molecules begin their motion toward the exit; that is, their random velocity begins to change to mass velocity with accompanying reductions in temperature and pressure. The gas, being a compressible medium, will, upon expansion in the expanding portion of the nozzle, undergo a further decrease in pressure and temperature and a rapid increase in velocity. For a properly designed nozzle, the exit pressure should be equal to the pressure of the surrounding medium. This design, however, is not always possible since the rocket has to operate under conditions of varying external pressure.

The basic problems of design are: (a) to generate a gas under high pressure at a constant rate and (b) to convert the high-pressure gas into a jet of high velocity.

The problem of generating high-pressure gases at a constant rate is primarily concerned with chemical reactions. The components entering into the chemical reactions may be in either the gaseous, solid, or liquid state; and, depending upon the initial state of the components, rocket motors may be classified as either gaseous, solid, or liquid types.

The problem of converting the high-pressure gases into a high-velocity jet is primarily one of nozzle design. The shape of the nozzle through which the compressible gases exhaust is important in determining both the mass flow and the exhaust velocity. The required shape for a supersonic nozzle is as shown in the rocket motor seen in Figure 1 and is known as a de Laval nozzle.

Rockets possess two characteristics unique in the field of propulsion. First, the propulsive force delivered by a rocket is independent of atmospheric air, and thus it is possible to propel a body through empty space. Second, the propulsive force of a rocket is unaffected by the velocity of the body being propelled.

The propellant consumption of a rocket is the highest of all jet propulsion devices. In the best present designs, the propellant consumption of rockets is of the order of 5 to 15 times that of the thermal jet propulsion systems. In spite of its high fuel consumption, however, the rocket competes favorably with other power plants in a number of applications, either because of its greater mechanical simplicity or because of its superior impulse-weight ratio. (Impulse equals thrust times its duration.) The latter advantage is especially significant in applications requiring very high thrust for a short period of time. An interesting demonstration of the high propulsive power developed by a rocket...
is furnished by the German V-2. This rocket motor develops 55,000-pound thrust and reaches a maximum speed of about 5000 feet per second. The propulsive power at this speed is equivalent to 500,000 horsepower. The large propulsive power of rockets can be advantageously applied in three main fields of application:

a. Propulsion of missiles.
b. Propulsion of high-speed interceptor aircraft.
c. Auxiliary propulsion for aircraft propelled by other types of power plants to improve takeoff characteristics or to furnish super performance for short periods of time in either level or climbing flight.

As previously indicated, the thrust of a rocket motor is independent of the velocity of the body being propelled and is affected only to a minor degree by atmospheric pressure. Hence, to rate the propulsive power of a rocket, thrust is a more logical measure to use than is the more conventional horsepower rating.

In general, the impulse-weight ratio of liquid rockets is higher than that of solid propellant rockets. For short durations of thrust, up to 40 seconds, the solid propellant type competes favorably with the liquid propellant rocket because of greater mechanical simplicity.

As already discussed, the rocket is extremely simple in conception. This fact has led to the belief that the design of a rocket motor should be extremely simple. Such a conclusion, however, is without foundation. It should be realized that the combustion of a propellant occurs within a very small chamber at temperatures very much higher than those occurring in any other type of heat engine, and that the generated high-temperature gases in parts of the motor reach supersonic velocities. In addition, the unusual chemical and physical properties of most liquid propellants introduce the designers to little-explored fields of chemistry and physics.

### SOLID PROPELLANT ROCKETS

The term solid propellant rocket arises from the fact that, prior to combustion, the propellant charge (fuel and oxidizer) has the normal mechanical properties of a solid body. Inasmuch as the solid propellant rocket consists essentially of only a combustion chamber and nozzle, it is basically a simple design. The entire solid propellant charge is carried within the combustion chamber. Because the size of this chamber is limited, the solid propellant motor operates for a very short period of time, usually lasting from a fraction of a second to a maximum of about 30 to 40 seconds. Because of its simplicity, the solid propellant type of rocket motor is readily adaptable to applications such as assisted takeoff of aircraft and as the propelling device for short-range missiles.

Since all of the propellant is carried in the combustion chamber, it is necessary that the propellant burn smoothly, at a uniform rate, from the ignited surface. Solid propellant charges are usually designated as restricted-burning or unrestricted-burning types. They are illustrated in Figure 2.

In the restricted-burning type, the charge consists of a circular cylinder in which the cylindrical surface and the forward end face are prevented from burning by a suitable chemical coating. Burning is allowed to proceed from the rear face only. This type of charge is commonly referred to as an end-burning or cigarette-burning charge. For a given charge length, the duration of burning is a function of the rate of burning, which depends primarily on the propellant composition and chamber pressure. The fundamental process of converting heat energy into kinetic energy is the same for both liquid and solid propellant rockets; in both cases, the thrust is equal to the mass flow times the exhaust velocity. It is easy to see that the mass flow of the exhaust gases is proportional to the burning area and the rate of burning. In the solid propellant motor, the rate of burning, expressed in inches per second, is defined as the rate at which the burning surface recedes in a direction normal to itself.

The unrestricted-burning charge is often in the form of a hollow cylinder and is held in place in the combustion chamber by a suitable grid or ring support. The propellant as shown in Figure 2 is ignited and allowed to burn on all surfaces. As noted above, the end-burning charge has a duration of burning that is proportional to the charge length. On the other hand, since the unrestricted charge burns on all surfaces, its duration of burning is proportional to the total burning area and to the rate of burning which is a function of chamber pressure.

Although the simplicity of the solid propellant rocket makes it attractive for applications in which short-duration thrust is required, it has a number of characteristics which impose serious limitations on its operation. In considering a given application, it is well to understand these limiting characteristics which are enumerated below:

a. Temperature sensitivity
b. Temperature limits
c. Combustion limit
d. Pressure limit
e. Decomposition on storage.

If two rockets are considered which have identical solid propellant charges but which are fired under such conditions that the two charges are at widely different temperatures, then the charge at the higher temperature will operate at a higher chamber pressure, higher thrust, and shorter duration than the one at the lower temperature. The total impulse of the two charges, however, will be very nearly the same. It may be seen, therefore, that temperature has an important effect on the rate process of burning but not on the total energy resulting from the total burning. This phenomenon is called temperature sensitivity.

The temperature limit is concerned primarily with the mechanical properties of the propellant. At low temperatures, the charge may become embrittled and shatter upon ignition, thus causing a very large burning surface and possibly a violent explosion. At high temperatures, the charge may soften so that it deforms upon storage, thus causing an abnormally large burning surface and resulting in a sufficiently high chamber pressure upon ignition to cause failure of the casing.

Solid propellants for rockets can be roughly classified as homogeneous and composite. The most important homogeneous propellants developed to date are the ballistites which consist of about equal parts of nitroglycerin and nitrocellulose.
Figure 2: Solid propellant charges, restricted-burning and unrestricted-burning types.

The composite propellants include two types developed by the National Defense Research Committee (NDRC). One consists of ballistite modified by the addition of large amounts of inorganic salts to reduce the temperature sensitivity of the propellant; the other consists of various modifications of a mixture of equal parts of pulverulent ammonium picrate and sodium nitrate molded together with about 10 per cent of an artificial resin binder.

The Liquid Propellant Rocket

The liquid propellant rocket unit consists essentially of a rocket motor, a propellant feed system and feed system controls, and the liquid propellant which is used in the particular rocket.

A. The Rocket Motor

The rocket motor consists of three major parts: (1) an injector which introduces the propellant into the combustion chamber, (2) a combustion chamber in which the transition from the liquid phase to a gaseous phase occurs, and (3) an exhaust nozzle which converts heat energy of the gases into kinetic energy by an adiabatic expansion process. A typical rocket motor showing these major parts may be seen in Figure 1.

The particular design of a rocket is largely determined by the nature of the propellant and the type of operation for which the motor is intended. For example, since liquid propellant rockets operate at very high combustion temperatures, certain designs must necessarily have a cooling system. Hence, liquid rocket motors may be broadly classified as uncooled or cooled. As will be seen in this section, problems connected with cooling a motor are considerable.

At the present time the greater part of development research is directed toward lightweight, cooled motors and improved cooling techniques. The lightest type of motor actually used in service is the German V-2 motor.

1. Injectors

The function of the injector is to introduce the propellant in such a manner that the reaction will proceed to a maximum degree of completeness in a minimum of time and at the same time with a minimum transfer of heat to the chamber and nozzle mass. It is particularly important to avoid local concentrations of heat. Since practically every propellant poses a different injection problem, many designs have been studied. The factors which influence the injector design include:

a. Nature of the chemical reaction of the propellant
b. Degree of atomization of fluid streams
c. Orientation of resultant fluid streams
d. Spatial distribution of injected fluids
e. Available propellant feed pressure
f. Density and viscosity of injected fluids

Injectors range in complexity from a single pair of circular orifices to elaborate multiple units involving hundreds of orifices such as are used in the German V-2 motor.

2. Combustion chambers

The majority of rocket motor combustion chambers are cylindrical in shape, with the injector and nozzle attached at opposite ends as is seen in Figure 1. Several factors are known to influence chamber design:

a. Adequate strength of materials is needed to withstand the necessary pressures at the operating temperatures. The temperature of the combustion gases is of the order of 5000°F and the chamber pressures range from 300 to 400 pounds per square inch.
b. Minimum surface area in contact with the hot gases is desirable to reduce heat-transfer problems.
c. Adequate combustion volume to insure completion of the chemical reaction is necessary.
d. Lightweight motors are desirable for efficient rocket performance.
e. Base of fabrication is important in rocket design.
f. Control of the internal flow pattern to eliminate "hot spots" must be accomplished in the design.

3. Exhaust nozzles

In the past, exhaust nozzles have generally been of the de Laval type, consisting of a section converging from the combustion chamber, a throat, and finally a diverging section. The contours of these sections may be varied within fairly wide limits without appreciable effect on performance. The nozzle design is influenced by considerations of heat transfer, weight, and the necessary expansion ratio, i.e., the ratio of the exit area of the nozzle to the throat area, necessary to expand the gases from the pressure at the throat to the surrounding pressure at the exit. It cannot be concluded that the de Laval type nozzle is necessary in order to obtain supersonic flow. Other types of nozzles are being developed.
4. Methods of cooling

In high-performance rocket motors, the combustion chamber temperature will reach values in excess of 5000°F. The heat liberated at 5000°F is approximately 520,000,000 British Thermal Units per cubic foot of combustion volume per hour. In an automobile engine the average value is approximately 7,000,000 and in an airplane engine 12,000,000 BTU per cubic foot per hour.

A rocket motor, therefore, operates under more severe conditions of high temperature and of continuous rate of heat release than most other engines. For these reasons, problems of heat transfer are among the most acute and important in rocket motor design. The following are some of the techniques employed in the cooling of rocket motors:

a. Heat-capacity cooling
b. Radiation cooling
c. Convective cooling.

If the heat transferred from the high-velocity hot gases in the combustion chamber is absorbed by the heat capacity of the motor materials, the motor is understood to be uncooled. This type of motor is usually made of metal with a sufficient mass to absorb the transmitted heat for a limited time interval, which is generally less than 20 seconds.

The liquid-cooled motor generally uses part or all of the propellant liquid as a coolant to absorb the heat. Cooled motors can be further subdivided into (a) the kind in which the coolant liquid absorbs heat as it circulates in ducts around the motor, after which the coolant is injected into the combustion chamber (regeneratively cooled type); and (b) the kind in which part of the coolant liquid is injected directly into the motor in such a way as to provide a coolant film on the inner wall surface (film-cooled type). The regeneratively cooled type is illustrated in Figure 1. The coolant fluid is introduced at the nozzle end of the motor and circulates around the motor, through the spiral ducts. After cooling the motor, the coolant is injected into the combustion chamber and burned.

It is interesting to note that the Germans employed a combination of regenerative cooling and film cooling in their V-2 motor. The bulk of the coolant fluid (alcohol) was injected at the nozzle end of the motor and forced to flow in an axial direction, between the inner and outer walls, toward the injector. A small portion of the alcohol, separately manifolded, was injected directly into the combustion chamber by means of small holes drilled through the inner wall. This coolant fluid provided a “cool” film adjacent to the inner surface. The areas which were film-cooled were rather small in comparison with the over-all surface of the combustion chamber. According to the Germans, regenerative cooling was inadequate when “hot spots” occurred in the motor; hence, the addition of film cooling became necessary.

This type of cooling is about the same as that employed in the cooling of an automobile engine and a liquid-cooled airplane engine, except that in a rocket motor, it is necessary to use one of the propellant components, either the fuel or oxidizer, depending upon which one is the more suitable, for the coolant fluid. Because of the large amounts of coolant fluid necessary and because of the necessity of keeping weight to an irreducible minimum, in most applications it is not feasible to use an auxiliary fluid as a cooling agent.

Radiation and convective cooling are methods in which equilibrium conditions are reached under which motors employing them are basically capable of continuous operation. In radiation cooling, the inner motor wall surface receives heat by both convection and radiation; the heat is transmitted through the wall by conduction to the outer wall surface, from which it is radiated to the surrounding medium.

The heat transmitted to the motor wall can be reduced by a factor of 2 to 5 by inserting a refractory liner between the hot gases and the motor wall. Commercially available refractory materials, however, have a very limited lifetime in rocket motors. Considerable research and development work is accordingly being undertaken to develop suitable refractory liners. Other methods of cooling are currently being developed, but details of these methods cannot be discussed here.

B. Propellant Feed Systems

The propellant feed system must supply propellant at a rate and pressure required by the rocket motor to produce a specified thrust. The gas-pressure and pump-feed systems are the two main types of feed systems in use at the present time.

In the gas-pressure system commonly used at present, air or nitrogen is stored in a tank at pressures around 2500 pounds per square inch. The gas is then supplied to the propellant tanks through a regulator at a pressure and rate required to feed the propellant to the rocket motor. This gas-pressure system is shown in Figure 3. Gas-pressure systems which utilize solid or liquid propellants are currently being developed. These systems offer a considerable saving in weight and will undoubtedly replace the gas-storage type. In the pump system the propellant is fed from unpressurized tanks to the rocket motor by means of high-pressure pumps. The size of the pump system employed in the German V-2 is shown in Figure 4. The pumps are located immediately forward of the motor.

The type of feed system to be used in any particular application is generally determined by the duration of operation. For relatively short durations, such as 30 to 40 seconds, it is more economical, from a weight standpoint, to use a gas-pressure feed system.

If a pump-feed system is used, the tanks for storing the
propellants can be of lightweight construction, with only sufficient strength to carry the propellant weight under conditions of acceleration or deceleration. A gas-pressure system requires that the tanks be constructed to withstand the above-mentioned loads and, in addition, the pressure required to feed the propellant to the rocket motor. Special materials for the construction of tanks may be required in certain cases, since some propellants have a corrosive effect on certain metals. For example, the nitric acid-aniline propellant requires stainless steel tanks for the nitric acid component.

C. Liquid Propellants

Liquid propellants fall into two general classifications, bicomponent propellants and monopropellants. Bicomponent propellants consist of two components, one of which is the oxidizer and the other the fuel. In the use of the word bicomponent, it is understood that the fuel or oxidizer may include more than one chemical compound. The oxidizer is a substance in which the available oxygen represents a large fraction of the total weight. The fuel is a compound containing essentially carbon and hydrogen, whose combustion products are carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, and water. It is from the heats of combustion of these products that useful work is obtained. The relative quantities of oxidizer and fuel used in propellant combinations are indicated by the mixture ratio, which is the weight of oxidizer divided by the weight of the fuel.

A monopropellant is a single compound containing all of the elements needed for combustion. It offers a number of advantages over the bicomponent propellant in that a much simpler installation is possible. A disadvantage is a possible sacrifice in stability. Since a monopropellant is able, by itself, to undergo rapid decomposition yielding high-temperature gases, it is more sensitive to temperature and shock than are the separate members of bicomponent propellant.

For best motor performance, the propellant should release a maximum of energy into products of combustion having minimum average molecular weight and ratio of specific heats. In addition to these basic requirements, an acceptable propellant has to meet many requirements determined by practical considerations such as handling, storage, availability, etc. A list is given below of the significant properties of liquid propellants. The properties are divided into two groups, those which are of engineering importance and those which are of utilization importance. Some of the properties are important in both categories.

1. Properties of engineering importance
   a. Heat of combustion
   b. Average molecular weight of combustion product
   c. Stability
   d. Rate and ease of reaction
   e. Ignition lag
   f. Density
   g. Vapor pressure
   h. Specific heat of the liquid phase
   i. corrosivity

2. Properties of utilization importance
   a. Availability
   b. Corrosivity
   c. Stability
   d. Toxicity
   e. Inflammability
   f. Vapor pressure
   g. Freezing point
   h. Cost

A rather limited number of liquids or combinations of liquids have been found which satisfy the necessary requirements for many of the above properties. These propellants, however, generally result in poor motor performance, and...
practically all of the high-performance propellants offer numerous difficulties from an engineering as well as utilization standpoint.

There are numerous problems connected with the combustion of the propellants. The propellant, for example, must be ignited in some way to start combustion. Certain propellants ignite spontaneously when the fuel and oxidizer are mixed, whereas others need a combustion initiator, such as a spark plug.

The designer of a rocket motor must understand the details of the chemical reactions which take place in the combustion chamber. For such understanding it is necessary to study the manner and speed by which the different steps in a reaction proceed. When it is realized that the total time a particle of fluid remains in the combustion chamber is of the order of $1/100$ second, it is obvious that the rate of reaction becomes of major importance. At the present time the information of combustion kinetics is very meager and the whole problem is not too well understood. For a number of compounds, combustion kinetic equations are available. The constants appearing in these equations, however, have been determined under experimental conditions, which differ widely from conditions found in a combustion chamber of a rocket motor.

In the past, the design of combustion chambers has been based on empirical laws obtained by measuring the effects of the ratios of volume to throat area and of length to diameter of cylindrical motors on overall performance. It can be shown, for instance, that the ratio of chamber volume to throat area is a measure of the time the propellant spends in the motor during combustion. In general, these laws are limited in their applicability to combustion chambers of "similar" design and to a given propellant. Also, these empirical laws make scaling from motors of small thrust to those of large thrust somewhat doubtful.

### A. Solid Propellant Rockets

The unrestricted type of solid propellant charge was used extensively in short-range (artillery) rockets during the last war. Ballistite was primarily used as the propellant in this type of rocket. Typical examples are the spin-stabilized and fin-stabilized rockets used by the Navy as ground-to-ground, air-to-ground, and air-to-air missiles, and also the "bazooka" which is used by the Army. This latter weapon was very effective, particularly when used against tanks at short range.

Rockets using the JPL type of solid propellant have been developed for assisted takeoff of aircraft and have been used by the Navy to a considerable extent. A serious limitation in the use of the propellant is the large amount of smoke in the exhaust, which makes it difficult to operate from a carrier deck.

Since the end of the war, solid propellant rockets have been developed as boosters in connection with the firing of liquid propellant rockets and ram jets. As high-altitude missiles are more extensively developed, the need for efficient boosters will become increasingly greater.

Although the application of solid propellant rockets is much more limited than that of the liquid type, they are generally superior from a standpoint of weight and economy for durations of less than 20 seconds; and there are many uses, primarily in applications requiring a short duration of thrust, in which they are superior.

### B. Liquid Propellant Rockets

Liquid rockets have found limited application as assisted-takeoff devices because of the relatively short duration required, usually less than 30 seconds.

During the latter part of World War II the Germans had a number of high-speed, rocket-propelled aircraft in service. Considering the relatively short time in which the propulsion system and airframe were developed, it can be said that they performed rather well. Extensive development of supersonic piloted aircraft is currently being carried out in this country. One such project is the development of the Bell XS-1, a rocket aircraft designed to fly 1700 miles per hour at an altitude of 80,000 feet.

The term long-range missile is used to denote a vehicle whose range is of the order of 100 miles and which is propelled by a rocket having a burning time of 30 seconds or more. These characteristics serve to distinguish it from the short-range artillery rocket whose burning time is generally less than 2 seconds.

The earliest attempts in this country to develop a long-range or high-altitude vehicle were made by R. H. Goddard, starting about 1912 and continuing until 1941. In 1935 he launched a 14-foot rocket to an altitude of 7500 feet. This rocket used oxygen-gasoline as a propellant.

Although the Germans started their liquid propellant research in 1923 under the auspices of the Verein für Raumschiffahrt (Society for Space Travel), it was not until 1932 that serious work began on the development of rocket-propelled missiles. At this time the society was disbanded and the program taken over by the German Ordnance Department. By 1942 the Germans tested prototype models of the V-2 and shortly afterward went into production on the V-2 missiles.

In 1936 rocket research on a very modest scale was started at the California Institute of Technology. At that time interest centered primarily around a high-altitude sounding rocket to be used for meteorological observations. This program was stimulated by F. J. Malina, who with his associates carried out theoretical calculations on the flight performance of a sounding rocket and also studied the thermodynamics of rocket motors. In 1940 the program was expanded and directed toward rockets for war purposes. From 1940 to 1944 the program was primarily concerned with the development of assisted-takeoff rockets. In 1944 the Ordnance Department requested that the Institute undertake the development of a series of long-range missiles. One phase of this overall program resulted in the development of a high-altitude sounding rocket. This vehicle, known as the Wac Corporal, was designed specifically to carry 25 pounds of instruments to high altitudes. An additional requirement was that the vehicle be lowered by parachute or some other device.

Preliminary tests of the Wac Corporal were started in 1945 and since then have been completed. Altitudes in excess of 250,000 feet have been attained and vehicles have been lowered by parachute from these altitudes.

### Some Applications of Rockets

#### A. Solid Propellant Rockets

The unrestricted type of solid propellant charge was used extensively in short-range (artillery) rockets during the last war. Ballistite was primarily used as the propellant in this type of rocket. Typical examples are the spin-stabilized and fin-stabilized rockets used by the Navy as ground-to-ground, air-to-ground, and air-to-air missiles, and also the "bazooka" which is used by the Army. This latter weapon was very effective, particularly when used against tanks at short range.

Rockets using the JPL type of solid propellant have been developed for assisted takeoff of aircraft and have been used by the Navy to a considerable extent. A serious limitation in the use of the propellant is the large amount of smoke in the exhaust, which makes it difficult to operate from a carrier deck.

Since the end of the war, solid propellant rockets have been developed as boosters in connection with the firing of liquid propellant rockets and ram jets. As high-altitude missiles are more extensively developed, the need for efficient boosters will become increasingly greater.

Although the application of solid propellant rockets is much more limited than that of the liquid type, they are generally superior from a standpoint of weight and economy for durations of less than 20 seconds; and there are many uses, primarily in applications requiring a short duration of thrust, in which they are superior.

#### B. Liquid Propellant Rockets

Liquid rockets have found limited application as assisted-takeoff devices because of the relatively short duration required, usually less than 30 seconds.

During the latter part of World War II the Germans had a number of high-speed, rocket-propelled aircraft in service. Considering the relatively short time in which the propulsion system and airframe were developed, it can be said that they performed rather well. Extensive development of supersonic piloted aircraft is currently being carried out in this country. One such project is the development of the Bell XS-1, a rocket aircraft designed to fly 1700 miles per hour at an altitude of 80,000 feet.

The term long-range missile is used to denote a vehicle whose range is of the order of 100 miles and which is propelled by a rocket having a burning time of 30 seconds or more. These characteristics serve to distinguish it from the short-range artillery rocket whose burning time is generally less than 2 seconds.

The earliest attempts in this country to develop a long-range or high-altitude vehicle were made by R. H. Goddard, starting about 1912 and continuing until 1941. In 1935 he launched a 14-foot rocket to an altitude of 7500 feet. This rocket used oxygen-gasoline as a propellant.

Although the Germans started their liquid propellant research in 1923 under the auspices of the Verein für Raumschiffahrt (Society for Space Travel), it was not until 1932 that serious work began on the development of rocket-propelled missiles. At this time the society was disbanded and the program taken over by the German Ordnance Department. By 1942 the Germans tested prototype models of the V-2 and shortly afterward went into production on the V-2 missiles.

In 1936 rocket research on a very modest scale was started at the California Institute of Technology. At that time interest centered primarily around a high-altitude sounding rocket to be used for meteorological observations. This program was stimulated by F. J. Malina, who with his associates carried out theoretical calculations on the flight performance of a sounding rocket and also studied the thermodynamics of rocket motors. In 1940 the program was expanded and directed toward rockets for war purposes. From 1940 to 1944 the program was primarily concerned with the development of assisted-takeoff rockets. In 1944 the Ordnance Department requested that the Institute undertake the development of a series of long-range missiles. One phase of this overall program resulted in the development of a high-altitude sounding rocket. This vehicle, known as the Wac Corporal, was designed specifically to carry 25 pounds of instruments to high altitudes. An additional requirement was that the vehicle be lowered by parachute or some other device.

Preliminary tests of the Wac Corporal were started in 1945 and since then have been completed. Altitudes in excess of 250,000 feet have been attained and vehicles have been lowered by parachute from these altitudes.
ROCKET PROPULSION

In addition to the sounding rocket and the long-range missile whose function is to carry an explosive charge to a given target, the possibility of space flight has been given serious consideration during the last few years. Many difficult and diverse problems, such as method of trajectory control, navigation, communication, takeoff and landing techniques, etc., are involved in space travel. The basic problem, however, concerns the means of imparting sufficient thrust to the vehicle so that it may escape the Earth's gravitational field. The following cases which are of general interest have been studied:

1. The Earth-satellite, a vehicle permanently revolving in a closed elliptic or circular orbit around the Earth, at a distance from the Earth that is small compared to the radius of the Earth.
2. The “stationary” Earth-satellite, a body revolving in a circular orbit with the same angular velocity as the Earth so that it always appears overhead to an observer on the Earth.
3. Complete escape from the Earth, but with insufficient energy to depart from the solar system.

An Earth-satellite, revolving in a circular orbit, can remain in such an orbit indefinitely without requiring the expenditure of additional energy, provided it is not struck by meteoroids and the orbit is sufficiently far from the Earth so that the atmospheric drag on the vehicle is zero. Its velocity must be such that the centrifugal force on the vehicle balances the gravitational attraction of the Earth.

In the “stationary” satellite the angular velocity must be identical with the spin velocity of the Earth. Calculations show that it would be located at a distance 22,900 miles from the Earth’s surface and have an orbital velocity of 10,200 feet per second, or about 7000 miles per hour.

Calculations on the escape vehicle indicate that escaping completely from the Earth’s gravitational field would require an amount of energy which is capable of imparting an initial velocity to the space vehicle of about 37,000 miles per hour.

Preliminary studies have been carried out to determine whether rocket propulsion using the chemicals now available can meet the requirements indicated above. These studies show that with a single-step rocket, using liquid oxygen-liquid hydrogen propellant, it might be possible to design an orbital vehicle. The requirement for the other two cases, however, can be met only by a multiple-step rocket.

A multiple-step rocket consists essentially of a series of rocket units; the number of steps used being two or more, depending on the performance requirements, the type of propellant used, the payload to be carried, etc. A step rocket operates approximately as follows: First, step 1 of the rocket is fired. It carries, as its payload, the other separate steps which make up the rocket unit. Second, at the very instant step 1 stops burning, step 2 is ignited. At this point, step 1 detaches itself and falls back to Earth. Again, step 3 takes over when step 2 is exhausted. This process is continued until the last step, which carries the payload, is ignited and burned. After this, the last step coasts out of the Earth’s gravitational field or until its velocity becomes zero.

To obtain a required performance with a given propellant, the multiple-step rocket is more efficient than the single-step rocket. The basic reason for its efficiency is that in a single-step rocket the entire mass, except the propellant which has been consumed, is accelerated; whereas, in a multiple-step rocket, the structural weight, such as tanks, motors, pumps, etc., is continuously decreased as the number of steps is separated from the missile. Hence, the energy required to accelerate the rocket to a given velocity is less for the multiple-step rocket than for the single-step rocket.

Studies of the three types (an Earth-satellite, a “stationary” Earth-satellite, and an escape vehicle) have led to the conclusion that such rockets are possible with the engineering knowledge now available. It is true that these rockets would be bulky and inefficient from a weight standpoint and that they would not necessarily carry human beings.

It should also be realized, however, that the development of rocket propulsion systems is relatively new as compared with some of the more conventional types of propulsion systems.

It is obvious that we would not be attacked, this time, on the high seas alone, or only at some far-flung outpost like Hawaii or the Philippines. We would be attacked everywhere, simultaneously, at our outposts and right here at home. Under such circumstances, without universal military training, without full-strength National Guard units, fully trained, ready to take the field, defeat for the United States, and the world, at the hands of a conquering foe, is not inconceivable.

—GENERAL JACOB L. DEVERS.
NUCLEAR POWER - Its Military Application

By Dr. R. E. Lapp

Nuclear Power—When?

To date no nuclear machines have been constructed which generate useful power that can be applied in industry. Therefore, the question as to when we will have practical nuclear power plants must of necessity be only answered with rough estimates or good guesses. There are already in existence seven nuclear reactors (chain reacting controlled systems) but only a few of these run at a high power level and none of them are adaptable for the commercial production of power.

Obviously, any estimate as to when we will have nuclear power plants in operation will depend on many factors. The first power piles (plants) will undoubtedly be land installations of experimental type which will be used for test purposes rather than for supplying power as such. It cannot be overemphasized that the outlook for atomic power is not bright. The piles which are being designed are experimental units which will serve as prototypes of prototypes. We are a long way from being able to fix upon a reactor design which can be considered as suitable for the efficient generation of atomic power.

Few scientists believe that the widespread use of nuclear power will come within the next two decades. It is probably true, however, that if there were urgency for a reactor for military application, as for example, for ship propulsion, such a unit might well be designed and constructed in less than this time. In the following sections many of the difficulties in the design and operation of nuclear piles will be explained.

Both the Army Air Forces and the Navy have announced that they are interested in a design of nuclear power plants for the propulsion of aircraft and naval vessels, respectively. The latter application is one which, should it be of sufficient strategic importance to warrant diversion of scarce fissionable material, might be realized on a test basis long before nuclear power plants could be developed for the Air Forces. It is still too soon to even predict when aircraft may be powered by nuclear energy. In the long run it may be a question as to whether we can afford to expend fissionable material unrecoverably for anything except atomic bombs.

Nuclear Power—Where?

For military purposes, where the high cost of power is no deterrent, nuclear power plants may well be used as stationary units to supply power at:

A—Remote air bases
B—Secret research laboratories
C—Arctic proving grounds
D—Isolated island posts of strategic importance.

Such power units would be semi-permanent installations.

Nuclear power is potentially an excellent means of driving naval vessels either of the fast surface type or of the submarine class. Here the excessive weight required to shield against radioactivity from the reactor is not prohibitive and substantial progress in nuclear-powered ships may be expected.

Relatively recently, a large group of scientists advising
the Secretary of War's Interim Committee on Atomic Energy reported "... we see no foundation in current science for the hope that atomic power can be effectively used for light, small portable units such as are required for aircraft and automotive transportation..." This should dispel any hope of the layman who looks forward to automobiles powered by nuclear engines.

However, we should not strike out the possibility that nuclear power may be adapted to aircraft use. It is true that there are many difficulties in designing a reactor for an airplane. But if we consider a guided missile where no shielding would be necessary, perhaps the goal can be realized. We should at least investigate every possibility in this field, and it is encouraging that the Air Corps is taking such a farsighted and optimistic view of this possible application of nuclear power.

**Nuclear Power—Why?**

This is not an altogether naive question. To answer it we must assess the relative merits of ordinary power sources against the nuclear power possibilities. It requires that we look closely at our coal, oil, and gas reserves and their geographic distribution.

One of the prime reasons for seeking nuclear power for military purposes is to obtain a more efficient fuel. While we have not as yet exhausted the means by which we can improve engines for driving naval vessels or propelling rockets with conventional fuels, it is fair to say that we are approaching saturation in this respect. The military man who has had to deal with logistics especially at a remote island air base can appreciate that any fuel which furnishes more power per unit weight is a thing to be cherished. A compact power source using small quantities of fuel has so many military applications that the list of these would be very long. This list is shortened, however, by the fact that most nuclear power plants will only be used to generate large quantities of power. Probably no military application involving less than 10,000 kilowatts of power would ever be given a priority for a nuclear power plant.

For submarine power, the Navy is interested in nuclear power because the fuel involved does not burn up valuable oxygen and may allow extended sub-surface operations at relatively high speeds.

**Nuclear Power—How?**

To many the mere mention of the word "nuclear" implies that this is something which is very mysterious and only understood by the elite of the scientific world. There is really nothing mysterious about nuclear power. However, the layman who has been misled by overly optimistic predictions about nuclear power may come to regard it as a major mystery when he fails to see practical nuclear power plants within a few years.

By the process of fission, the nucleus of certain special materials, such as uranium-235 and plutonium-239, splits up into two fission products which serve to generate heat energy in the uranium or plutonium metal. Because small quantities of fissionable material can be used to sustain a chain reaction of fission processes, an extremely compact source of heat energy is made possible at a temperature which is limited only by the rate at which the generated heat is removed. The fact that when the source is small it will be at a very high temperature creates many difficulties which will be discussed later.

Now every engineer knows that if he is given a good source of heat he can devise a power plant which runs from this source. So the process of using nuclear power then becomes a technical or engineering task to extract the heat from the small source and to use this heat in a conventional power plant. For this reason, nuclear power plants will be conventional plants except for the fact that a small nuclear reactor will take the place of the conventional heat source (coal or gasoline). Should nuclear reactors be designed for aircraft, there would be an exception to this statement which might lead to a radically different design.

It is not unreasonable to expect that nuclear reactors will be designed to furnish power in amounts sufficient to supply all the needs of a large city. However, no one would advocate the installation of a 500,000 kilowatt power plant in Washington, D.C., unless it were cheaper to run than the present plant. It will be a long time before this condition will obtain. Therefore, nuclear power piles will be placed only at installations where other power sources are not available.

**BUDGETING FISSIONABLE MATERIAL**

The basis of our source of all fissionable material is uranium-235 which is present to the extent of only one part in one hundred and forty parts of natural uranium. Using U-235, we can manufacture two other fissionable isotopes—uranium-233 and plutonium-239. All of these materials are fissionable and may be used in an atomic bomb just as well as for nuclear power.

In any preparedness plan for national security, our goal would be the production and stock-piling of the greatest possible number of atomic bombs.

**Nuclear Power Pile By-Products**

As a necessary consequence of fission, there will be produced a pound of fission products for every pound of U-235 which is fissioned. These highly radioactive materials constitute a radiation hazard, and must be carefully shielded to protect plant personnel. They furthermore tend to contaminate the pile (analogous to clinkers in the ashes of a fire) and must be periodicallly removed from the pile. This is a troublesome procedure since the fission products are intimately mixed with the fuel material and have to be chemically separated by a costly remote control process.

In order to keep as many neutrons as possible inside the pile, every pile will probably be surrounded by a "reflector" which serves to reflect back escaping neutrons into the active part of the pile.

From the standpoint of offensive warfare, it might be decided to store up long-lived radioisotopes and fission products for radioactive warfare.

**Critical Size of a Nuclear Pile**

The concept of critical size has been introduced in the popular press in connection with the mass of fissionable material required to make an atomic bomb. The same concept holds for nuclear reactors. In this case, the amount of fissionable material required for a "critical" pile is usually...
much greater than that for an atomic bomb. The reason for this is that most piles will be of a type that utilizes a thermal or slow fission process (i.e., fission due to the absorption of thermal or slow neutrons by the fissionable material) rather than fast fission which is used in the bomb. To slow down the neutrons from the high speed which they have as a result of their fission origin, one uses a light element or moderator. The moderator has to be placed close to the fissionable material in a lattice (heterogeneous) or in a homogeneous arrangement. This interposition of the moderator between lumps or rods of the uranium increases the critical size of the pile so neutrons can more easily escape through the lattice of moderator plus uranium. This is an important factor to consider when planning for a nuclear-powered guided missile.

**Fuel Consumption In a Nuclear Machine**

While it is quite true that a single pound of pure uranium-235 contains energy equivalent to the heat energy in 1500 tons of coal, it is a very misleading statement when applied to nuclear power application. Partly due to design considerations, partly to structural strength of the fuel rods and also to the accumulation of fission products, it is not possible to completely convert a single pound of U-235 in a pile into fission energy. You can easily see that if we made fuel rods out of pure U-235 and then were able to fission fifty percent of all this uranium, the rod itself would be a spongy mass containing fifty percent unfissioned uranium and the rest would be split-atoms. Such a conglomerate would obviously be structurally unsound and the fuel rods would be useless. What would probably happen would be a crumbling of the rod and a consequent blocking of the channel in which it was centered. Immediate local overheating of that part of the pile in the vicinity of the blocked channel would occur.

Figure 1 shows a graphical plot of the power output level of a nuclear reactor as a function of the uranium-235 used per day. An over-all efficiency of twenty-five percent is assumed for the nuclear power plant in this particular instance. From the foregoing paragraph, it should be clear that the fuel consumption refers to the total amount of U-235 which is fissioned in the entire nuclear reactor.

**Some Pile Problems**

Just what are some of the problems with which we are faced in designing nuclear power piles? Before discussing some of the physical problems, let us point out that the rapidity with which the problems will be solved will be a function of the intelligence we apply to the task, the energy we expend in trying out new ideas, and the fearlessness with which we tackle difficult assignments. In this new field one brilliant idea may be worth a hundred days in progress! Today, almost two years after the end of World War II, we do not have that array of scientific might which tackled and licked the atomic bomb problem. Many of the top scientists and more of the younger researchers have returned to peacetime jobs in universities and in industry. Some, dismayed at the terrible destruction wrought by the use of a weapon which they helped to develop, shun employment in the government atomic laboratories. Gone is the wartime stimulus that spurred scientists to work day and night on atomic research. Will the leaders of our present government research laboratories take the chances that they did during the war or will they feel the deadening and stultifying need to refer to higher authority all their decisions? Secrecy is still with us and will probably remain for some time. No one can estimate how much secrecy cost us during the war for secrecy is a two-edged sword that may do great harm to progress. So our progress in solving problems in nuclear energy application will depend not only on the physical problems but upon the human factors and the political situation. Since the latter are difficult to evaluate, let us turn to a brief discussion of some of the more important physical problems.

**Pile Controls**

While the pile itself has no moving parts which are fundamental in its design, it does contain the control mechanism. This, in general, will consist in a neutron absorbing rod which can be moved in and out of the pile or it may consist in relative motion of a part of the pile. In any event, there is a basic requirement that the control mechanism must be able to respond to neutron fluctuations in the pile. Should the mechanism be too slow then the neutrons would flare up and the pile would go out of control. While this would not result in an atomic explosion, it would undoubtedly ruin the pile and might constitute a serious health hazard due to the release of radioactive fission products from the reactor. This means that the controls must be foolproof. There should also be emergency controls to stop the pile should the ordinary controls fail to operate.

The necessity of carrying out all operations remotely makes the control problem somewhat more complicated but is not a serious difficulty. However, the necessity for keeping all pile products sealed within the pile, means that all controls must move in perfectly sealed channels.

**Pile Materials**

Perhaps one of the most crucial points in the evolution of power piles will be the development of material that will be resistant to high temperature, and will have good neutron properties. In addition, these materials must be unaffected by nuclear radiation or by corrosion; still they must have good mechanical strength and be easily fabricated. It is quite difficult to find materials that will satisfy all of these requirements and it is very clear that much depends on what metallurgical progress can be made in the near future. These materials must be used for fabricated fuel rods (or containers), moderators, liners, structural supports, outer container and sealing devices.

One difficulty which is very evident is the manufacture of fuel rods that can withstand extreme conditions in the interior of the pile and yet be removed easily and replaced with fresh fuel rods when they have been depleted in fissionable material.

This means a periodic "shut down" for the plant while rods are discharged and new ones put into the pile. These discharged rods must then be chemically processed to remove the unwanted fission products and recover the fissionable material. This must then be reprocessed and fabricated into new fuel rods.
Heat Transfer

In the piles which have been built to date, it is necessary to remove heat from them to prevent excessive temperatures from being reached. To accomplish this, cooling air or water is forced through channels in the piles. It is a fundamental law in physics that if one wishes to generate power most efficiently, one uses as high a temperature heat source as can be obtained. Just as a high waterfall is more effective in producing large amounts of water power so is a pile more efficient in generating power if it operates at high temperature. Our present day materials, however, cannot stand up at temperatures greater than 1500°F, so that until new materials are developed, piles must be operated below this temperature. Where the power pile is a stationary plant and size is no object, there is no great advantage to operating the reactor at temperatures greater than 1500°F for at this temperature the theoretical thermodynamic efficiency is already high.

If a relatively compact pile is to yield 10,000 kilowatts of power and not exceed a temperature of 1500°F, it is obvious that heat must be removed from it very rapidly and efficiently. There are again restrictions on the materials which one can tolerate inside a pile and any coolant (heat transfer medium) must satisfy stringent tests. Liquid metals have been suggested to overcome some heat transfer difficulties and it may be possible to use such a scheme. Gas is not very efficient as a coolant but it might be used to run a closed cycle gas turbine.

Shielding

Even a small pile running at low power generates enormous quantities of radioactivity and requires thick shields to protect personnel in the vicinity. A power pile supplying two thousand kilowatts of power would generate radiation equipment to many tons of radium! When one considers that a gram (1/350 of a pound) of radium must be treated with great care, this huge quantity of radiation is almost fantastic! It has been estimated that at least fifty tons of shielding material would be required around a low-power pile to protect against the radiation.

All the piles which have been build up to now have been located far from the near-by cities. It is problematic as to what rules will be drawn up in the future should power piles be designed to supply an isolated city with power.

Because the fission products formed inside a pile cannot be discharged into the air or water, the reactor must be a sealed system. All openings into the reactor must be sealed and protected with ingenious closures. Furthermore, any coolant which flows through the pile will itself become radioactive and thus certain parts of the conventional power unit must be shielded. All equipment (pumps, motors, and controls) within the shield must be capable of indefinite operation or must be replaced by remote handling.

There is very little hope that any substantial progress will be made in developing a new type of shield which will be much better than those which have been developed to date.

Other Problems

There are still other problems to be solved in the develop-

ment of nuclear power. What makes the situation less opti-
mistic is the fact that some of the solutions require time for the proper answers. Yet, we must wait for these and sometimes the whole feasibility of a pile design may depend on a single property of a pile material. If one element in the situation is unfavorable our design is washed up and must be started anew. Nuclear science and engineering will contain many discouraging results and for every possibility that opens up only one in ten will prove worth while. However, we have worked with worse odds than these before and must have faith in the ingenuity and resourcefulness of the American scientist and engineer.

We should not be misled by those who naively point out that a single pound of U-235 will give off as much heat as 1500 tons of coal. Before we jump to the conclusion that uranium will provide cheap and abundant power we have to consider more than energy-equivalence figures.

Will Uranium Replace Coal?

In order for uranium to supplant coal as a power source, it would have to be available at less than $15,000 per pound. This assumes pure U-235 which is completely fissioned! We shall not even go any farther into the economics of the situation for until we have actually built nuclear power plants which operate continuously we really have little basis for calculation. For example, it is very clear that chemical processing of partially fissioned fuel rods will be quite costly but we are not sure how often this will have to be done or how it will be done.

On the gloomy side of the picture is the possibility that the international situation will require the limitation of power piles because of the fact that every nuclear reactor is a potential bomb factory. Even now scientists have advocated that we should be willing to give up atomic power for world peace. No one will deny that were we to follow this recommendation our economic system would remain unaffected and international inspection of illicit atomic bomb manufacture would be greatly simplified. On the other hand, we would be asking time to stand still in this new field which, while difficult in the extreme, holds promise of fruition. We have no precedent that such scientific retrogression can be accomplished. How ironic that man should consider depriving himself of the peaceful use of atomic energy simply because he cannot control its wartime application?
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For three days now Company "C," Combat Infantry, had been out of the war. Nobody wanted them to move. No brass hat, in newspaper terms, wanted them to "slash" through the enemy lines. Apparently they were forgotten. It was pleasant to loll here in an orchard and contemplate the war—somebody else fighting it. Except for keeping an eye on a Kraut outfit, a little less than a mile away across the field, it was luxurious indeed.

Shad pushed his helmet up and scratched the top of his head. He spoke to another soldier in an adjoining foxhole.

"Flip me a box of them horror-derives, will you Slim?"

Slim slowly dug a ration out of his hole and tossed it over.

"That's the second 'K' you've had today and it's only eleven o'clock. You got stock in the Chico Company?"

Shad expertly opened the ration with the end of his trench knife. "This is a breakfast which I et an hour ago."

"Well, you can take it or leave it—breakfast is all I've got. You're sure getting to be a gormit—next thing you'll want 'em hot."

"Just gimme the ration and don't give me no trouble."

The two men were in foxholes about ten feet apart dug at the edge of a hedgerow. They were on the lookout for any activity taking place in the German positions on the other side of the field.

Shad looked back and spied a figure crawling toward them up the hedgerow. "You'd better police up your area. Slim, here comes the Lieutenant. It's a good thing they got officers in this army, you'd live in any old hole. Just like eye on a Kraut outfit, a little less than a mile away across that night in Piccadilly, you wanted to take the first 'girl"

"No brass hat, in newspaper terms, wanted them to "slash" without expression."

"Brains don't need no food. If they did we'd all be imbeciles eatin' all these 'K' rations."

About three hours later Shad became rigidly attentive in his hole. He spoke without turning. "Slim, do you see something movin' down there in them trees? Looks like it's right in that third tree from the right, and a few hundred yards beyond."

"Gimme the glasses. You're right it's a couple Krauts crawling along on their stomachs. They're after our beef, damn 'em."

"The sneaking slinkin' crawlin' B—", Shad swore, "Right on their bellies like a couple hungry jackals. This is gonna be a long shot," he added raising his rifle and settling himself.

Dust spurted about ten feet from the Germans. They rose with enthusiasm and sprinted for a hedgerow. Shad got in two more quick shots before they disappeared but it only affected a noticeable increase in the runners' velocity.

"They won't get no purple hearts outta' your shootin'," Slim observed drily.

"Just gimme the ration and don't give me no trouble."

A scornful snort issued from Slim's hole. "So you admit I did have finer instincts."

"Don't know how I missed 'ern. Especially that one with the big butt, it was sticking up like a knoll. I'll bet they're just as hungry as we are for that critter. Do you suppose they're eatin' something like our 'K' rations?"

"Yuh made 'em mad with that wild shootin'."

The Lieutenant stared at the cow thoughtfully. He spoke without expression.

"They tried to steal our steaks," Shad complained bitterly, "A couple of 'em practically had their hungry paws on that cow. I gave 'em a good dustin'."

"By Cripes! That's going too far," The Lieutenant roared, "Keep an eye on them, I'm going to get some mortars ready. Keep shooting if they show up again. You'd better move to new holes." He moved down the hedgerow in a crouching run.

A few minutes later a German mortar shell landed about fifty yards from their foxholes throwing up dirt.

"See what you did," Slim criticized with melancholy, "Yuh made 'em mad with that wild shootin'."

"Don't know how I missed 'em. Especially that one with the big butt, it was sticking up like a knoll. I'll bet they're just as hungry as we are for that critter. Do you suppose they're eatin' something like our 'K' rations?"

"Yeah, I guess they do," Slim answered, "Probably delish drared sauerkraut and wiener with powdered beer."

At eleven o'clock that night Shad, Slim and the Lieu-tenant started crawling across the field toward the trees. The whole company was alerted to cover them if anything happened. Shad was dragging a length of rope. It seemed to him they had crawled clear into Russia when Slim whis
pered, "We're about there."

"How can you tell?" Shad grumbled.

"I've got clinging odorous proof there's a cow around here some place," Slim whispered angrily.

A flare went up and they froze, it outlined the cow standing at the edge of the trees about fifty feet away.

"There she is," The Lieutenant whispered, "Get that rope, ready."

Shad straightened out the loop and they crawled forward.

"What's that?" The Lieutenant whispered and they stopped, listening.

"Sounds like voices."

"The bastards, they're after her too. Here Slim, put this end around her neck," Shad whispered desperately. Slim took the end and crawled toward the cow which was about twenty feet away. A mortar shell exploded too close for comfort. Shad jerked the rope feeling a pull. "We got her, Lieutenant." They started crawling off with the rope over their shoulders.

"Come on Slim," Shad whispered back.

"I'm coming, dammit."

They stopped and Slim crawled up. The rope was fastened securely around his arm. Another mortar shell exploded and a flare lit up the whole area, they hugged the ground.

"What the hell were you doing back there?" Shad whispered.

"What the hell was I doing, what the hell were you doing? Jerking that rope just as I was putting it around the cow's neck and draggin' me all over this damn pasture."

Another flare went up making them feel naked.

Mortar shells began exploding in the German positions.

"We'd better go back," The Lieutenant whispered, "They're onto us now and our mortars have opened up on em."

They crawled between flares.

"You and your damned rope tricks," Slim whispered bitterly.

"Yeah?" Shad puffed, "If I'd been as close to that critter as you were I'd be eatin' a piece of her right now."

The rest of the night flares were fired alternately from both sides till a rabbit could not have crossed the field without drawing fire. Shad complained they were making his steak tough by keeping it awake.

The next morning, from their respective foxholes, Shad and Slim observed the appearance of some object near the Germans' hedgerow.

"What do you make of that?" Slim asked Shad who had the glasses. "I don't know, it looks like a cow, or maybe a cow hide. By Cripes! that's what it is. Them Krauts has set up an eerie whistle followed by a terrible explosion. Something detached itself from the plane and scared that critter over here."

"Where'd it hit?" Shad exclaimed after they had emerged from the very bottom of their holes.

"Right where that cow so lately was." Slim spoke mournfully and reverently.

"Why those dogs! Those haphazard crows of satan, flying around up there bombin' a top sirloin right outta our mouths."

Slim was looking at something in his hand, "Here's your lunch, soldier." He tossed a familiar box in the general direction of Shad.
Corregidor
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west coast of Bataan, within 2000 yards of the enemy lines and well in front of most of the 75s. Then there were the two New Mexico National Guard antiaircraft regiments, the 200th and the 515th CA. Brigadier General William F. Marquat, operating from the Advanced Command Post of USAFFE in Bataan, was the chief coordinator for this group. I managed to see most of the officers and positions besides a short call at General Wainwright’s Corps Headquarters.

It was very dusty in Bataan, with heavy traffic and much engineer road work in progress. All headquarters were well off roads: gun positions even more so. All our batteries were well dug in and camouflaged; morale was excellent. Their only gripe was wanting “more targets.” The Japs supplied only phosphorus incendiaries, burning the town as the Filipino nipa shacks went up like tinder. It was pitiful to see the natives carrying their few little belongings up the road, looking for another place to live.

When I returned to Corregidor I found that Forts Drum and Frank had been under desultory fire from Cavite since morning. Based on the best information available the enemy fire had been returned by Battery Roberts (6”) at Fort Drum, and Batteries Koehler and Frank North at Fort Frank. A muzzle burst at Battery Koehler killed one Philippine Scout soldier and wounded 7 others besides the battery commander, Captain Robert J. White. The latter died in Bilibid Prison Camp a few months later as a result of this wound.

The next day Fort Frank was included in the enemy artillery bombardment. In fact, from that time onward there was the constant threat of enemy fire from the Cavite shore as the Japs unlimbered additional batteries including 150mm and 240mm howitzers. Daily counter-battery action from the fortified islands, especially from Fort Frank, answered the enemy fire. The following quotations from my diary notes of February 15 are typical:

3:40 P.M.—Enemy opened fire on Corregidor from Cavite. Shells falling in vicinity of south dock.
3:52 P.M.—Batteries Hearn (12” gun) and Hamilton (155mm guns) on Corregidor and Frank North opened counter-battery.
4:10 P.M.—Enemy firing ceased.
4:23 P.M.—Capt. Ivey (observer on Cavite mainland) reports salvos hitting right in area of enemy gun flashes. He recommends 155s sweep the area. Battery Frank North starting to “sweep.”
4:28 P.M.—Fort Frank under enemy fire.
4:35 P.M.—Corregidor under fire.
4:55 P.M.—Enemy ceased firing.
5:15 P.M.—Enemy firing on Fort Hughes: broke water pipe at Battery Leach (6”).

Fort Drum was recaptured by pumping 3200 gallons of a gas and oil mixture into the fort and igniting it with the explosion of a 600-lb. charge of TNT.

7:00 P.M.—Battery Frank North completed 139 rounds.
7:11 P.M.—Fort Hughes again under harassing fire.
9:08 P.M.—Corregidor under harassing fire.
9:15 P.M.—Firing ceased.

Late that afternoon Captain Ivey and his observing detail were attacked by a Jap patrol and forced to withdraw. An American corporal was killed and Sergeant Boyd of the 60th captured but Captain Ivey and two Philippine Scouts eventually returned to Fort Frank safely.

Although sporadic, this harassing fire was none the less annoying. You never knew when or where an enemy shell might land. For instance, at 9:30 P.M. on 18 February, just after Battery Frank North ceased counter-battery fire, an enemy shell hit in the powder pit of No. 4 gun wounding 7 members of the crew who were cleaning the gun.

Another lucky shot for the enemy happened the next night. Sometime after midnight the harbor boat Neptune, completely blacked out of course, approached the Fort Frank dock to deliver supplies but withdrew when a shell landed nearby. Later, at about 3:35 A.M. she again came in to dock. At that instant an enemy shell hit her forward deck. Fifteen drums of gasoline went up in a flash as did 500 powder charges for 155mm guns intended for Fort Frank. The fireworks turned the ship into a blazing furnace and threw burning powder all over the post, starting numerous brush fires. Miraculously there were no casualties as the crew jumped overboard and swam ashore but the ship and all supplies aboard were a total loss.

After daylight the next morning Colonel Napoleon Boudreau, the Fort Commander at Fort Frank, sent a volunteer working party of 15 men to Calumpan barrio on the Cavite mainland to repair the fresh water pipe line which had been blown up two days previously by the Japanese. (Both Forts Frank and Drum had salt water distillation plants for emergency use.) While the men were working they were attacked but succeeded in killing 25-30 Japs and got back to Fort Frank safely. One Philippine Scout was wounded in the arm. Colonel Boudreau covered their withdrawal with 75mm fire from Fort Frank. The working detail credited Private James L. Elkins, 60th CA, with most of the Jap casualties. He was armed with a Browning automatic rifle. His only comment was: “I got all I saw.” The enemy retaliated by burning Calumpan and Patungan barrios that night.

*Barrio—Filipino native village.
The author inspecting Topside officers' quarters on Corregidor after liberation from Japanese prison camp.

On 20 February Battery Woodruff (14" gun) at Fort Hughes joined in the counter-battery action for the first time. That night a friendly submarine exited carrying President Quezon and Vice-President Osmena of the Philippine Commonwealth, together with the private and official family of the President. The party was landed safely in the Visayan Islands, from which point some weeks later they proceeded by patrol-torpedo boat to Mindanao and thence by air to Australia.

Some time previously General Moore had ordered the emplacing of two 155mm guns in a new battery position at Fort Hughes, sited to fire back inside the bay. On 22 February Lieutenant Colonel Armand Hopkins, in command at Fort Hughes, reported the construction completed and the battery ready for action. This was named Battery Williams, in honor of First Lieutenant George L. Williams, CAC, killed in action at Abucay, Bataan, early in January.

For the next few days there was a period of Japanese inactivity during which all batteries took advantage of the opportunity to dig in deeper and several fired calibration problems. Meanwhile Batteries Woodruff, Koehler, and Frank North fired daily interdictions at Japanese activities in Ternate and Maragondon on the Cavite mainland. Native informers reported the enemy improving trails, using forced Filipino labor, to new gun positions high in the Pico del Oro hills overlooking Fort Frank.

In 1921 a tunnel for the Seaward Defense Command Post on Corregidor had been started but work was discontinued the next year on account of treaty agreements. After Pearl Harbor the Engineers began again and by the end of February had it about completed.

At 2:00 P.M. February 26 Colonel Boudreau at Fort Frank scattered a Jap looting party in Calumpian barrio with 75mm shrapnel. He also transmitted to Harbor Defense Headquarters a propaganda message he had received from the Japanese through a Filipino civilian. It read:

Surrender Carabao (Fort Frank) and save lives; the whole area along the coast line of Cavite Province is now a Japanese Military Reservation; large guns in large numbers are being massed there; Carabao will be reduced by our mighty artillery fire, likewise Drum; after reduction of Carabao and Drum our invincible artillery will pound Corregidor into submission, batter it, weaken it, preparatory to a final assault by crack Japanese troops. Be wise; surrender now and receive preferential Japanese treatment.

Other similar messages were dropped in leaflet form from time to time.

Early in March an 8" railway gun, which had been brought over from Bataan, was mounted on a prepared concrete base near Road Junction 43, east of Malinta Hill on Corregidor. It had a range of 24,000 yards and all-around fire except to the west which was screened by Malinta Hill. After proof firing by the Ordnance Department it was ready for service but as no troops were available for assignment to it the gun was never in action against the enemy. It had been anticipated that troops would be available from Bataan to man this battery.

Frequently, in early March, we were receiving word of some Philippine vessel trying to reach us with supplies from the southern islands. Among the casualties were the inter-island steamers Princesa, Don Esteban, Legaspi, and Florence D., while the Don Isidro had been bombed and sunk in Port Darwin harbor, Australia. This prompted someone to suggest that the theme song for "MacArthur's Magnificents" should be, "I'M WAITING FOR SHIPS THAT NEVER COME IN." Others were wondering whether the chalk "V" on some of the soldiers helmets stood for "Victory" or "Victim." All of us were strong for Walter Winchell however when he remarked in a States broadcast we picked up: "To hell with this hero stuff; let's send them some HELP!"

By that time the Engineers on Corregidor had completed the construction of reinforced concrete shelters over several 75mm beach defense guns for protection from dive bombing attacks. They had also many other projects under way such as drilling of additional wells, bomb-proofing the Morrison Hill gasoline storage tanks, construction of personnel bomb-proofes at various batteries, erection of Malinta water tanks, Panama Munts (circular rails) for 155s, etc. Many tunnels were started by troops, with the Engineers supplying technical advice and supervision. Innumerable splinter-proofes were built by Beach Defense troops using such scrap or salvage materials as could be spared from the main projects. In fact, all concerned worked unceasingly to better their positions.

In the meantime we had had our 75th air raid of the war while our antiaircraft batteries competed for top honors in
enemy planes shot down. Seacoast batteries in action most frequently against Jap activities in Cavite during this period were Hearn and Hamilton on Corregidor, Woodruff and Leach on Hughes, Roberts and Hoyle on Drum, and Koehler and Frank North on Frank. Our main difficulty was lack of observation. Also, it was almost impossible to spot the enemy artillery flashes as usually he held his firing to the morning hours when we were looking directly into the sun.

About 4 March General MacArthur called the Harbor Defense Commander, General Moore, into conference and told him that he had received orders from President Roosevelt “to proceed to Australia.” He had protested to the President but had nevertheless been ordered to leave. By that time the enemy had established his blockade of the entire Philippines; he had complete air supremacy, and his navy “Wild Eagles” were roaming the Philippine skies daily in search of prey. However, on the night of 11 March, General MacArthur, with his family and staff and Admiral Rockwell with his 16th Naval District staff started on the now famous “dash through the lines.” Four fast navy patrol-torpedo boats were assigned the task of transporting the official party to Mindanao, from which point all flew to Australia four days later.

USAFFFE was succeeded by the United States Forces in the Philippines or USFIP, with Lieutenant General Wainwright in command. He immediately moved to Corregidor from Bataan but made no change in the existing Harbor Defense Command and no change in the conduct of the defense by General Moore. The morning after he arrived General Moore was showing him around and they had just reached Battery Monja, on the South Shore Road, when an air raid came over. General Wainwright rather protested having to step into the sidehill shelter available but a moment later bombs were bursting outside, causing a big landslide and wrecking the battery kitchen. It had been a narrow escape. When they returned from the inspection tour they had just left their car to enter Headquarters when another load of bombs came down, one of which demolished the car they had vacated.

“My Gosh!” exclaimed General Wainwright. “On Bataan you can move around a little, but here on Corregidor you’re right on the bull’s-eye.”

Before breakfast on March 15 Jap artillery shells began falling on Fort Frank. At 8:00 A.M. General Moore and I went to a station atop Malinta Hill to observe the action. By that time Forts Drum and Hughes were also under fire. Our batteries Hearn and Hamilton opened counter-battery but sun and haze combined to make observation very difficult. Soon shells were landing on Corregidor at Middle- side, South Shore Road, and the south dock. During the day however Drum and Frank received the brunt of the bombardment which was from 240mm howitzers. It was certainly painful to see those heavy shells socking the little islands and to know what must be happening.

At Battery Frank North, 2 of the 4-155mm guns were destroyed and the other 2 damaged but repairable. The same was true at the 3” antiaircraft battery manned by E-91st. 7 out of 8 mortars at Battery Koehler were out of action temporarily. Other batteries at Fort Frank received less punishment. Thanks to splinter-proof tunnels, casualties were comparatively few although approximately 500 shells hit the tiny island.

At Fort Drum, one 240mm shell penetrated the casemate shield at Battery Roberts (6”) disabling one gun temporarily. A fire was started but was extinguished before it reached the powder. Lieutenant Sam Madison and several gunners were burned and gassed by the fumes in the casemate. There were about 100 hits on Fort Drum (the concrete battleship). At 5:00 p.m., when I phoned to the fort commander, Lieutenant Colonel L. S. Kirkpatrick, Jap shells were still falling. There was a break in our conversation, then:

“Pardon the interruption, Colonel,” said Kirk, “that last one bounced the phone right off the desk.”

A week later Forts Hughes, Drum, and Frank were again targets for terrific artillery bombardments with the latter receiving the heaviest concentration. Again several hundred 240mm and 105mm shells hit the island.

Intelligence sources indicated considerable enemy activity in coves on the outside coast, south of Manila Bay. As a result additional batteries, which could fire in that direction, got into action. Among these were Craighill (12” mortars) on Fort Hughes, and Wilson and Marshall, the two 14” turret batteries on Fort Drum. During all of this period nightly details of 3 officers and 150 men on Corregidor were loading out barges of supplies to Bataan.

SECOND AERIAL BOMBARDMENT PERIOD AND BATAAN REDUCTION

24 March witnessed what the Japanese press called “the largest air raid carried on so far in the Philippines.” The objective was Corregidor. A Tokyo news release the night before had attributed continued resistance in the Philippines to several reasons all of which revolved around “the powerful fortress of Corregidor.” It was soon evident that the enemy air forces had received replacements for earlier losses and had been reinforced by additional bomber units. This attack coincided with the general resumption of the offensive in Luzon by the Japanese in their final operations against Bataan and Corregidor.

In the next ten days we had 34 air raid alarms during daylight and 30 at night. One alarm sometimes lasted for two hours and included several actual raids by bombing planes. Frequently enemy aerial bombardment was accompanied by artillery fire from Cavite. The operations of March 24 were typical of what followed. Here is a summary:

7:07 A.M.—Batteries Woodruff, Marshall and Koehler opened fire on Cavite targets.

9:24 A.M.—Air Raid Alarm No. 77 sounded.

9:25 A.M.—9 heavy bombers, a new type in this area, bombed Middle Side and Morrison Hill.

9:45 A.M.—27 heavy bombers came in over tail of Corregidor and bombed Middle Side, closely followed by 17 heavies bombing Topside.

9:50 A.M.—25 planes followed by 9 more made another attack. Meanwhile, artillery shells from
enemy batteries in Cavite were bursting on Corregidor. Several fires were started, communication cables and water mains cut, and an ammunition dump of 75mm shells on Morrison Hill was set off. These shells were exploding for hours. Battery Wheeler (12” guns) had a direct bomb hit on the racer of No. 1, putting it out of action temporarily.

11:00 a.m.—All Clear sounded.

2:35 p.m.—Air Raid Alarm No. 78. 9 heavy bombers approached Corregidor from SE. Bombs dropped on Kindley Field.

2:38 p.m.—7 more planes from SE with more bombs. Shelling from mainland also.

3:29 p.m.—All Clear.

3:52 p.m.—Air Raid Alarm No. 79. 9 heavy bombers hit Kindley Field again.

4:20 p.m.—All Clear.

4:40 p.m.—Air Raid Alarm No. 80. Mariveles and Cabacaban areas hit by 9 heavies.

5:03 p.m.—All Clear.

9:15 p.m.—Air Raid Alarm No. 81. 3 medium bombers dropped incendiary bombs in Cheney Ravine, Corregidor; returned later and bombed Bottomside.

10:34 p.m.—All Clear.

Bombs dropped during the day’s raids were of heavier type than formerly, estimated as some 1100 lbs., 500 lbs., and none (except incendiary) less than 200 lbs. 6 enemy planes were shot down and others, severely damaged, were probably lost to the enemy. Altitudes for the heavy bombers varied from 21,000 to 30,000 feet. That day, for the second time, a car I had been driving was demolished by bombs only seconds after I had left it to enter an observing station.

The next day’s seven bombings seemed to concentrate on the Bottomside area, Malinta Hill, and the tail of the island. Numerous wooden buildings were burned including the Post Bakery thus depriving us thereafter of the bread component of our rations. At the cold storage plant, the bombarding burst the ammonia pipes thus putting the finale to use of that facility and necessitating the immediate issue of the remaining small stock of frozen beef for Bataan and ourselves. Always, between raids, communication details were at work repairing lines.

On the morning of 26 March I was making my daily Operations Officer rounds of the batteries when the air raid alarm sounded. I stopped at B-60th, an antiaircraft gun battery at Topside. Flash messages were coming in continually on the AAAIS phone and ran something like this:

“Six enemy dive bombers over Ternate, flying west.”

“Motors heard in the south.”

“Nine Jap Zero fighters over Mariveles, high, flying southeast.”

“Seven heavy bombers, high, over Monja Island, coming toward Corregidor.”

A Texas antiaircraft gun on the height finder drawled:

“Somethin’ tells me the Japs have air superiority.”

The bombers continued to approach. The sergeant in charge of the director to lateral observer:

“Get on that leading plane and keep tracking.”

Reply: “I will if they’ll keep the bombs out of the way so I can see it.” He wasn’t crazy either. The bomb bays opened and down they came, from 27,000 feet. That was more than 5 miles up and they would take over 40 seconds coming down. With the bombs halfway down the planes came within range and the battery commander, Captain Arthur Huff, gave the order:

“COMMENCE FIRING!”

Battery B fired 18 rounds, all being in the air before the first one burst. One bomber started smoking badly, dropped out of the formation, and lost altitude until she crashed in Manila Bay. One less to worry about. Most of the bombs hit on Morrison Hill that time, two straddling the height finder at C-60th. The detail ducked but the instrument was knocked out of adjustment.

It took plenty of iron nerve to stand there turning a handwheel or wait, projectile in hand, for the order to load, with the bombs falling faster every second. My hat is still off to those antiaircraft batteries.

Inasmuch as the enemy heavy bomber operations were then based on Clark Field his attacks extended over a longer period of daylight hours than in December and January. There were many landslides blocking roads, besides impassable bomb craters, so that Engineer road crews were kept busy continually on repair work. On March 24 a considerable quantity of TNT stored in the north end of Topside barracks received a direct hit and blew up, demolishing that end of the barracks. No personnel had been quartered there since Pearl Harbor.

Early on the morning of 27 March it was noticed that 45 bancas had been assembled on the beach of the Cavite mainland, south of Fort Frank. The Fort Commander, Colonel Boudreau, interpreted this as a preparation to send a landing party to test his defenses. He opened fire with 75mm guns and destroyed all bancas. Every day various seacoast batteries engaged every reasonable target on the Cavite mainland with artillery fire.

At 5:00 p.m. on 30 March 2 bi-motored bombers approached Corregidor at just above 20,000 feet. Both were shot down in a brief fire action which caused much concern to the Japanese. Their excitement, evidenced in intercepted radio messages, suggested that persons of importance may have been aboard. Later, in prison camp, we read in the Japanese press, under the caption A Year Ago Today, this quote:

“A group of Axis officials including military attaches are now on an air tour of the southern areas.”

We wondered. Anyway, the Japs kept their bombers up to 30,000 feet thereafter.

The first few days in April developed relatively less enemy activity against the fortified islands as the Japanese
were concentrating their efforts against the Bataan peninsula in accordance with their radio announcement of April 2 that, “we are starting an all-out offensive in Bataan.” This culminated in the capitulation of the Luzon Force on 9 April.

The enemy contented himself during this period with occasional attacks on the island forts by small formations of bombers and daily shelling from Cavite which was answered by our counter-battery.

The early fall of Bataan appearing unavoidable, orders were issued on 8 April for the withdrawal of the two 3" gun batteries that were extending our antiaircraft defense into Bataan. These were G-60th and C-91st. The movement was accomplished during the night of 8-9 April amid vast congestion and confusion along all roads in the Mariveles area caused mainly by the masses of civilian refugees retreating before the Japanese. Due to forcible intervention by the Military Police, the troops were permitted to withdraw only two 3" antiaircraft guns and about 650 rounds of ammunition. All equipment left behind such as antiaircraft guns, searchlights, radio direction finder, fire control instruments and ammunition was destroyed or damaged beyond repair. This had been the battalion commanded by Lieutenant Colonel Howard Breitung, 60th CA.

From 6:10 p.m. 8 April till 5:00 a.m. 9 April upon call from the Luzon Force Commander, Battery Hearn on Corregidor put down interdiction fires on Bataan roads to delay the southward advance of the enemy.

When Bataan fell, General Wainwright directed that no troops would be brought to Corregidor except the 45th Inf. (PS), our antiaircraft gun batteries mentioned above, and the nurses; also, that no civilians would be evacuated to Corregidor. The 45th was actually assembled but never reached Mariveles for embarkation. The nurses did get over safely.

However, in spite of the General’s prohibition, about 1600 miscellaneous Army, Navy, and Philippine Constabulary, plus 800 civilians rushed into Corregidor. All night 8-9 April and all the next day refugees from Bataan poured across the narrow channel to “The Rock” by boats, rafts, bancas, or any floating means. Leaflets dropped in Bataan that day stated:

“Your convoy is due in the Philippines on 15 April but you won’t be alive to see it. Ha! Ha!”

At 1:10 P.M. on 9 April we could see troops in fatigue clothing marching northeast on the Cabacaben road. Thus the beginning of the infamous “Death March” out of Bataan. Meanwhile, Corregidor had been subjected to 4 bombing attacks during the morning and Topside was under artillery fire from Cavite. At 3:40 P.M. 9 planes, in waves of 3, bombed Batteries Wheeler and Geary and the Ordnance Instrument Shop. Returning at 3:49 P.M. they proceeded to bomb Malinta Hill and Kindley Field.

All vessels were moved back to the south harbor for better protection from Bataan. The Cavite shore being considerably farther away, that seemed the lesser of two evils.

The artillery personnel from Bataan was assigned to various artillery missions while all others were turned over to Colonel S. L. Howard, USMC, the Beach Defense Commander, to augment his force. Such civilians as were physically able were drafted as laborers for the Quartermaster, the Army Transport Service, or the Engineers. Others parked themselves in Malinta Tunnel day and night.

At 4:00 P.M. 9 April, a Jap 75mm battery which had been rushed forward opened fire on Corregidor from the beach near Cabacaben, Bataan, as a foretaste of what we were to expect. As this battery was in plain sight it was quickly destroyed by 155mm fire from Battery Kysor. However, when our forces in Bataan were out of the way, the enemy lost no time in moving his artillery mass forward and into defladed positions from which to pound Corregidor and Fort Hughes.

**Final Bombardment and Assault Period**

The next morning (10 April) an enemy observation balloon was seen, for the first time, rising from the vicinity of Lamao, Bataan, concurrently with a bombing attack on Topside. A few minutes later a Japanese plane was seen landing on Cabacaben air strip just behind our own captive troops on the road. The day continued:

8:35 A.M.—2d string of bombs—landed at Middle Side.

8:50 A.M.—Artillery shells from Cavite shore falling near Ordnance Point (Corregidor).

9:50 A.M.—Fort Frank under fire.

9:52 A.M.—4 flights of 3 each bombed Topside and Morrison Hill.

9:58 A.M.—2 bombers hit Topside.

10:43 A.M.—2 bombers again hit Topside.

10:56 A.M.—Enemy shelling Corregidor from south mainland.

11:15 A.M.—Bombs dropped at Bottomside and Morrison Hill.

11:21 A.M.—Jap plane landed at Cabacaben airfield, Bataan.

11:27 A.M.—2 heavy bombers hit Morrison Hill.

11:44 A.M.—4 heavies hit between Morrison Hill and Middle Side.

11:47 A.M.—3 planes bombed Morrison Hill.

12:20 P.M.—Jap plane took off from Cabacaben.

12:22 P.M.—Long column of our troops seen marching north on Cabacaben road.

1:13 P.M.—9 heavy bombers, in flights of 3, dropped bombs along South Shore Road.

And so on, hour after hour. Perhaps it should be mentioned that Morrison Hill was an exposed elevation on Corregidor, facing Bataan, where were located two seacoast batteries, Grubbs and Morrison, and an antiaircraft gun battery (G-60th). All of these took terrific punishment.

All our antiaircraft batteries were the particular objectives of enemy bombers that day but shortly thereafter anything visible from Bataan was subjected to gruelling artillery fire at comparatively short ranges. With the great eleva-
Balloons and their own airplanes to spot artillery fire for them, the Japanese were able to adjust quickly and accurately on any point desired. This factor contributed more than anything to the ultimate wearing down of the defenses. This, and the realization that no help was coming.

In the late afternoon of 11 April, five landing barges appeared from around Horns Point, the southwestern tip of Bataan, hugging the shore as they headed for the inner bay. When Batteries Rock Point (155mm), Sunset (155mm) and Hanna (3" ) opened fire the boats promptly retreated outside and around the Point.

On April 12 Battery Kysor destroyed a Japanese harbor vessel off the coast of Bataan but was immediately subjected to counter-battery. Geary's mortars then opened fire on the enemy but were in turn shelled and bombed. There were 9 separate bombings of Corregidor that day while artillery fire was almost continuous. Battery Craigill (12" mortars) on Fort Hughes also participated in the counter-battery action and was shelled from Bataan.

By that time all seacoast batteries and searchlights facing Bataan were under daily accurate artillery bombardment and it was with the greatest difficulty that Ordnance personnel were able to keep some batteries repaired. As time progressed some guns were put out of action permanently.

In contrast with the Cavite shelling where a warning whistle permitted you to hit the dirt if you were on your feet, we found that shells from Bataan, due to its closer proximity, arrived simultaneously with the boom of the cannon. If one caught you it was just too bad. One such shell landed in one of the gun pits of C-60th on Morrison Hill, instantly killing Lieutenant Pace.

During a heavy bombardment on the morning of 15 April part of the Philippine Army personnel manning Battery James (4-3" guns) took shelter in excavations into Morrison Hill behind the battery. The intensity of the enemy artillery fire collapsed the hillside above the entrances, suffocating the 40 Philippine Army occupants.

The Seaward Defense Command, some time previously, had organized a special spotting section with officers detailed as observers in OP's at Topside, Morrison Hill, the Mine Command OP, Malinta Hill, and on the Don Jose, a commercial vessel burned and beached off Hooker Point (tail of Corregidor). From 12 April on this group concentrated on locating enemy batteries in Bataan for our counter-battery action.

On 17 April three B-17's from Australia via Mindanao completely surprised the Japanese (and us) with bombing raids on the Jap occupied Clark and Nichols Fields. Our pleasure was short-lived however as we learned the next day that the raiding planes had used the small remaining stock of aviation gas on Mindanao for their return hop to Australia. Nevertheless this demonstration encouraged us to hope that more American planes might be sent our way rather than across the Atlantic.

For several days no 240mm howitzer fire had come out of Cavite and on 18 April the reason became apparent. These heavy batteries had been moved around through Manila and emplaced in southern Bataan for bombardment of Corregidor. Thereafter they were a constant threat and with their high angle fire were able to blast out our 12" mortar pits which flat trajectory weapons had been unable to reach. By that time a number of our seacoast and antiaircraft guns had been disabled. Battery Morrison (6" guns) was out completely and the personnel manning it (C-91st from Bataan) had been transferred to Battery Grubbs, 2-10" guns facing Bataan.

At Topside all height finders were out but one. A telephone circuit from that one provided altitudes for the other batteries pending repair of their own instruments. It was apparent that everything visible from Bataan was fast being put out of action thus crippling our counter-battery work. To remedy this I suggested, and General Moore approved, the selection of a number of 155mm positions defiladed from Bataan. Ten were chosen. Guns would be emplaced in some of these to fire counter-battery. That night they would be moved to other positions and the scheme repeated. The plan worked splendidly. These were called "roving guns" and were designated by the name of the officer commanding the battery. Enemy fire inflicted minor damage on the matériel each day but frequent inspections and efficient ordnance repairs kept these roving guns ready for action most of the time.

On 24 April, starting at 3:00 p.m. an exceptionally heavy concentration of 240mm fire was put down on Battery Crockett (2-12" guns), punishing the battery severely. No. 1 gun was put out of action and there were several casualties. The rear of the battery emplacement was a mass of debris. Shot hoists were ruined and a fire was started in the power passages of the emplacement but fortunately it did not reach the powder rooms.

The controlled mine channel on the north side of Corregidor being then subject to artillery fire from Bataan, two navy small boats started sweeping a channel through the contact mine field south of Corregidor in order to permit passage of our own vessels, if any.

Reports which had been received indicated that the enemy might be assembling a landing force up the east coast of Bataan, inside Manila Bay. After dark on 24 April the U.S. Engineer launch Night Hawk, First Lieutenant James Scater, C.E., in command, with a volunteer crew of six enlisted men from the 59th and 60th Coast Artillery regiments, made a reconnaissance up the east coast of Bataan looking for any concentration of troops or landing craft. Off Lamao they contacted a small Japanese boat with two men whom they took prisoner. Continuing off Limay, they were hailed by a larger launch (about 120'). The Night Hawk opened machine-gun fire killing most of the Jap crew and setting fire to the boat.

Meanwhile the two prisoners jumped overboard and were shot. Lieutenant Scater was attaching a line to the enemy launch to tow her in when other enemy boats came rushing out from shore. As it was too dark to see anything worth while on shore anyway, he cut loose and ran for it, reaching Corregidor safely at about 5:00 a.m. of the next day.

On that day we had four separate bombing attacks with enemy dive bombers concentrating on shipping in the south harbor. The harbor boat Miley was burned, and sank. Craigill, Geary and two roving batteries fired counterbattery. Standing orders to the contrary, a large crowd had congregated outside the west portal of Malinta Tunnel.
that night for a last smoke before going to bed. At 9:58
P.M. a heavy shell exploded in their midst, causing about
50 casualties. Several were killed instantly.

Beginning on the night of 26 April the Navy Inshore
Patrol, at General Moore's direction, stationed two small
vessels east of Corregidor to patrol about 600 yards offshore
during hours of darkness and to give warning of the ap-
proach of any enemy by a vertical sweep of their search-
lights.

The next two days were a prelude to a tremendous offen-
sive effort by the enemy on 29 April in honor of Emperor
Hirohito's birthday. We had several bombing raids each
day while Kindley Field and the north dock area received
the heaviest shelling. Battery Monja and roving batteries
Farris, Byrne, and Rose were especially active against
enemy targets, the latter setting fire to a Japanese Harbor
boat, the Ajo, with four direct hits.

Battery Way (4-12" mortars) on Corregidor, which had
been out of service for several years, had been taken over
by Battery E-60th under Major William Massello, when
they returned from Bataan. Having completed recondi-
tioning the battery, it was proof fired shortly after noon
on the 28th and reported ready for action.

As anticipated the enemy "celebration" began early on
the 29th. As our Air Raid Alarm No. 260 sounded, two
flights of bombers hit Fort Hughes, 3 dive bombers strafed
Malinta Hill and south dock areas, enemy shells from
Bataan hit Bottomside, and his observation balloon rose
above Cabacaben. The record continues:

7:55 A.M.-6 dive bombers hit Malinta Hill and 92d
CA garage.
8:00 A.M.-Extremely heavy shelling at both portals
Malinta Tunnel and north dock.
8:21 A.M.-Enemy shelling Topside while observation
plane overhead adjusts fire. Stockade
level and old Spanish Fort receiving
some shells.
8:40 A.M.-Counter-battery fired from Batteries Mar-
shall, Crofton, Way, Cheney, Craighill,
10:00 A.M.- Geary, Byrne, Rose and Farris.
9:23 A.M.-Bombs dropped on west end of Corregidor.
9:35 A.M.-Battery Ramsay and H-60th bombed. Fire
started below Middleside incinerator.
9:57 A.M.-Middleside barracks bombed; several casu-
alties.
9:58 A.M.-Enemy shelling North Point.
10:02 A.M.-Two ammunition dumps at Topside on fire.
Shells exploding continually.

Thus it continued until dark. As a result of the enemy
action, a number of our observing stations on Malinta Hill
were destroyed. The power plant for No. 8 seacoast search-
light was hit and burned. The three 75mm beach defense
guns atop Malinta Hill were wrecked as was a 1.1 quad-
ruple mount, automatic weapon installed there. Several offi-
cers and enlisted men were killed and more wounded.
Numerous wooden buildings that had escaped thus far
were burned. All in all it was an extremely busy day for us.

That night two naval seaplanes from Australia, via
Mindanao, landed in the bay south of Corregidor at about
11:00 P.M. They brought some much needed hospital
supplies and 740 mechanical fuzes for 3" antiaircraft am-
munition. As soon as these were unloaded, fifty selected
passengers (including about 38 American nurses) were
taken aboard and the planes took off for Lake Lanao,
Mindanao, without having been detected by the Japanese.

With the enemy's final preparations for assault on Cor-
regidor, the action progressed with ever increasing tempo.
Day and night we were under fire from some of the more
than 400 pieces of artillery which the Japanese had em-
placed in Bataan and Cavite. This was interspersed with
several bombings daily of Corregidor and Fort Hughes
while our antiaircraft and seacoast batteries engaged all
reasonable enemy targets.

On May 2 the Coast Artillery Mine Planter Harrison in
the south harbor was hit and burned, and the Master, Chief
Warrant Officer James Murray killed. In fact, the vessels
remaining were such frequent objectives for bombing and
strafing that the crews came ashore. Colonel Val Foster,
then Fort Commander at Fort Hughes, found useful as-
ignment for most of the navy personnel from the three
gunboats.

That was the day the Crockett-Geary area at Topside
underwent a 5-hour plastering from 240mm howitzers
while other calibers were sweeping the rest of the island.
At 4:27 P.M., a big shell penetrated the magazines of Bat-
tery Geary, which blew up, taking out the whole center
traverse and reducing the battery to rubble. The heavy
mortars were scattered over the landscape while huge blocks
of concrete were thrown more than half a mile. Fortu-
nately, most of the personnel had taken shelter in a
store room at the south end of the emplacement and were
unharmed. Four men who were trapped in a rear passage
at the north end of the battery were liberated next morning
when the engineers drilled through a concrete wall. As I
saw them driven away in an ambulance I had little hope
for any of them but three of the four did recover.

No. 1 Harbor Defense searchlight, near Battery Point,
also received a terrific concentration of 240mm fire which
buried the light. Over 300 shells exploded there before
Battery Marshall, firing from Fort Drum, silenced these
enemy guns.

The next day was more of the same, with no respite from
the incessant shelling and bombing. At about 8:00 p.m.,
a U.S. submarine stopped outside the south channel mine
field for an hour. Navy small boats were sent out to her
via the recently swept channel through the mine field, tak-
ing about a dozen army and navy officers, 13 or 14 Ameri-
can nurses, and many USAFFE and USHIF official records.

I think we all realized that there would be no more outgo-
ing groups and I'll never forget that little procession of offi-
cial cars leaving Malinta Tunnel for the south dock. Each
fortunate passenger was happy to be escaping from that
hell on earth, but sick with pity for the rest of us. Among
the nurses was Lieutenant Mary Lohr who took a hurriedly
scribbled note from my hand as she waved "Good-bye" and,
upon her arrival in San Francisco, kindly delivered it to
my wife.
On 4 May there was again the continuous drum-drum of detonations, separated by seconds only. After having pounded our batteries and observation points for weeks the enemy attack switched that day to the Corregidor beaches facing Bataan, such as James Ravine, Power Plant Ravine, and the beach between North and Cavalry Points. Beach Defense communications on that side of the island were disrupted and some machine guns and 75s were damaged. General Moore alerted our beach defenses for possible landing attack before the moon rose at 11:00 P.M. No Japs showed up however.

5 May saw all four of the fortified islands under heavy enemy fire. It seemed that as soon as one of our batteries opened up, several Jap batteries cracked down on it. When we were fortunate enough to silence one, another opened up in its stead. During the morning we had four bombing raids on Corregidor. At 12:30 P.M., under an order from General Wainwright, heavy counter-battery fire was opened simultaneously by Batteries Crofton, Marshall, Way, Cheney, Wheeler, Monja, and roving batteries Wright, Rose and Gulick. Three enemy ammunition dumps were set on fire and several of his batteries silenced, at least temporarily.

Air Raid Alarm No. 300 was sounded at 2:47 P.M. with Fort Hughes on the receiving end. Both mortar pits at Battery Craighill were filled with debris and there were several casualties.

By 6:30 P.M. all the fortified islands were being pounded terrifically. On Corregidor, it was especially the north shore and tail of the island. Communication lines were shot out in many places, numerous beach defense guns and searchlights were out of action, and many beach defense land mines had been blown up by enemy artillery fire.

At 9:00 P.M., Colonel Howard, the Beach Defense Commander, reported all of his stations manned. At 10:00 P.M., General Moore again alerted all control points for possible landing attack. The two small naval vessels were stationed as usual east and northeast of Corregidor, to warn of the approach of enemy landing boats by a vertical sweep of their searchlights.

At that time, the Japanese cannonading of the tail of the island was very heavy and many telephone lines were still out. Most of the beach defense installations on the north side of the island were practically non-existent, with barbed wire entanglements, machine-gun emplacements, personnel shelters, and most of the 75mm guns destroyed. The terrain was bare of trees and vegetation and the ground was powdered dust. Malinta Hospital was full and overflowing with sick and wounded.

I was on duty at the Operations Desk in Harbor Defense Headquarters until midnight when I turned over to my assistant Lieutenant Colonel Clair Conzelman. Several of us were still there, talking with General Moore when a Marine Corps runner arrived breathless from North Point and reported a landing there "of probably 600 men."6

Messages were immediately sent to all control stations by every means available. The Seaward Defense Commander, Colonel Bunker, was ordered to send the 59th CA personnel manning Batteries Cheney, Wheeler, Crockett, and Geary to positions in Beach Defense Reserve. These included Batteries B, C, D, and H. Later, other Coast Artillery troops manning seacoast and antiaircraft armament were released to the Beach Defense Commander in accordance with a prearranged plan of priorities.

An enemy barrage caught C-59th (Captain Harry Schenk) while passing through Bottomside and killed several, including the battery executive, Captain Arthur D. Thompson. This battery and B-59th (Captain Herman Hanck), moved to positions in readiness for counter-attack in the East Sector, under the Beach Defense Commander.

A Scout messenger from Lieutenant Colonel Lloyd Biggs, 92d CA, brought word he had formed a line across Kindley Field Water Tank Hill with Batteries E and F, 92d, and was cooperating with the Marines in the East Sector Defense.

Out beyond the Jap landing point was one 2-gun 75mm beach defense battery, commanded by First Lieutenant Ray G. Lawrence, 92d CA, which had never disclosed its position. Its fire apparently came as a complete surprise to the Japanese. These two guns fired a total of 195 rounds at close range, sinking many landing barges, and accounting for hundreds of casualties. Lieutenant Lawrence stated later, that the continuous stream of defending tracer bullets from the shoreline gave enough illumination to permit firing at enemy barges. By 1:30 A.M. the full moon was lighting up the situation.

Information obtained subsequently from Japanese officers indicated that the first wave consisted of 2,000 of whom only 800 got ashore. Their second wave totalled 10,000; losses, over 4,000. All batteries that could bear on the landing party opened fire, including those at Fort Hughes, meanwhile our beach defense forces engaged in hand to hand fighting with the enemy on shore.

At 4:00 A.M., Fort Drum opened fire on the Cabacaban dock. As dawn broke about 20 minutes later, a wave of landing boats was seen approaching our north dock area. Drum charged target to the boats which were also taken under fire by roving batteries Wright and Gulick with devastating effect. A-91st with Battery Stockade (1-155mm) also got into the action. This artillery fire broke up what appeared to be another landing attack destined for Bottomside and Power Plant Ravine.

The East Sector Commander, Lieutenant Colonel Curtis L. Beecher, USMC, had reported "situation well in hand," but new enemy landings behind our line near Infantry Point necessitated withdrawal toward Malinta Hill.

The Beach Defense Reserve Battalion and Batteries B and C, 59th CA, counterattacked in the East Sector soon after daylight and drove the enemy back some distance but with the sunrise, effective artillery fire from Bataan was brought to bear on our troops while dive bombers in large numbers strafed them mercilessly. Some of our men were driven back into Malinta Tunnel while all others were pinned to the ground.

At 10:20 A.M. it was learned that enemy tanks had landed on the island and were assembling in the vicinity of Kindley Field. General Wainwright sent for General Moore and informed him that, in view of the present situation and what might be expected to occur during the ensuing night,
and in order to prevent the further useless sacrifice of lives, he had decided to surrender the fortified islands to the Japanese at noon. He was going to have a message to that effect broadcast at once. He further directed that the armament be destroyed in accordance with secret instructions already issued to regimental and fort commanders, this to be accomplished by noon; also, that the command would lay down arms at noon at which time the Corregidor flag would be lowered and burned and a white flag displayed. These instructions were relayed to all concerned as fast and insofar as disrupted communications permitted. All units received the orders in time to comply with instructions except Fort Hughes.

At noon (this was 6 May 1942) our firing ceased and the post flag, which had been shot down and replaced twice under fire during the siege, was lowered and burned by Colonel Paul D. Bunker, the Seaward Defense Commander, whose Command Post was at Topside not very far from the flagpole. He was accompanied on this sad duty by Lieutenant Colonel Dwight Edison, 59th CA.

A flag of truce was carried out by Captain Golland H. Clark, USMC, accompanied by First Lieutenant Allan S. Manning, USMC. They proceeded eastward from Malinta Hill until they contacted the enemy and were taken to the senior Japanese officer on the spot. Neither nationality could speak the other's language but the difficulty was overcome when it was found that Lieutenant Manning and a Jap officer could manage a few words of French to each other. About an hour later the Marine officers returned with the word that General Wainwright should come out to the Japanese commander if he desired to discuss terms.

Accordingly General Wainwright, accompanied by General Moore and their respective aids, Lieutenant Colonel Johnnie Pugh and Major Tom Dooley, and Major Bob Brown, proceeded by car, under a white flag, to the foot of Kindley Field Water Tank Hill where they got out and walked up the hill to meet the Jap commander. Dead and dying were on every hand, the proportion being about three Japs to one American.

At the conference with the Japanese officials arrangements were made for General Wainwright to be taken to Bataan to meet General Homma, their supreme commander in the Philippines. After some delay this was accomplished by means of a Jap boat from North Point to Cabacaben, Major Dooley accompanying the General. Meanwhile General Moore and the others returned to our headquarters, traversing en route an area being swept by artillery fire from Bataan and strafing planes. The white flag flying meant nothing to our antagonists.

Around 4:00 p.m. the Japanese moved in and took charge and we were no longer free agents. That night they landed, unopposed of course, at Fort Hughes and took over, followed by similar operations the next afternoon at Forts Drum and Frank.

The final gallant defense of the Philippines had ended.

In Retrospect

In retrospect, many ideas suggest themselves as to what might have been. One wonders if a closer knit defense might not have resulted had we had a real unification of the services in 1942. How much damage could the B-17s, lost at Clark Field on the opening day of the war, have done to the invading Japanese convoy? Had hostilities been deferred until the American convoys then en route reached the Philippines how much stronger would have been our defensive effort? Idle thoughts now.

The fact remains that the fortified islands of Manila Bay, and especially the island fortress of Corregidor, with an area of less than two square miles, withstood a siege by vastly superior forces on land, sea, and air, for five months. It is doubtful if any similar area had ever before been subjected to such heavy concentrations of artillery fire and aerial bombardment.

During these operations our antiaircraft had established new records in enemy planes shot down; our beach defense forces had inflicted unprecedented losses on the enemy before yielding; and our seacoast artillery had actually accomplished its assigned mission of denying Manila Bay to the enemy navy. In that connection it should be remembered that those few outmoded seacoast batteries which remained intact after the terrific bombardment and shelling to which they were subjected, actually forced the Japanese to defeat our field army and to stage a costly landing on Corregidor in order to capture these batteries and secure Manila Bay.

When informed of the surrender General MacArthur stated:

"Corregidor needs no comment from me. It has sounded its own story at the mouth of its guns. It has scolled its own epitaph on enemy tablets. But through the bloody haze of its last reverberating shot, I shall always seem to see a vision of grim, gaunt, ghastly men, still unafraid."

Later, in a Japanese prison camp in Manchuria, a group of Dutch officers asked me to review the Corregidor campaign for them which I did on two successive evenings. At the conclusion the senior Dutch officer, Captain C. G. Bozuwa, Royal Netherlands Navy, thanked me kindly and closed with these words:

"Before the war, Corregidor was to us just a name on the map; during the war, it became to us a symbol of the matchless courage and fortitude of the American fighting forces; now, Corregidor has become for us a TRADITION!"

May the tradition of Corregidor live on in the hearts and minds of Americans everywhere!
In considering going underground, it is easily recognizable that such a move is highly practicable in enhancing our national security in view of the modern type and future potential type of warfare. The underground evolution can and should be achieved gradually, and in its achievement might give the rest of the world evidence of our caution and potential strength.

Will international distrust—the nonacceptance of U.S. good intention and the threat to peace—force the United States to undertake a policy of perpetual preparedness necessitating costly protective measures for our industrial facilities? And, will war potential and war protection play an equal role with commercial practicality in industrial planning in the future?

These questions, stimulated by the slow progress at the peace tables of the world, have caused speculation on the utilization of underground sites in the United States for storage and factory facilities to reach a high point among people interested in the future invulnerability of our nation in the event of another war. This speculation, of course, is natural. Both the novelty and practicability of protected subterranean facilities grasp the attention of the curious and concerned.

The science of warfare has altered so rapidly in recent years, the military feasibility of underground utilization is still in the research stage. True, such utilization was proven practicable during the past war, but those instances were the result of emergency action rather than of long-range planned defense. Consequently, widespread utilization in the future must come as the result of careful study and planning and extensive preparation, which, naturally, takes time, and which, of course, makes the present too early to anticipate any lengthy official information on the subject.

The idea of subsurface facilities is not new. It was put into practice by many nations prior to and during the war, and proved practical to varying degrees, and, in some instances, highly impracticable. At the end of the war, the knowledge of these experiences was part of the vast knowledge gained by U.S. Armed Forces, and with the advent of peace, this data was being weighed and evaluated by those charged with the security of our nation.

Although the report of the survey is classified and has not been made public, it is understood that the survey covered some 1,000 representative types of underground sites, determining their possible utilization value for storage and other industrial facilities.

Research has made it evident that, contrary to popular misconception, natural caves do not make the best underground sites for these purposes. Natural twists and variations in levels make a great amount of reconstruction requisite. Another detrimental factor existent in caves is high humidity, which is harmful to stored materials and costly to control. And since many of the cave hollows have been cut by underground streams, or water seepage, conditions of high humidity usually exist and make the site unsuitable for further use.

The preliminary survey report provides a wealth of data, and a concrete picture of the value, locations, and accessibility of underground sites for potential utilization. It also furnishes data on the apparent and possible weaknesses of
these sites. Other studies will be required to determine whether or not constructed subsurface facilities will provide better service and protection and prove less costly.

Quite apparent are some of the advantages manufactured sites have over natural sites. Mechanically constructed subsurface facilities can be built per specifications based on need in accordance with the latest military knowledge of the subject, where needed and with ideally chosen accessibility, and to the size desired, whereas natural sites would be limiting in most cases in each of these features except in highly coincidental cases. Constructed facilities may also prove to be less expensive than reconstructed natural facilities.

In considering going underground, it is easily recognizable that such a move is highly practicable in enhancing our national security in view of the modern type and future potential type of warfare. Now, more than ever before, and even more so in the future, as the Atomic Bomb and similarly devastating weapons indicate themselves as standard weapons in future warfare, utilization of below-surface protection is essential to the unhampered productivity of our industry, our populace, and material resources during any future hostilities. Effects of the strategic bombing in Europe and Japan during the past decade proved that even in the use of the lesser weapons of the recent era, underground factories and facilities are an absolute necessity. The good condition of such facilities in Germany after bombing which obliterated whole cities indicates the greater impregnability of “down under. . .”

However, “going down” for the human race is much more problematical than for the Gopher. There are many difficulties involved, requiring a special research and development program. So different is such a project from anything we have had to date, a vast study, in addition to all which has been achieved heretofore, is requisite before a workable plan for underground protection can be perfected.

Evaluating Underground Utilization

In an attempt to evaluate the necessity and value of underground utilization it is essential to start at the point whereupon this element of warfare first assumed an importance in the modern age. Militarily, the underground has been used for centuries and was proven practicable. Many of our modern fortresses and installations, primarily those of stable defense artillery, have subterranea spaces for storage, magazine, and shelter purposes, all of which are of proven value. Corregidor’s great stand is a monument to the value in the era just past as were the Catacombs a monument to that value in Antiquity. Now, it becomes the task of the Army-Navy Munitions Board to acquire the knowledge to recommend the action which will achieve more than a monument of defense, but a monument of invulnerability.

In the Pacific phase of World War II, the Japs utilized the underground whenever possible, and, in those territories and islands long in their possession, went to great pains to provide such facilities. The accumulative defense tenacity of these measures added to the time extent of that phase of the war. Each cave so utilized by the Nips proved a veritable fortress and took all the power our forces could muster to obliterate it.

In Germany, underground sites were utilized extensively, and there, too, proved valuable. At Neuhof, Germany, the salt mine was used by the German Army for their medical and chemical warfare supplies and quartermaster materials as long ago as 1936. The mine, 1,800 feet below the surface of the ground, had 64 miles of storage space. Hundreds of tons of supplies were stored there. Small trains with battery motor power were used with a great deal of efficiency for transportation through the tunnels, while two speedy elevators were used for communication with the surface.

Along with using these spaces for storage, the Nazis used some of the huge compartments to fill hand grenades and explosives.

At Geislingden, Germany, the enemy had achieved a huge factory cut into a hill which provided both natural camouflage and natural protection. Although it took only two tons of dynamite, carefully distributed throughout the entire plant by our victorious forces to destroy this factory, flotillas of B-29’s could have unloaded carloads of blockbusters on top of it with little more effect than the concussion experienced by a battleship from its own guns.

With the termination of hostilities many of our officers returned to the United States highly impressed with the value of the underground for industrial facilities. However, until all possible information on the subject has been examined thoroughly, it is impracticable to determine what influence the experiences in Europe will have on our activities. After an extensive study and a careful evaluation of all possibilities, with complete data, and the proven military feasibility of subsurface utilization, it is presumed that plans will be developed further. At the moment underground investigations are confined to research projects and little more.

As earlier stated, the value of underground utilization was proven to some extent during the late war, and, rumor and fact indicate that other nations are a little faster and less cautious in seizing upon the idea as a defense measure. Great Britain, which had underground factories which withstood the pounding during the Battle of Britain, is said to be enlarging upon what it had previously. Another country, it is persistently rumored, has pressed prisoner-of-war labor into the construction of the largest underground airfield in the world—located in a highly dominating and commanding area, which has been semiglobal air-striking potential. Sweden, also, has many such facilities, some of which have been constructed since the war.

With such a background, subterranean facilities cease to be a matter of conjecture, but a concrete element in the science of modern warfare—an element worthy of careful study and evaluation. This evaluation will require, among many other things, an extensive study into the geophysical aspects, morale and psychological effects, effectiveness of the below-surface sites against specific weapons, the efficient interweaving of sites into the national industrial pattern, costs, and the extent to which American industry might or should go underground.

Earlier information eliminates the utilization of natural caves for this purpose, outside of, perhaps, as storage facilities for rugged materials. Abandoned mines might prove to be of some value for storage only, because of structure and limited accessibility.

In order for an underground site to be efficient as well as protective as a factory facility, it must have normal and
expeditive accessibility for both personnel and materials. One of the great errors committed by the Germans was in commandeering underground mines with limited means of physical communication with the surface for below-surface factories. It has been reported that as much as half a shift was absorbed in some instances in the transportation of workers to and from the surface. With portal-to-portal pay a labor factor in the modern world, such a situation would mean: "Go down; go up; go bust!"

Similar and many other problems rule out the extensive use of abandoned coal mines as practical underground facilities, aside from limited storage and shelter purpose. Upon abandonment, most of the physical communication systems are removed along with much of the protective construction such as shoring and other similar construction. Subsequent deterioration and collapse offer extensive costly construction to achieve rather limited space, and a good argument for man-made below-surface areas.

ABANDONED QUARRIES LIMITED

It has been suggested abandoned quarries are highly adaptable for the purpose, but these, too, are limited because of their nature and relation to national economy. Quarries invariably never "run out," and are almost limitless in their productivity. The causes of their abandonment have generally been production costs because of having been cut to a depth that equipment to bring the product to the surface is more costly than profitable, depressions, which curtail building activity and consequently the demand for quarry material, and the war, which had a similar effect. Building booms and normal national material expansion would complicate underground planning to an embarrassing extent, and in the case of the former limitation—depth—it might prove equally limiting because of costly accessibility.

Relatively, there is little left for our industrial and defense planners to do but figure on constructed subterranean facilities.

For such construction, it becomes immediately apparent that soft stone areas, primarily limestone, are most adequate and widespread in our country for the purpose of underground building. Limestone, according to the Department of Interior's Minerals Yearbook, "in the form of dimension stone, is used almost exclusively for building purposes. Under normal conditions limestone is the most widely used building stone..."

Areas of this and similar stone are located in almost every part of the United States, offering part of the solution to the general problem—location. Materials on (or in) site are a valuable asset in such a selection.

In construction of a below-surface facility, it can be recognized that if such a facility must be constructed for protective reasons the problem of depth is of major importance. How deep must one go to be immune from modern or possible future weapons? Apparently, from the type of weapons now used, the depth need not be great. Bombs do not have a great penetrating effect, and all known explosives have a tendency to blow up or about rather than down, and, it is doubtful, if a propelled missile, such as a rocket, could add much to the normal weight velocity of a standard artillery missile or bomb to achieve greater ground surface penetration.

In any event, available statistics on the earth surface penetration of existing weapons will provide the key to both the depth needed and an estimate of the penetrability of possible future weapons. However, ventilation systems now used could be vulnerable points in the impregnability of Mother Earth. Radioactivity might be able to transverse this system as could chemical weapons. However, proper plating could protect such a facility from radioactivity and sealing up ventilation systems and using emergency oxygen during attack periods, with the aid of proper decontamination systems could negate the effects of such attacks upon underground facilities. Better still would be a ventilation system, composite within the facility with a completely artificial air source and with a standby oxygen supply for emergencies caused by breakdown or power failure.

In the realm of morale, underground facilities offer a complex problem, not new, but a new version on an old mental quirk relative to the underground. Maintaining good morale among workers in underground developments should not be too difficult. There is little difference in working in a below-surface factory than in many of our modern plants which are completely sealed from natural atmosphere, depending completely upon artificial light, and mechanical ventilation. The problem, however, will be primarily in "conditioning" workers to overcome the "trapped" complex which invariably strikes one in working in such a plant. Normally, the worker in such a plant becomes accustomed to the odd surroundings the underground facility offers, but "warmth" in the interior design of such a facility would aid the underground worker immeasurably in overcoming whatever qualms he might have upon entering such a facility.

A salt mine in Neuhof, Germany, was used as a storage bin by the German Army for their medical and chemical warfare supplies and quartermaster material, as long as 10 years ago, a further indication of the long-term planning of the Nazis for World War II.
industrial facilities, for the most part, are ill-planned because similar to those used by the Navy for its submarine personnel, will have to be assumed to assure the worker that his health is not being impaired by his "gopher" activity.

Generally, during war, underground morale will be dependent upon the same assurances it took for workers under normal conditions during the past conflict.

Perhaps the biggest psychological threat in the idea of underground protection is not the fearful effect of such a situation on people but the overconfidence such protection might instil in our people. The Maginot Line, and its effect on the French populace is highly indicative of what extreme faith in a defense measure can accomplish. In a similar but smaller fashion, our nation suffered because of our confidence in the protection of a few thousand miles of ocean. Initially, with the inception of below-surface protection for our industry and people, should come an emphasis that such a measure, great as it might be, is but a small part of national defense. Our nation, like the ostrich, might well stick its head into a hole only to have its tail feathers—or something less superficial—shot off.

Interweaving underground facilities into the national industrial network could be more of an asset than a problem for it would be part of a planned industrial layout. Existing industrial facilities, for the most part, are ill-planned because of the nature of their inception into the national economy. Hencefore, an industry, at birth, depended on its accessibility to the raw material source, to markets, and, in some cases, on accessibility to labor sources and on economical locale. The type of product, the period, available transportation facilities, and many other factors determined the location of a factory, usually bunching them into compact zones, which now provide excellent target areas for potential enemies attacking.

**Underground Sites Location**

Location of underground sites should go hand-in-glove with decentralization of U.S. Industry, which must also be considered in defense planning. In planning a new facility, a corporation now might well consider the value of strategically locating such a facility away from a "vital" area and, while doing this, consider the feasibility of going underground.

It is doubtful that with modern mechanical methods the cost of constructing an underground plant would much exceed that of a surface plant. It may prove to be less costly, and certainly maintenance of such a facility would minimize some expenses.

Immediate apparent benefits of underground and/or decentralized industries and a classic and tragic example of the danger of a surface and centralized industry were illustrated in the recent Texas City, Texas, devastation. There one nitrate-laden vessel, a rough equivalent to a few blockbusters, started a rush of destruction which demolished a city and destroyed hundreds of millions of dollars of industry which would have been vital in a war emergency. Aside from the material destruction, there were many by-effects, terrifically costly in a war effort. The tie-up of emergency apparatus and personnel, the necessity of the services of medical personnel, and the use of hospital space and housing for such victims would add greatly to the war burden. The whole tragedy caused by an explosive mild in contrast with what the future might offer, gives a vivid illustration of the drastic necessity of remodeling our industrial organization in conformity with the dangers offered in future emergencies.

Like decentralization of industry, the suggestion that industry go underground is a vast assumption. Because of the magnitude of such a move, it cannot be suggested that American industry do either as an outright move; it must be an evolutionary program consisting of dispersed construction of new facilities, and later, as existing facilities deteriorate to a renewal point, replacement with a dispersed facility. More evolutionary will be dispersal of the human element in industry. As industry disperses, labor will follow it, when and if living facilities are provided, and decentralization of industry will become gradually achieved. Such a gradual development of a decentralized and protected industry would nullify the economical effects of such planning and construction. A deliberate move would bring hardship on people and havoc on national economy. Consequently, the overall planning and construction would necessitate subtle reconstruction to eliminate the hardship quick and deliberate action would create. However, a dispersed and protected national industrial might is essential to our national security in the event universal peace is not achieved, and the problems involved should now be studied, and sensible planning undertaken by our people, industry and government.

"Strategical Industrial Survey"

In such planning, it might be suggested that a Strategical Industrial Survey, similar to the strategic bombing surveys of the war, encompassing all the problems and threats, be started. Of course, such a survey would require a great length of time, but its value to our nation would be proven if ever we are engaged in a war in the future. It should be started soon, too, because a nation cannot go underground rapidly, even in time of emergency, and if it is not underground at the outset of a future war, a wartime exodus into the bowels of the earth would have similar effect as the proverbial lock on the barn door—too late.

Such a survey would necessitate consideration of the most important element of industry—its personnel. Along with housing and community facilities, stores, et al, protection similar to the industrial plant would have to be provided underground. Shelter and hospital facilities would be top priority items, the latter being of extreme value in any event. One of the great problems for hospitals faced with air raids was the evacuation of patients. Underground hospitals would have no such problem with which to contend.

At this point, it might be well to recognize the fact that all industries will not lend themselves to underground placement. Shipyards, certain types of mills, and many other industries cannot be drastically converted from their present situations without curtailment of productivity. However, the mere fact that the greater proportion of our industrial strength would be protected by natural fortification, would permit a maximum of surface protection for
those facilities which would have to remain on top of the ground. Protection of similar nature to that provided by the underground, like that used in the German Sub Pens, could be constructed for partial protection. Addition of a thorough antiair or antimissile system about such facilities could provide an almost equivalent to underground protection.

Considering the idea of below-surface protection objectively, it might easily be assumed that the concept is strong only in the minds of those who were frightened too much in the recent war, and that the whole nonsensical business is much to vast and too costly even to be considered. Accumulative indifference to national welfare can well sustain such an assumption and the idea will remain just what it is—an idea. However, a casual scrutiny of the success of the lasting peace efforts and the question: "What are other nations doing along these lines?" along with evidences of what they are achieving will indicate that the matter cannot be dismissed that lightly.

History indicates that a strong, peaceable nation, secure in its defense, is one of the best safeguards of its own peace, and, possibly of the peace of the world. Relatively, if the United States is to be a strong, peaceable nation, it must remain ever strong; ever well defended.

This fact, in conjunction with the type of warfare which may be experienced in the future should peace efforts prove fruitless, plainly indicates it will be a happy day when the vitals of the United States can be shielded within the strong protective armor of mountains, hills and rock formations.

Dropping U.S. industry into a protective hole in the ground and the accompanying moves will prove terrifically costly and inconvenient. There is no doubt about this, but, as proven by our past wars, precautions of this nature ignored in the past because of cost, have the remarkable faculty of proving themselves absolutely necessary in times of emergency, costing many times the peacetime estimates in money, and much more in blood.

The underground evolution can and should be achieved gradually and in its achievement might give the rest of the world evidence of our caution and potential strength. It need not disrupt our way of life drastically, and may eventually, save that very way of life.

Should that horrific day come as some of our advanced push-button theorists predict, an underground industry would transform our country into a huge weapon—THE U.S.A. SUBTERRAINE—not dissimilar to the standard American Sub. Like the submarine, America would lie beneath not a blanket of water but of rock and soil, permitting the enemy to expend its power on U.S. topography, and then torpedo our might against an aggressor.

However, no matter what anyone says, if those men at the peace conference and the peoples backing them fail to create a lasting peace, and nations persist in warfare, the elevator boys of Fate and Intelligence, will open their doors to our nation, cryptically muttering: "GOING DOWN?"

Corps of Engineers Expands Plans for “Protective Construction”

The Corps of Engineers, U.S. Army, has contracted with Guy B. Panero, internationally known engineering firm of New York, "to investigate the feasibility and cost of constructing and operating underground plants and storage sites."

Mr. Panero and his staff have had previous experience with the subject, having prepared a complete report for the Government on underground construction for German industry. The report was based on an on-site investigation made for the Army immediately after the cessation of hostilities.

According to Lieutenant General R. A. Wheeler, Chief of Engineers, these are two additional steps in the "protective construction" program being conducted by the Corps of Engineers to develop information for potential protection of essential war plants against aerial raids such as wrecked enemy industry in the last war. The over-all program will entail investigations in both this country and Europe, and will include cost, as well as technical data on existing foreign underground installations, insofar as is practicable.

Two types of underground plant sites will be considered in this country during the investigation. The first are the existing mines, such as gypsum, copper, lead, zinc, limestone, marble, salt, sandstone and, to a lesser degree, other type mines scattered over half the 48 states.

Available mine sites are already known, having been the subject of a previous survey made by the Corps of Engineers from data compiled to a considerable extent from available records. (See preceding article.)

The current investigation will determine the feasibility and cost of constructing and operating plants and storage depots in this type of underground site. To be considered, a mine has to have in excess of 30,000 square feet of usable floor space, a roof height of not less than eight feet, and a reasonably level floor.

Natural caves are not being considered at this time as they are, in general, relatively small, irregular in character, and at a considerable distance from suitable transportation. Because a majority were formed through erosion by water and have high humidity, they are difficult to modify for plant use.

The second type of site to be considered under the current investigation is the underground chamber purposely excavated to suit the requirements of the plant or storage facilities involved. This type of site calls for an investigation of geological formations best adapted to this purpose.

The current over-all program entails the potential future use of any new construction and construction methods that may be developed by the Corps of Engineers. It also entails an above-ground and underground comparison of the cost, construction and operation of a chemical processing plant, involving large tanks and appurtenances, the generation of large amounts of heat and noxious gases.

The same line of investigation will be followed in regard to precision manufacturing plants.

The studies are part of a long-range program and are being developed under the policy direction of the Army-Navy Munitions Board.
BUZZ-BOMB ASSAULTS ON LONDON

By Colonel Joseph Rogers Darnall, M.C.

For more than a year before the pilotless flying bombs attacked London, I had the experience of witnessing many piloted air raids on southern England. And for several months after bloody dawn of the flying bomb era, it was a dubious privilege to share occupancy of the target—London—which newspapers and radio referred to so evasively as "Southern England."

Heavy censorship was clamped on stories of what transpired in London from June 15, 1944 to September 1, 1944. Only those who were there can have any true concept of the situation in London at that time. Now that censorship is lifted, a brief account of those days may be of interest.

The flying bombs, dubbed buzz-bombs, doodle-bugs, or V-1, killed and injured thousands of people and caused tremendous destruction of property. Millions of occupants of this sprawling target city were under almost continuous bombardment for more than two months. They were subjected to a prolonged, cumulative nervous strain quite different from the concentrated excitement and acute apprehension induced by the furious but short-lived piloted bomber raids.

Typical of the "old-fashioned" fire raids by piloted aircraft was one which occurred on the night of March 14, 1944. It was reported that 175 planes participated and dropped 200 tons of incendiary bombs. The raid began at 10:30 P.M. and continued for more than an hour. Many conflagrations were kindled throughout London and kept the firefighters busy all night. A number of incendiary bombs fell in the vicinity of my hotel and some landed on the roof but were extinguished by fire watchers before serious damage was done.

Air raids featuring incendiaries, high explosive demolition bombs, or both were almost nightly occurrences, but seldom was there a daylight raid. Thus, one could work in London during the day without interruption, and generally look forward to a stimulating air battle over the sombre blacked-out city during the night. The flaming bursts of antiaircraft shells, tracer-like flight of rocket shells, and red parachute flares enlivened a sky already streaked with searchlight beams. It was a far more exciting show than could be seen at any cinema and cheaper, too, for most spectators.

I remember, because of the suspense, a raid which ushered in the 22nd of March, 1944. The sirens wailed an unobstructed view of the very lively show. The rocket guns in Hyde Park were truly spectacular as they belched forth red-flaming projectiles, at terrific velocity, over the Cumberland Hotel, where target-marking parachute red flares. One of these clusters, in which I counted twenty red light flares, hovered in the sky directly over the Cumberland.

A wave of bombers was expected at any moment to drop their deadlly freight on the red flares. Therein lay the suspense. The street beneath my window and the Mount Royal Hotel across the street had assumed a reddish hue, while the clouded sky above was bathed in a deep red glow. The bombers were unusually slow in arriving over the targets that night and the Cumberland was spared as the flares drifted over Hyde Park. The Knightsbridge section, across the park, received the impact of bombs from that first wave.

Searchlights, meanwhile, poked around at the cloud ceiling but found few holes. In a few minutes, tons of high explosive and incendiary bombs rained on London so that the sky soon was alight with the fires of burning buildings.

Two of the bombs, that night, dropped on the Paddington Railway Station in London. One was a 2400-pounder which fortunately was a dud. The other, a 500-pounder, exploded between Tracks 2 and 5, while the Cheltenham Sleeper on Track 2 was waiting to depart. The blast knocked two cars off the track and jarred the equanimity of at least two medical consultants who were aboard.—Colonels Rex Dively and Lloyd Thompson. Eight of the German planes were shot down.

Two nights later, I expected to take the midnight (12:55 A.M.) sleeper for Cheltenham, but again the Luftwaffe interfered with my plans. Beginning at 11:15 P.M., more than a hundred bombers came over, in numerous waves, and dropped many tons of high explosives and incendiaries which started multiple fires throughout the city.

At the beginning of the raid, I met Colonel Jim Mason, General Hawley's staff, in the crowded lobby of the Cumberland Hotel. He had just returned from a flying trip to the States and was accompanied by another officer who had never witnessed an air raid. The three of us watched the show from the Oxford Street and Cumberland Street corner of the hotel, standing on the sidewalk, close to the building for the protection it afforded. There we had an unobstructed view of the very lively show.

The rocket guns in Hyde Park were truly spectacular as they belched forth red-flaming projectiles, at terrific velocity, over the Cumberland Hotel, where target-marking parachute red flares. The low trajectory of the rockets carried them very close to the roof. The cloaked sky above was bathed in a deep red glow, while the clouded sky above was bathed in a deep red glow.

The low trajectory of the rockets carried them very close to the roof. The cloaked sky above was bathed in a deep red glow, while the clouded sky above was bathed in a deep red glow.

We could hear bombs exploding and see some of the fires started by incendiaries, but no high explosive missile fell near us. The fire bombs that dropped on the Cumberland roof were extinguished promptly.

Most of the hotel residents had come down to the lobby or to the lounge below street level, others jammed the deep shelters. It was 1:00 A.M. before the "all clear" sounded.
When daylight came, London was covered by a pall of smoke from the many fires kindled by the bombs. As the sun rose above the roof tops, it resembled a large, lusterless, burnt orange through the smoke haze. Before noon, all fires were under control, most of the smoke had cleared, and the general atmosphere was “business as usual.”

Patients and duty personnel at the 121st Station Hospital near Braintree, England, were spared, miraculously, in April 1944, when a 2,100-pound demolition bomb and several phosphorus bombs were dropped on the hospital during a night raid.

The 121st was occupying an 834-bed Nissen hutted type of hospital plant, but many of the wards were empty. Two hundred eighty-two beds were put out of commission by the blast. The large bomb struck the soft earth near a concrete walk. Big chunks of concrete were lobbed more than a hundred yards to crash through the roof of the surgical operating theater and the boiler house.

Nine Nissen hut ward buildings, each accommodating thirty beds, were destroyed completely and six others were damaged. The entire roof was ripped off the completely enclosed corridor that connected a block of six surgical wards. The blast shattered windows in the Manor House a half mile away. Only twenty-five of the patients and staff were injured. The most serious was one who, in the excitement, jumped out of bed so fast that he fell and broke a leg. The curved Nissen hut type of construction cushioned the destructive effect of the blast and prevented serious injury to patients.

During my visit to investigate the damage, we stood on the rim of the bomb crater, which was 35 feet in diameter and ten feet deep, and pondered on the trick of fate which had resulted in so much property destruction without loss of a single life!

It was not until after the invasion of Normandy in June 1944, that the Luftwaffe became practically extinct in the English sky—superseded by the V-1 flying bomb. Late in the night of June 15th, and until the small hours of the 16th, there was unusual activity over London. I had worked until 11 P.M., and was in bed, asleep, when the wailing sirens aroused me at 11:40 P.M. It appeared as though the Luftwaffe was sending over raiders in successive waves. Antiaircraft and rocket guns kept pounding at them incessantly. Some of the raiders seemed to fly very low, barely half mile or more away. The flak batteries and rocket guns kept up their firing, at intervals, all night. The “raidern kept coming, even after dawn and they were met by incessant gunfire until about noon. The sky overhead was filled with black puffs from ack-ack bursts most of the morning.

At our London Headquarters, we received word from G-2 that the “raidern were rocket-propelled, pilotless robot planes or “flying bombs,” each carrying an explosive charge equal to a four thousand-pound bomb. This was the new, long-awaited German secret weapon!

Knowledge that we were at the receiving end of “flying bomb” attacks was, of course, electrifying. But there was no panic or confusion. Business went on as usual and life seemed less dull to the sturdy Londoners who had adjusted themselves so well to ordinary night bombing raids. This diabolical new weapon was something extraordinary. It furnished material for speculation; and fired the imagination so that wild rumors of impending doom were commonplace. Early rumors that the flying bombs were radio-controlled proved false when remnants of the exploded self-propelled missiles were gathered together and studied. Complete knowledge of their mechanical structure was made available later when one of them landed in a park without exploding.

It was reported that at least seven flying bombs were shot down that first night over London. When hit, they exploded in midair with great bursts of pinkish flame. Those that landed, however, packed a terrific punch because of their tremendous blast effect. If a building was struck it was demolished, and glass from other buildings within a two block radius would be blown into the streets. These window glass fragments were hurled at high velocity by the blast, like fragments from an exploding shell. This blast effect was a most serious menace to all who were within several blocks of explosions.

Information spread at once that the flying bombs were launched from gigantic ramps, several hundred yards long, located in hidden sites far back from the coasts of France and Holland. Military Intelligence had known of the existence of those ramps for many months, and our Air Forces had been giving them repeated poundings for six months. The nature of the missiles to be launched from those mysterious ramps, however, was not known until June 15, 1944, when the first ones landed in London. It had been suspected that the ramps were designed for the launching of rocket bombs rather than jet-propelled flying bombs.

Sirens wailed again the following night while searchlights combed the sky. Ack-ack batteries barked throughout the city, and rocket projectors hurled their missiles like red comets over the chimney pots of London in a noisy, spectacular attempt to blast the flying bombs in midair. Our fighter planes were up, also, to get a closer view of the new weapons and to shoot down enemy observers and bombers which participated in the mixed raids. That night, I saw two of the flying bombs burst in the air, presumably struck by flak. The windows of the Cumberland rattled with the blast and the flak fell like metallic hail in the street under my window.

The firing kept up all night and it was impossible to sleep except in “cat naps.” The hotel lobby was filled with people all night and, on each floor, residents gathered in the corridors.
dors to escape flying glass in case a bomb struck near the Cumberland. Those people had good sense but they missed a wonderful display of pyrotechnics.

My room, overlooking Quebec Street, faced east, so I could look up and down Quebec Street, which ran north and south. Oxford Street ran east and west along the southern front of the hotel. The pilotless flying bombs all came from the southeast, while the piloted enemy planes usually came from the south or east. Mount Royal Hotel across the street. Jumping into my unia
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Saturday morning, June 17th, my English secretary reported that an eight-inch jagged chunk of flak fell on her windowsill and bounced into her bedroom during the night battle over London. She lived a quarter of a mile from the Cumberland with another girl employee who brought the exhibit to the office. It was identified as a flying bomb fragment and, since none had landed nearby, it must have been from one of the buzz bombs that exploded in flight, as result of antiaircraft fire.

All was quiet that morning but shortly after noon, the sirens sounded again, followed by gunfire. From our office windows, we could see a great column of smoke mushroom into the sky, and hear the heavy detonation as a flying bomb completed its dive into a congested section of the city.

From 4 P.M. until 10 P.M., there were six alerts, each followed by gunfire, as flying bombs came over at intervals and dropped on London. People came on as usual and many were amused at the exaggerated reports, broadcast over the German radio, announcing that the utter destruction of London was in progress. They broadcast that the city was in flames, all stores and restaurants were closed, business was at a standstill and the inhabitants all were cowering in air raid shelters and the Underground. This, of course, was a grossly exaggerated report.

That night, I went across the street to the Regal Cinema to relax. They were showing the first pictures of the Normandy invasion and a Donald Duck cartoon. Two alerts followed by heavy gunfire sounded while I was in the cinema, but the show went on and no one left the theater. The nearby guns in Hyde Park shook the building and made it difficult to hear what the characters were saying, especially Donald Duck.

The flying bombs kept coming over, intermittently, and it seemed as though the air raid sirens must soon wear out. The weird, undulating wail of an alert would be followed soon by the prolonged wail of an all-clear. The cycle repeated itself, endlessly, all day and night, so that people lost track of whether the current status was one of alert or all-clear. It became so confusing that we were obliged to assume a condition of perpetual alert. Meanwhile the city's defense was expending a tremendous amount of ack-ack and rocket gun ammunition. Also, at night, it got to be a real nuisance which interfered with restful slumber.

At 5:30 A.M., Sunday, June 18th, I lay awake listening to the racket. Between bursts of gunfire, I could hear the buzz of a flying bomb—louder and louder! A few moments later, the buzz developed into a crescendo roar like that made by a fast express train when it rushes by, as you stand close on the vibrating station platform. Automatically, I rolled out of bed, expecting a direct hit, as the iron monster...

... buzz of a flying bomb—louder and louder! A few moments later, the buzz developed into a crescendo roar like that made by a fast express train when it rushes by, as you stand close on the vibrating station platform. Automatically, I rolled out of bed, expecting a direct hit, as the iron monster...

Tyburn Convent, less than two blocks away on Bayswater Road. The explosion burst the building asunder and blew out windows for blocks around. The Tyburn Convent was situated between rows of apartment houses, facing Hyde Park.

My window was not broken when I pulled the blackout curtain aside and looked out. Quebec Street, however, was covered with glass and broken windows could be seen in the Mount Royal Hotel across the street. Jumping into my uniform in record time, I raced out of the Cumberland and hurried to the scene of the tragedy. Glass littered the street and sparkled like diamonds in the early morning light. For more than a block, I had to wade through broken glass which in places had drifted to a depth of nearly a foot.

All windows and doors were gone from the Regal Cinema Building where I had enjoyed the antics of Donald Duck the previous evening. In fact, all buildings between my hotel and the convent were devoid of glass. The sturdy Cumberland, protected from direct effects of blast by a large office building across the street, on Cumberland Place, had few windows broken, while the Mount Royal Hotel, a block farther away suffered more glass breakage. The damage to both was trivial as compared with other equidistant buildings on Oxford Street and Park Lane.

It was not yet six o'clock, Sunday morning, so only a small crowd of scantily clad neighborhood people had gathered to view the "incident." Fire fighters and rescue squads were already on the job. Injured victims were being hauled away in ambulances. Several dead had been removed from the debris. The convent had been used as a day school and only a few Nuns resided there. Blast and glass victims were removed from the badly damaged adjacent apartment buildings. I examined and helped carry out a blinded young mother, cut by flying glass, who kept crying for her baby. A rescue squad nurse hovered close by with the tiny infant which a fire guard had found safe in its crib. Both mother and child were taken to a hospital in one of our Army ambulances.

Fragments of the flying bomb were recovered and thrown on a pile to be carted away for study. There was one large piece of jagged, twisted metal four or five feet long and dozens of smaller pieces. The thickness of the salvaged metal varied from about one eighth to one quarter of an inch.

The explosive charge in these new one-ton flying bombs was said to be about fifty per cent more powerful than T.N.T. Certainly the blast effect was terrific. In Hyde Park, across the street from the demolished convent, the blast had torn all leaves off trees within a radius of a hun-

After breakfast at the Grosvenor Mess, I walked back for a more leisurely survey of the damage on Bayswater Road. A large crowd had gathered by that time. While standing across the street near a brick bomb shelter in Hyde Park, we heard the ominous buzz of an approaching flying bomb.

The buzz grew louder and louder and seemed to be com- ing directly toward us from the south, although we could see nothing because of the intervening trees. Suddenly the buzzing stopped. This indicated that it was beginning to dive. We knew that only five or ten seconds would...
At about 9 P.M., I had an excellent close view of one of the bombs in horizontal flight. From my window in the Cumberland, I watched one fly directly overhead, only a few hundred feet above the roofs. Flames from its jet-propulsion mechanism, at the rear end, streaked behind and it made a noise like a fast moving motorcycle, only louder. The bomb passed well beyond the Cumberland before its buzzing ceased and the robot monster tilted into a dive. About eight seconds later, the explosion was heard as it ripped into some buildings a mile or so away. Curiously enough, the detonation of the flying bombs was not nearly as loud as ordinary demolition bombs of similar weight, but the blast effect was very much greater.

These vicious attempts to destroy London were the enemy's desperate counterattacks to neutralize our invasion of the Continent. If they proved sufficiently damaging to morale, or annihilated strategic military nerve centers, our success in Normandy would be jeopardized. It was no wonder, then, that those of us who served in London during those days took pride in our jobs, even though we did not share the perils and glory of the initial assaults on the Normandy beachheads. Many an officer from Normandy, on temporary duty in London, voiced the desire to get back to the beachhead, where there were no buzz bombs.

During the first week of the flying bomb attacks, more than eight hundred were launched across the Channel, but many were shot down by daring fighter pilots, before reaching their destination. Only three hundred exploded in London, an average of forty-three a day.

So many people were being injured by flak, or their normal activities halted by taking shelter from flak, that the defense tactics were altered about June 20th. The new policy was to "let the bombs fall where they may, or fly over if they will" without trying to explode them in the air above the city. Meanwhile, efforts were intensified to shoot down the bombs before they reached London.

With the flying bomb assaults against London, there came a cessation of Luftwaffe activity. It was evident that the enemy considered the buzz bomb more effective than his piloted bomber raids. The Luftwaffe, despite its initial head start and early numerical superiority, had become a defeated air force, lacking the necessary offensive punch. Germany was conserving her pilots and bombers while building up a force of defensive fighter planes. Considering her predicament, and faced with overwhelming Allied air power, Germany's ruthless decision to rely on robot bombs was understandable, even though inhuman. Hitler's disregard for civilian lives was revealed clearly by his large scale utilization of a weapon that could not differentiate military objectives and obviously was indiscriminate in its slaughter.

After a lull of more than six hours, the buzz bombs began dropping on London again at 12:20 A.M., June 21st. They came over, one or two at a time, at intervals calculated to establish a continuous alert and create exhaustion from loss of sleep, apprehension, and interruption of necessary activities. In the dark hours before dawn, I watched a number of the bombs explode in various parts of the city. Each explosion was accompanied by a great burst of red flame which lit up the sky with a transient rosy glow. One landed in Green Park on Piccadilly Street and exploded all
It was the same story next night. Between "cat naps," I heard more than forty explosions, but I was too tired to get up and watch. When morning came, I drove to Langdon, near Tunbridge Wells in Kent, to inspect the 6th Field Hospital, about forty miles southeast of London. The road followed "buzz bomb alley," the skyway through which the "doodle-bugs" roared on their way from across the English Channel to London.

About eighteen miles from London, we passed under a sky barrier composed of hundreds of captive balloons recently raised to obstruct the passage of flying bombs. The balloons and their cables formed a great network designed to entangle and explode the flying missiles en route to London. As we drove down the road, bombs sailed over our heads without striking any of the balloons or cables.

On June 23rd was my birthday and I awakened at 2 A.M. to the tune of wailing alert sirens. During the next four hours, fiery-tailed buzz bombs streaked through the dark sky over London and I counted twenty-three explosions, some of them pretty close. Two of the infernal machines roared over the hotel at low altitude, sounding like a fast freight train.

Before dawn, I visited two of the bombed areas and helped a little with the rescue work. It was a gruesome sight and depressing to see the numbers of dead and maimed that were dug from under the rubble of wrecked buildings.

Russell Square was especially hard hit. Windows were blasted to bits within a radius of several blocks. Captain Ryan, one of my assistants, had just moved out of a billet on Russell Square the previous afternoon. If he had been there that night, his next of kin would have received a posthumous Purple Heart.

About 9:30 A.M., a flying bomb landed on a crowded double-deck bus near Waterloo Station. The bus and passengers were blown to bits.

It was amazing to see how many people flocked to the rooftops instead of the underground shelters during the buzz-bomb era, after ack-ack defense was no longer employed. When the antiaircraft batteries in London gave "silent treatment" to bombs flying above the city, there was no longer danger of watchers being injured by falling flak. One had to fear only a direct hit, or an explosion near enough to produce blast effect. So one was reasonably safe unless the bombs fell closer than several blocks away. The blast effect alone might kill anyone within a hundred yards and flying glass might injure individuals a quarter of a mile away. Within a hundred yards radius fragments of glass were hurled with bullet-like velocity and freakish perforations of heavy timbers and trees by pieces of glass were reported.

I tried to get some sleep in my comfortable Cumberland Hotel "foxhole," while flying bombs exploded throughout the night. One struck a few blocks away in Regent's Park. Another blasted Victoria Station. They stopped coming over at dawn, June 24th, and strangely enough, none came over during daylight hours that day. Perhaps the Germans were replenishing depleted stocks at the launching sites in the Pas-de-Calais.

When activity was renewed about 11:40 P.M., I heard one of the bombs buzzing, louder and louder, so I pulled back the blackout curtains and looked out.

The big bomb, with wings spread like an airplane, sailed over the London chimney pots in an eerie pink halo while red flames spouted from its jet propulsion cylinder. Belching fire, it cleared the rooftops of Park Lane just as the jet propulsion stopped and the buzzing ceased. Immediately the flying bomb dipped downward at an angle of forty-five degrees and headed earthward in a falling arc. A few seconds later it landed in Hyde Park and exploded.

During most of those exciting nights, I remained in my room and tried to sleep, but the temptation to get up and watch the show from my window was overpowering. Whenever I left my room and peeped into the corridor, I found the hallway occupied by chattering residents who were spending the night there to escape the danger of blast and glass.

One officer told me he always dressed and went downtown to the tune of wailing alert sirens. During the next four hours, fiery-tailed buzz bombs streaked through the dark sky over London and I counted twenty-three explosions, some of them pretty close. Two of the infernal machines roared over the hotel at low altitude, sounding like a fast freight train.

Before dawn, I visited two of the bombed areas and helped a little with the rescue work. It was a gruesome sight and depressing to see the numbers of dead and maimed that were dug from under the rubble of wrecked buildings.

Russell Square was especially hard hit. Windows were blasted to bits within a radius of several blocks. Captain Ryan, one of my assistants, had just moved out of a billet on Russell Square the previous afternoon. If he had been there that night, his next of kin would have received a posthumous Purple Heart.

About 9:30 A.M., a flying bomb landed on a crowded double-deck bus near Waterloo Station. The bus and passengers were blown to bits.

It was amazing to see how many people flocked to the rooftops instead of the underground shelters during the buzz-bomb era, after ack-ack defense was no longer employed. When the antiaircraft batteries in London gave "silent treatment" to bombs flying above the city, there was no longer danger of watchers being injured by falling flak. One had to fear only a direct hit, or an explosion near enough to produce blast effect. So one was reasonably safe unless the bombs fell closer than several blocks away. The blast effect alone might kill anyone within a hundred yards and flying glass might injure individuals a quarter of a mile away. Within a hundred yards radius fragments of glass were hurled with bullet-like velocity and freakish perforations of heavy timbers and trees by pieces of glass were reported.

I tried to get some sleep in my comfortable Cumberland Hotel "foxhole," while flying bombs exploded throughout the night. One struck a few blocks away in Regent's Park. Another blasted Victoria Station. They stopped coming over at dawn, June 24th, and strangely enough, none came over during daylight hours that day. Perhaps the Germans were replenishing depleted stocks at the launching sites in the Pas-de-Calais.

When activity was renewed about 11:40 P.M., I heard
into, or through, woodwork such as dressers and bed-ends.

While Major Dear was busy with rescue work at his hotel, another buzz bomb struck in Aldwich, near the Strand, a half mile away. So he hurried over there, where more than a hundred and twenty-five corpses were found. Some had arms and legs torn off and were otherwise mutilated by the blast hurling them against solid structures. Major Dear described it as a rather gory mess. A building had collapsed on some of the victims and had broken water, gas, and sewer lines. He had to crawl in under debris and help get people out before they drowned.

The bombs kept coming over that night and one fell in the Serpentine Lake in Hyde Park, but failed to explode. It was rumored that this “dud” furnished valuable information concerning the structure and mechanism of the flying bomb.

It was reported that more than five hundred flying bombs had been shot down by fighter planes or by antiaircraft batteries during the first two weeks. Bold and skillful pilots in fast pursuit ships had learned to lie in wait along the Channel and overtake the bombs, which flew at a speed of about 350 miles an hour. Some pilots dared to nudge the bombs with their wing tips and divert them to fall in areas where no serious damage was likely to occur. Others became expert in exploding the bombs with machine-gun fire in midair over the Channel, or in rural areas.

Sometimes a large number of flying bombs would be launched almost simultaneously and arrive over London in rapid succession. On the night of July 1, I counted ten explosions in less than 10 minutes. Colonel Marshall was walking down Piccadilly that evening when one flew parallel above the crowded street and caused great excitement when it dived. Nearly everyone on that street took shelter in buildings or lay flat on the pavement. The bomb barely missed the tall Nelson Monument at Trafalgar Square, and burst against some buildings beyond.

On a flying trip to Scotland, July 2, I talked with a number of pilots and passengers at Prestwick, who had just arrived from the States. They reported that the buzz bomb was a tabu subject in America. Press and radio censorship was so tight that people in the United States knew nothing of the continuous bombardment which London had been subjected to during the past eighteen days.

Upon our return to London, July 3, we learned that about fifty American Military Police had been killed that morning when a buzz bomb dropped in their midst while they were in formation and getting into trucks for distribution to their various posts of duty.

An incident is mentioned to illustrate the importance of lying flat when a bomb explodes. Three soldiers, walking along a street in London, saw a buzz bomb descending upon them. They seemed to be directly in its path and feared a direct hit. Two of the men fell flat on the street while the third tried to run out of its path. The bomb exploded fifty yards away from the two men who lay flat and they were unhurt. The third soldier, seventy yards away from the explosion, and running when the bomb struck, was decapitated by the blast and flying glass.

The Fourth of July was just another busy day at General Hawley’s headquarters. Flying bombs continued to drop on London and one of them demolished the Cumberland Hotel laundry, located at some distance from the hotel. I was lucky enough to receive clean laundry (a two weeks accumulation) just before the building was “blitzed.” Many of my fellow officers, however, lost their shirts and drawers in that catastrophe.

The myth that lightning never strikes twice in the same place does not apply to flying bombs. A major who worked in Headquarters felt badly after eating lunch on July 5, so he went to his billet on Cromwell Road, to lie down and rest. He was on his bed when a buzz bomb struck the adjacent building and also wrecked his billet. Later in the afternoon, while he was gathering up his scattered belongings, another flying bomb struck at approximately the same spot. The major was buried beneath the debris, with lacerations of his head and hand. After his injuries were treated he moved into the Cumberland that night. Soon after his arrival, several bombs came over the Cumberland, very close. We hoped he would not prove to be a jinx.

On July 6, Churchill announced that at least 2,754 flying bombs had been launched against London in less than three weeks. Many were shot down before reaching their destination. He revealed that the buzz bombs had killed 2,752 persons and seriously injured more than 8,000, while many thousands had been slightly injured. What the future held, he would not predict. Meanwhile, London must take it on the chin, and many more would die before the invaders of Normandy could capture the launching ramps in the Pas-de-Calais.

Two street musicians put on a serenade under my window on Quebec Street that evening. One was an old man with a violin. The other was a younger man with a guitar and a good voice. They played and sang opera music and popular airs. A flying bomb came over while they were playing, but they paid no attention to it, even when it exploded near enough to shake the buildings. People leaned curiously out of windows and watched the course of the flying bomb, while the unperturbed musicians played and sang Red Sails in the Sunset.

On July 7, another 15,000 children were evacuated out of London. It was expected that departures from the city would continue at that rate for many days. This was in accordance with a program, sponsored by the Government, to send children to rural areas remote from the flying bomb menace. Churchill’s speech, the day before, held ominous implications that the perilous situation in London would doubtless become much worse before it became better.

The Prime Minister’s pessimism was vindicated by the noisy night that followed. I did not sleep much, but watched a number of the buzz bombs come over and explode. The vibrations of nearby explosions again set off the burglar alarm system in the stores on Oxford Street, opposite the Cumberland. There was a giant alarm bell concealed on the outside wall of one of those large buildings. It was intended to attract police when windows were tampered with. The designers of this alarm system had not anticipated the vibratory effect of nearby bomb explosions on its delicate mechanism.

The huge bell clanged all night and drowned out the buzz of approaching bombs until they were very close. This unpleasant bell ringing had occurred on half a dozen previous nights when bombs had struck near enough to vibrate the building. It was a continuous noise, and there were those who claimed that it had a paralyzing effect on people. The probability that the bell would continue to ring was so great that we had little confidence in the police to protect the store or the people within it.
the buildings. Sleep was out of the question during this protracted racket. Despite the annoyance, it was nevertheless interesting to watch the flaming buzz bombs rush through the sky, often caught in a cone of searchlight beams that kept pace with their 350-mile-an-hour speed.

The Germans had contrived devices to deflect the altitude or direction of the bombs when the jet propulsion stopped or shortly before the buzzing ceased. Therefore, it became impossible to predict what course a bomb would take when the buzz stopped, or even before it stopped. This first became evident to me on July 7, when one came buzzing in from the southeast and made a wide arc around the Cumberland. It was heading south when it fell and exploded.

Reciting of incidents occurring during the buzz bomb assaults could go on indefinitely and become very monotonous, so I'll skip a lot and bring this narrative to a close.

On July 1, I flew to the Normandy beachhead for a week of temporary duty with ADSEC, primarily to expedite the establishment of hospitals and select hospital sites. It was a strenuous week but a pleasant rest from the buzz bombs. Upon my return to London, I paid less attention to them as preparations for moving to the Continent were uppermost in my mind. The bombs kept coming, nevertheless, and it was estimated that they had caused about 30,000 casualties in London between June 15 and July 15.

August 4, 1944, Prime Minister Churchill announced that 5,300 buzz bombs had been launched against "southern England" in the past seven weeks. They had killed 4,737 people and seriously wounded more than 14,000. Many more thousands had been slightly wounded, 17,000 houses totally destroyed and about 800,000 damaged.

While the bombardment of London continued, rescue and clean-up squads were doing a splendid job. It was surprising and stimulating to see how quickly they got debris cleared away, so that stricken areas presented some semblance of ordinariness. Work went on in the big city very much as though nothing unusual was happening. The people showed a wonderful ability to adjust themselves to catastrophic changes.

Following the breakthrough into Brittany, I flew to Omaha Beach again on August 8, to inspect hospitals in Normandy and select hospital sites in Rennes, Brittany which had just been liberated by Patton's 3rd Army. Six days later, I flew back to the buzz bombs and counted fifteen flashes on the horizon as I drove through the night toward London from the airfield at Bamsbury.

It was my destiny to endure the buzz bombs but two weeks later and no tears were shed when we made our permanent move to France. An LCT ferried us from the ship to Omaha Beach, where I waded ashore, carrying a 75-pound bedding roll about 300 yards to the beach assembly point. It was August 28, 1944, and our battle for hospital beds on the Continent was just beginning.

The buzz bomb assaults on London were diminishing. Soon Liege and Antwerp would receive their murderous impact. But that is another story, and there are many better qualified than I to tell it.
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Shore Cable Landing Equipment—The landing of shore cable for submarine mines and other underwater equipment always has presented a difficult problem in those locations where there is heavy surf, fast currents or a long, shallow approach to the beach. The usual method is to fasten the end of the cable to a yawl boat which then proceeds as close as possible to the beach while the mine planter “lays” offshore and unreels the cable as the yawl boat goes in. When the yawl boat gets as close to shore as possible, a rope is tied to the end of the cable and thrown to a detail ashore which by truck or, more often, brute strength pulls a sufficient length of cable ashore. This method has several disadvantages: (1) heavy surf or large rocks prevent the yawl boat from getting close to the shore, (2) fast currents cause a large catenary in the cable in the direction of the current and thus make it difficult for the yawl and shore detail to pull, in addition to paying out an unnecessary amount of cable, (3) long, shallow approaches require the planter to “lay to” a long distance from the beach; also the yawl boat is prevented from getting in close and the shore detail has to wade out to get the cable, (4) dragging the cable along the bottom is difficult and the strain has many times broken the insulation or conductor.

Two kinds of amphibious vehicles, a DUKW and an LVT (4), were tested for use in cable landing work. Cable reels and jacks were mounted in both vehicles. Otherwise, the vehicles remained unmodified. The LVT was found to be the more satisfactory, and was able to handle a 5-ton reel of cable (10,000 feet). When employed for shore cable landing, the LVT proceeds to shore from the mine planter and continues right up onto the beach to the desired place, unreeling the cable as it goes. In tests to determine comparative times, one operation which required 48 minutes to land a certain amount of cable by the usual method of yawl boat and shore detail, took only 5 minutes and one-fourth the personnel using the LVT.

It was recommended that the LVT (4) be adopted for use in shore cable landing operations for submarine mine projects.

Four-Man Motorized Pneumatic Boat—This Section was designated to perform certain tests in connection with a newly designed four-man pneumatic boat. The complete test of the boat is a project of Army Ground Forces Board No. 2.

Purpose of the tests by this Section is to determine the maximum ranges at which the gasoline outboard motor and the electric outboard motor can be heard by the unaided human ear; by standard listening devices and by ground radar equipment. The maximum pick-up range using underwater listening devices also will be ascertained. Tests
will be run under various surf and atmospheric conditions.

Percussion Primer Ammunition for 75mm Subcaliber Guns—In March 1947, a test of the 75mm HE subcaliber ammunition was completed by this Section. The test was discussed in the March-April issue of the Journal.

The ammunition, which had ignition primers, was not entirely satisfactory. The primers failed to function in a number of cases and the pressures developed were high. About the time the test was completed, it was decided to try firing a standard 75mm round with percussion primer in the M-25 subcaliber tubes of the 155mm M2 guns which had been used in the foregoing test. It was thought that the pressure blow caused by firing the percussion primer in the parent gun firing mechanism would be sufficient to set off the percussion primer in the subcaliber round. Limited tests were satisfactory, and a project was requested and authorized to test this round with a view toward its possible adoption as the standard subcaliber round for all 75mm subcaliber tubes for seacoast artillery.

Action toward adoption of the 75mm subcaliber round with ignition primer has been suspended pending the outcome of this test. From a standpoint of procurement there would be a great advantage in using the standard 75mm round with percussion primer. This ammunition already exists in quantity, whereas the ignition primer ammunition must be specially manufactured.

Tests to date have included the firing of two hundred thirty-five rounds in Subcaliber Guns M-25. Ballistic performance has been entirely satisfactory and there have been no misfires. Further tests will be made firing the ammunition in subcaliber tubes for the other types of seacoast artillery armament.

Instrumentation And Analysis

At A.G.F. Board No. 4

By Lieutenant Colonel John G. Turner, CAC

The instrumentation used in the conduct of service tests of antiaircraft artillery equipment has been brought to the foreground during the past year due to the adaptability of the same or similar type procedures and facilities to the guided missile program. The facilities for instrumenting antiaircraft artillery tests at Army Ground Forces Board No. 4 have been developed over a period of approximately twelve years and represent the end results of studies and experimentation of the best technically qualified officers in this branch of the service. Needless to say, the means for tracking and detection of guided missiles is an advanced step from the problem of dealing with conventional aircraft but the same principles are involved, and solution of the missile problem will likely be in the form of refinements to existing equipment. Because considerable interest has been shown by personnel of guided missiles ranges in the instrumentation and analysis facilities at this Board, it is believed that a review of these facilities will be of timely interest to all Coast Artillery officers.

Instrumentation And Methods of Obtaining Data In Antiaircraft Service Tests

While tests conducted at Army Ground Forces Board No. 4 include all types of antiaircraft artillery equipment, those concerned with fire control equipment require instrumentation which most nearly parallels that used on guided missile test ranges. In fire control equipment tests it is necessary to determine the accuracy of the present position system (Radar) as well as the function of the future position computer in predicting the travel of an aerial target. These tests normally employ the use of dual equipments; one, the comparison or standard equipment set and the other the new equipment to be tested. Data must be taken from each of these equipments simultaneously while they are engaging the same target and then compared in order to determine comparative accuracy. In addition, further comparison must be made with the true data values, obtained with precision theodolites, to find the actual errors.

The instrumentation required for such a test must include:

1. A means for recording elements of present position data, namely; azimuth, elevation and slant range of the target and elements of firing or predicted data, namely, firing azimuth, firing elevation and fuze time. The equipment used to record this data is known as a data recorder. Each data recorder has facilities for receiving six elements of data at one-second intervals and immediately providing a printed record of each.

2. A single frame motion picture camera which is mounted on the radar antenna to record the actual tracking...
This camera, actuated by an accurate central timing system, takes pictures of the target at predetermined intervals and indicates lateral and vertical deviations from the true position of the target.  

To determine the true position of the target at any time, two theodolite stations track the target and record photographically, at the same instant as other recording devices, the azimuth and elevation of the target.  

The theodolites currently in use at Army Ground Forces Board No. 4 are accurate to within 0.1 mil, and furnish data which may be used to solve a trigonometric problem giving the position of the target in space within an accuracy of approximately 1/20,000.

As a check of predicted data, the present position data is introduced into an electronic computer (Ballistic Computer) which provides an accurate means for comparing with proper ballistic tables, the data recorded from the equipment under test.  

The description of this computer rightly belongs in the following section on computation and analysis of data but is mentioned at this point to indicate that a standard computing device is available.

This description has been confined to facilities used to take data during a test of antiaircraft artillery equipment.  

No effort has been made to cite the accuracies required for orientation, synchronization and operation of instrumentation although these factors are of prime importance in the actual conduct of service tests.

INTERMEDIATE STEPS IN PROCESSING OF DATA

After the acquisition of data and prior to final computation and analysis, it is necessary to perform certain intermediate steps.  

These procedures include the processing of film records, the previewing of all records and the assessment of data.  

This action must be completed as soon as practicable after the data is taken, in order to keep the test officer abreast of the probable results of his test and to enable correction of deficiencies in the test or instrumentation equipment which were not apparent from observation during the data-taking phase.  

In addition, target courses in which the data are extraneous or incomplete are eliminated prior to the start of actual reduction of data.  

It is during this phase that the mathematical data analyst must make a preliminary assessment of all data components and determine the value and probable end results of each course.

COMPUTATION AND ANALYSIS OF DATA

Reading of tracking and theodolite film is accomplished with commercial film viewers equipped with grids calibrated to the magnification power of the photographic lens used in the recording cameras.  

The corrections for earth curvature are now automatically applied to the new theodolites by misleveling of one station.  

A simple chart for direct reading is used when the older type of theodolite is used.  

Secant corrections, for projecting the direct values given by the theodolite to the horizontal plane are made with the use of a locally constructed secant scale on a 24-inch slide rule.  

Application of corrections and minor computations which prepare data for the actual computation of the theodolite problem are performed with the use of commercial calculators.  

The solution of the theodolite data is accomplished by the utilization of a special type film slide rule consisting of 200-foot rolls of film upon which logarithmic scales of angular and numerical values are indicated.  

The film slide rule reduces the computing time of theodolite data to less than one-sixth of the time required for normal computations of the same problem.  

Parallax corrections are applied with a locally devised graphical parallax corrector.

In the handling of predicted data, the printed data recorder tapes containing present position and predicted data are sent directly to the ballistic computer.  

Here the printed data is converted into a perforated tape for introduction into the computer.  

The answers afforded by this machine are in the form of deviations of the test results from the theoretically perfect solution.

Data records, comprising simplified graphs or tabular data, are inspected to detect abnormal conditions.  

Finally, representative results are supplied to the test officer for further analysis in the preparation of test reports.

Despite the numerous time-saving devices that have been conceived at Army Ground Forces Board No. 4 over a long period of operation, the accuracy required and the highly detailed work necessary in the reduction of data still make the processing time of this section longer than that of other phases of service testing. A continuous program for improvement is essential in order to cope with the variations of data handling that are required with each test.  

It is also necessary to provide work incentives to personnel engaged in the exacting and difficult computing procedures.  

Such an incentive program has recently been put in effect and involves a rating system for each team of computers based on the amount of work completed and the accuracy of results.

SUMMARY

The experience of Army Ground Forces Board No. 4 in Instrumentation and Analysis has provided a valuable aid to newly organized guided missiles test ranges in the establishment of similar facilities.  

Procedures, as outlined in this article, have necessarily been confined to a general discussion of data taking and processing.  

The technical complexity of the instrumentation and computing equipment used at this Board are indicative of the efforts of the Army to provide the best obtainable equipment to the using organizations.
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AGF's Task Force "Furnace" Begins Desert Tests

On 4 June, Task Force "Furnace" began the testing of hundreds of items of Army Ground Forces equipment in the searing heat of the Great American Desert, near Yuma, Arizona.

In daily temperatures normally exceeding 100 degrees, the 300 picked troops of the task force will, for three and a half months, subject new standard weapons and matériel to workouts designed to simulate the hardest wartime desert combat usage.

Along with guns and ammunition, tanks and tractor test equipment includes rescue and repair apparatus, water supplying assemblies, mobile refrigeration plants and motors, radios, clothing and accoutrements.

Many of these items were combat tested in the last war and now bear new alterations suggested by officers and enlisted men on the basis of their African desert warfare experience. Other items are entirely experimental.

Lieutenant Colonel Walter B. Richardson, Beaumont, Texas, commands the task force. His technical staff is comprised of experts in desert fighting.

Task Force Furnace's tent camp is thirty miles north of Yuma, an area surrounded by rolling sand dunes and with no vegetation except sparse growths of cactus and brush.
80,000 National Guardsmen to Participate in Summer Training

Approximately 80,000 National Guard troops will receive summer training this year, the first time such training has been held since 1940, it has been announced by General Jacob L. Devers, Commanding General, Army Ground Forces.

It is estimated that 60,000 men will attend the regular 15-day training period which has been scheduled for all National Guard divisions and regimental combat teams which are self-sustaining in the field and able to muster at least 20 per cent of their table of organization strength. The other 20,000 men will attend special schools in lieu of field training.

The field training program will be directed by Army commanders in the various State areas and will include individual and specialist training. Small unit training, through the platoon level, will be conducted when practicable. The school program will be administered by Senior Army Instructors and will also emphasize individual and specialist training.

Coast Artillery Corps units will attend camps at the time and place designated for their respective states providing they meet the requirements.

Additional National Guard Units

The following National Guard Coast Artillery Corps units have been Federally recognized since the last issue of the JOURNAL:

Alabama—
- Headquarters and Headquarters Battery, 226th AAA Group—Separate Detachment, Mobile;
- Headquarters & Headquarters Battery, 226th AAA Group—Separate Detachment, Birmingham;
- Battery "A," 464th AAA AW Battalion, Sylacauga;
- Battery "C," 464th AAA AW Battalion, Arab.

California—
- Headquarters & Headquarters Battery, 271st AAA AW Battalion, San Francisco;
- Battery "A," 271st AAA AW Battalion, San Francisco;
- Headquarters & Headquarters Battery, 272d AAA AW Battalion, San Diego;
- Battery "A," 272d AAA AW Battalion, San Diego;
- Medical Detachment, 272d AAA AW Bn., San Diego;
- Medical Detachment, 728th AAA S/L Bn., San Francisco;
- Headquarters & Headquarters Battery, 730th AAA Searchlight Battalion, San Diego;
- Battery "A," 746th AAA Gun Battalion, San Diego;
- Medical Detachment, 746th AAA Gun Bn., San Diego.

Connecticut—
- Hq. & Hq. Battery, 208th AAA Group, New Haven.

Delaware—
- Battery "B," 945th AAA AW Battalion, Georgetown.

Florida—
- Battery "D," 712th AAA Gun Battalion, Arcadia.

Georgia—
- 178th AAA Operations Detachment, Savannah;
- Battery "B," 950th AAA AW Battalion, Monroe.

Illinois—

Louisiana—
- Hq. & Hq. Battery, 105th AAA AW Bn., Bogalusa;
- Battery "A," 105th AAA AW Battalion, Bogalusa;
- Battery "B," 105th AAA AW Battalion, Franklinton.

Maine—
- Battery "B," 314th AAA AW Battalion, Belfast;
- Battery "B," 703d AAA Gun Battalion, Bath;
- Battery "C," 703d AAA Gun Battalion, Brunswick.

Minnesota—
- Battery "B," 257th AAA AW Battalion, Virginia.

New Hampshire—
- Hq. and Hq. Battery, 197th AAA Group, Concord;
- Hq. & Hq. Battery, 744th AAA Gun Battalion, Laconia.

New Jersey—
- Headquarters & Headquarters Battery, 309th AAA AW Battalion, Jersey City.

Pennsylvania—
- Hq. & Hq. Battery, 218th AAA Group, Pittsburgh;
- Battery "A," 899th AAA AW Battalion, Lancaster;
- Medical Detachment, 899th AAA AW Bn., Lancaster.

Rhode Island—
- Battery "B," 243d AAA AW Battalion, Newport.

South Carolina—
- Headquarters & Headquarters Battery, 678th AAA AW Battalion, Anderson;
- Battery "A," 678th AAA AW Battalion, Seneca;
- Battery "B," 678th AAA AW Battalion, Easley;
- Battery "D," 678th AAA AW Battalion, Williamston;
- Headquarters & Headquarters Battery, 713th AAA Gun Battalion, Lancaster.

Texas—

Washington—
- Hq. & Hq. Battery, 236th AAA Group, Tacoma;
- Hq. & Hq. Battery, 530th AAA AW Bn., Tacoma;
- Battery "A," 530th AAA AW Battalion, Tacoma;
- Battery "B," 530th AAA AW Battalion, Tacoma;
- Battery "C," 530th AAA AW Battalion, Tacoma;
- Battery "D," 530th AAA AW Battalion, Tacoma;
- Medical Detachment, 530th AAA AW Bn., Tacoma;
- Hq. & Hq. Battery, 770th AAA Gun Battalion, Seattle;
- Battery "A," 770th AAA Gun Battalion, Seattle;
- Battery "B," 770th AAA Gun Battalion, Seattle;
- Medical Detachment, 770th AAA Gun Bn., Aberdeen.

ROTC Artillery Units To Combine

Effective with the fall term of 1947, the three ROTC artillery courses (field, seacoast and antiaircraft) will be combined into one course.

This combined course will feature basic artillery instruction with indoctrination in all three types.

No reassignment of the PMS&T of any institution will be made solely on the basis of this change but a minimum of one Coast Artillery and one Field Artillery officer will be assigned to each senior ROTC unit now having either a Field Artillery or Coast Artillery Corps unit.

This summer, 150 advanced CAC ROTC students are attending summer camp at Fort Bliss, 400 at Fort Sheridan and 35 at Fort Scott but with the instigation of this new plan in the fall, changes will undoubtedly be made in the summer camp program for 1948.
OFC Units Activated
Since the last issue of the JOURNAL, the following ORC Coast Artillery Corps units have been activated:
Illinois—
Battery “C,” 383d AAA AW Battalion (SP), Chicago;
Battery “D,” 383d AAA AW Battalion (SP), Chicago.
New York—
364th CA Gun Battery (155mm), New York City;
365th CA Gun Battery (155mm), Brooklyn;
369th CA Gun Battery (155mm), Hempstead;
370th CA Mine Planter Battery, New York City;
371st CA Mine Planter Battery, Brooklyn;
372d CA Mine Planter Battery, Hempstead;
373d CA Searchlight Battery (HD), New York City;
401st CA Searchlight Battery (HD), Brooklyn;
405th CA Searchlight Battery (HD), Hempstead.
North Carolina—
Hq. Battery, 378th AAA AW Bn. (Mbl.), Wilmington;
Battery “B,” 378th AAA AW Bn. (Mbl.), Wilmington.

AGF-Navy-AAF to Hold Amphibious Maneuvers
In November
Plans have been completed for the second postwar joint Army Ground Forces-Navy-Army Air Forces amphibious exercise, to be conducted near Galveston, Texas, in November.

Development of the technique of loading and landing armed forces and equipment is the main training objective of the operation.

Combat Command “A” of the Second Armored Division, Camp Hood, Texas, will be the principal ground unit engaged. The maximum possible participation of National Guard and Organized Reserve Headquarters units and personnel will be sought.

Prior to the exercise, the Navy’s Troop Training Unit, Amphibious Training Command, Little Creek, Virginia, will assist in the training of Ground Forces units in embarkation and debarkation procedures.

For the actual exercise, a situation will be assumed wherein an infantry division operating as part of an amphibious force has secured a beachhead, and Combat Command “A,” reinforced, will go ashore as a buildup force in support of the previously landed infantry division.

Embarkation of the Combat Command is expected to be from Galveston. The definite landing site has not been selected but is expected to be in the vicinity of Galveston.

General Jonathan M. Wainwright, Commanding General, Fourth Army, has been named overall commander for planning the exercise.

The November exercise follows by a year, maneuvers held off San Clemente Island, California, first joint AGF-Navy-AAF amphibious maneuvers in the United States since the end of World War II.

AAF Announces New, Most Powerful Jet Engine
The most powerful American turbo-jet engine yet announced, producing a rated thrust up to 5,000 pounds, has been developed for the Army Air Forces. Picking more power than a Diesel electric railroad engine into less than one-fifth of one per cent of the Diesel's weight, the new engine has been designated the XJ-37.

41 Per Cent of National Guard Units Organized in 1947
Approximately 41 per cent of the 6,388 units planned for the postwar National Guard were given federal recognition during the fiscal year 1947, according to Major General Butler B. Miltonberger, Chief of the National Guard Bureau.

"From June 30, 1946, when the first recognition was granted the 120th Fighter Squadron of Denver, Colorado, through June 30, 1947, the National Guard Bureau has granted recognition to 2,358 ground units and 257 air units, a total of 2,615," he said.

"The Adjutants General of the several States, the Territory of Hawaii, Puerto Rico and the District of Columbia, have done a remarkable job. It should be remembered that the entire National Guard was called into Federal service during World War II, and its personnel were discharged directly to civilian status.

"Actually, approximately 44 per cent of the units will be in the record as recognized during the first fiscal year of the reorganization when the necessary approvals are given for units which already have been inspected for federal recognition. Approximately 140 ground units and 40 air units are in this group, and their dates of recognition will be in the fiscal year 1947," General Miltonberger stated.

When federal recognition is given a unit, its personnel receive federal pay for each of the 48 weekly training periods and the 15-day summer encampment. They also begin actual training as part of the National Guard which the War Department plans will be an M-Day force immediately available for service in the event of enemy aggression.

General Nichols Retires
Brigadier General Harold F. Nichols, Director of Personnel for the Sixth Army area since May 1946, retired from the Army 21 July after 40 years of Army service.

In World War I he earned two battle stars for Meuse-Argonne and the Defensive Sector for service overseas with a heavy artillery brigade.

Shortly after Pearl Harbor, General Nichols was assigned as Commanding General, Hawaiian Antiaircraft Command on Oahu, where he was responsible for the antiaircraft defenses.

Returning to the United States in April 1944, he was assigned command of the Antiaircraft Replacement Training Center at Camp Haan, California.

He first came to the San Francisco area in April 1945 to serve as Army coordinator for the United Nations conference. He joined the Western Defense Command here in July of 1945, and was assigned to the Sixth Army upon its activation in March 1946.

Unit Histories
The following unit histories have been received at the JOURNAL office since publication of the May-June issue:
197th CA (AA) Regiment
Eighty Army Narrative Report of Antiaircraft Artillery Operations in the Pacific Theater.
Other units which have published histories or contemplate doing so, are urged to send copies to the JOURNAL for our permanent file.
**Bronze Star Award Rules Revised**

Additional recognition for men who won commendation during combat for meritorious or exemplary achievement in ground action against the enemy was assured today with release of new War Department rules for award of the Bronze Star Medal.

The new rules, embodied in a circular changing the Army Regulations respecting decorations, provide that all persons who in the period between Pearl Harbor and V-J Day were cited individually for their part in ground combat will be eligible for award of the Bronze Star.

In many cases, orders granting the Combat Infantryman’s or Combat Medical badges will be sufficient supporting evidence to a man’s claim for the medal. However, the combat badges must have been awarded in the period between December 7, 1941, and September 2, 1945. Frequently during combat field units issued general orders or formal certificates to individuals for their acts, and these, judged individually by the War Department on their merits, may also be used as support for the medal award.

Reason for the revision of rules regarding award of the Bronze Star Medal was that prerequisites for award of the Combat Infantryman’s and Combat Medical Badges were altered after V-J Day. Since the combat badges are not decorations, additional awards of the Bronze Star Medal to those persons considered deserving of it are expected to give recognition of services not heretofore rewarded with a distinctive decoration.

In announcing the revised rules, the War Department pointed out that inasmuch as the citations on which awards will be made must be individual, award of the Distinguished Unit Citation or mention of the applicant’s unit in General Orders will not suffice.

Applications for award of the Bronze Star Medal must be submitted to The Adjutant General, Washington 25, D. C. The application must cite Paragraph 151 e, Army Regulations 600-45, and a copy of the citation or order awarding the Combat Infantry or Combat Medical Badge must be enclosed.

**Subterranean Power Plant**

A subterranean power plant invulnerable to air attack is being planned in Norway by the Vinstra Power Company of Oslo. The plant will be blasted out of a mountain at a depth of 3,000 feet and will be the first of its kind in the country. Water will be channeled to the generator room through a conduit more than 14 miles long. The plant will have a power of more than 180,000 kilowatts. Preliminary work on the project already is under way, and it is scheduled to be completed by 1954. Cost of excavation alone is put at $5,925,000.

**80th Division Reunion**

The 28th Annual Reunion of the 80th Division will be held in Greensburg, Pa., August 14, 15, 16 and 17th, 1947. Headquarters will be at the Penn-Albert Hotel.

Any veteran of the 80th Division of both world wars who is interested in attending the annual reunion or in the 80th Division Veterans Association, should write to National Headquarters of the 80th Division Veterans Association, 313 Plaza Building, Pittsburgh (19), Pa.

**Young Officers to be Integrated by New Competitive Program**

The War Department has announced a new program for bringing large numbers of young officers into the Regular Army. Although the program for bringing wartime officers into the Regular Army has almost been completed there now remain a great many vacancies for young officers. For the next five years approximately 2000 appointments a year will be made available. Officers rejected in the present integration program during the remainder of 1947 will not be excluded from applying for this new program.

Applications will be accepted for competitive tours of active duty of one year duration. This is very much similar to the Thomason Act in existence before World War II except that the chances of getting a Regular Army commission will be very much enhanced by the large number of vacancies available. The first competitive tour of this new program began on 1 July. Former AUS officers who are not on active duty must file their applications by 15 June and those still on active duty must file by the 20th.

Applicants must have completed two years of college and be between the ages of 21 and 25 years and six months at the time their application is filed. In addition, they must agree to remain on active duty for a minimum period of two years. In the future, applications must be filed 60 days prior to 1 July or 1 January, which will be the starting dates of all competitive tours of duty.

During the period of the competitive tour each candidate must demonstrate his fitness for appointment. If successful, and subject to the number of vacancies existing at semiannual periods, the candidates will be commissioned in the Regular Army the July 1st or January 1st following completion of the one-year tour. Those officers who are not selected at the completion of their competitive tour will be required to remain on active duty until the completion of the two-year period for which they agreed to serve. Or, if still eligible, may elect to try another competitive tour during their second year.

The forms for application for these competitive tours are available at all posts, camps, and stations, U.S. Army Recruiting Offices, and Military District Headquarters.

**"Winged Victory" Division to Hold Convention**

A three-day convention of the 43d Infantry Division Association will be held September 12, 13 and 14, at the State Camp, Niantic, Connecticut, according to Brigadier General George E. Cole, National Guard (Retired), secretary-treasurer of the association.

**1st Armored Division Historical Association**

The Washington Branch of the 1st Armored Division Historical Association desires to affiliate itself with the 1st Armored Regiment Association, the 13th Armored Regiment Association, the 91st Field Artillery Association, the 701st Tank Destroyer Association and all other branches of the 1st Armored Division Historical Association that have been or may be formed, in order to expedite and facilitate activation of the national association.

Individuals desiring to join in furthering this worthy cause may write to the Association, c/o The Armored Cavalry Journal, 1719 K Street, N.W., Washington, D. C.
On 12, 13, and 14 June, Headquarters, Far East Air Forces, conducted an Air Defense Conference in Tokyo, Japan. Representatives of the Antiaircraft Artillery units and the Air Forces in the Marianas, Philippines, Okinawa, Korea, and Japan were present. The highlight of the conference was a talk given by Brigadier General W. F. Marquat. General Marquat reviewed the air defense situation in the early days of the war in the Pacific on Corregidor and Bataan; emphasized the importance of an adequate air defense establishment "in being" during peacetime; and discussed the necessity for constant progressive development of the operation of the Fighter-Antiaircraft Artillery Team.

Because of the difficulty attendant upon organizing a range and records section for use during antiaircraft artillery target practices, it was recently recommended that the War Department publish a training circular on the use of the present personnel and equipment in an operations detachment to perform these duties. A standardized procedure for forming records sections should simplify considerably the training problem and relieve the firing unit commander of many harassing details.

The Commanding General, Army Ground Forces has indicated that because of pending developments in automatic weapons matériel it is not considered advisable at this time to augment the T/O & E of a searchlight battery to facilitate its operation separately, when providing illumination for automatic weapons battalions. The recommendation to augment the battery by the addition of two radar sections and sufficient personnel and equipment to permit the battery to operate separately was made to the Commanding General, Army Ground Forces, by General Headquarters, Far East Command, in April 1947. WD SO 65, dated 2 April 1947, as modified by WD SO's 103 and 108, should complete the assignment to the Far East Command of all WD AAA Technical Instruction Teams trained by the AAA and Guided Missile Branch of the Artillery School. Instructions for the use of the teams have been disseminated and detailed schedules of instruction tours are nearing completion by the major commands.

Utilizing civilian technical specialists, of the Philco Corporation now serving the Far East Air Forces, as instructors, the Eighth Army Signal Corps Radar School has allocated quotas of four antiaircraft artillery students per month to the Philippines-Ryukyus Command and the Marianas-Bonins Command. The establishment of courses in maintenance of both the SCR-584 and the AN/TPL-1 is a step toward providing the many trained antiaircraft artillery technicians presently required.

All Regular Army, Reserve and National Guard CAC Brigades, Groups and Unattached Battalions are encouraged to send in letters for this section of the JOURNAL.
On 12 April 1947, Colonel Volney W. Wortman assumed command of the 87th AAA Group (PS), including all Antiaircraft Artillery organizations on Okinawa. His last station was San Marcelmo, Philippine Islands, where he commanded in turn, the 1st AAA Group (PS) (PROV), 51st AAA Group (PS), and 70th AAA Group of the Philippine Ground Force Command.

During the period of this report, antiaircraft artillery training was given top priority. The MTP training of all antiaircraft artillery organizations showed a marked improvement after the relief of the Group from additional duties in connection with the operation of the Awase Housing area on 7 May and by the discontinuance of various other additional overhead duties about that time.

The 532d AAA Gun Battalion (PS), LieutenantColonel E. T. Berg commanding, utilized the month of April in conducting Trial Fire with its 120mm Guns from the excellent range on Bolo Point. The first week in May saw the 511th AAA AW Battalion (PS), Lieutenant Colonel C. U. Bradley commanding, conducting its first Automatic Weapons firing at towed flag and OQ-3 radio-controlled targets from the same location. The results of this firing were most pleasing to all concerned.

A novel sight to most newcomers on Okinawa has been the recent searchlight illumination drills conducted by Battery A, 541st AAA Searchlight Battalion (PS), First Lieutenant T. L. Bennett commanding. These drills, held twice weekly, have excited much comment and have been quite successful.

In addition to other drills, day and night tracking missions were held twice each week for Gun and Radar personnel.

The Group parades held each Saturday morning are attracting more and more visitors and are the nearest to pre-war ceremonies that most officers and men have seen in recent years, as are the daily retreat ceremonies conducted in front of Group Headquarters.

The 11th AGF Band (PS) was attached to the Group on 14 April, thus filling an urgent need for music. The Band, commanded by First Lieutenant Alphonso Lea, is an outstanding unit. A new schedule of hours adopted during May has solved the ever-present problem in a training cycle of providing time for athletics. By starting the day at 0730, troops and officers alike are now able to devote the last hour of each day to participation in an extensive athletic program.

The month of May also saw a change in geographical location of one of the antiaircraft units. Following the footsteps of departing Marines, Battery A of the 541st AAA Searchlight Battalion (PS), took over the Marine Barracks area near Tengan. The battery is quartered in the new area and after a brief resettlement period will resume MTP training at its new location.

One of the most interesting events of recent weeks was the Barrio Fiesta and associated May Day activities on the third and fourth of May. The center of the Fiesta was the Group Service Club, "Typhoon Terrace," which featured displays and drawings created by Group personnel, displays of articles and pictures of the Philippine Islands, a dance attended by over a hundred Okinawan girls, cock fights, and a pig barbecue in true Philippine style. The Service Club was attractively decorated for the celebration, the most striking exhibit being a Nipa hut constructed near the front entrance.

Departures and arrivals have been numerous. Departures included: Lieutenant Colonel and Mrs. R. H. Kessler, Mrs. I. A. Peterson, Major and Mrs. W. W. Mize, Major and Mrs. W. H. Barnett, Captain G. H. Farne, Captain J. F. Mangan, all returning to the United States. Lieutenant Colonel I. A. Peterson and First Lieutenant J. P. McDermott were transferred to the 8104th Service Detachment on Okinawa; First Lieutenant T. Sandeno was transferred to the 226th Ordnance Base Depot; First Lieutenant H. C. Carlson (MC) was transferred to the 9th Station Hospital and First Lieutenant W. V. Sinkovic and CWO R. Hair were transferred to the 44th Infantry Regiment.

Arrivals were: Colonel V. W. Wortman; Major and Mrs. E. A. Grambort, Mrs. C. L. Hall, Mrs. W. J. Sinkovic, Mrs. R. L. Wood, Major W. F. Shaver, Jr., Captain J. C. Howard (MC), First Lieutenant J. D. Ingham, and Second Lieutenants L. Aben, F. Yambo, Z. M. Johnson, A. W. Cruz, A. M. Mendaros, F. E. Ricafort, J. N. Agtarap, and J. M. Maximo.

Mrs. W. C. Barlow is expected to arrive in the near future while Major and Mrs. H. J. Turner, Captain and Mrs. D. C. Cabell, Major J. D. Healy, and Captains J. L. Smith and G. Fitzpatrick are expected to depart for duty in the United States.
138TH ANTIARCADE ARTILLERY GROUP
YOKOHAMA, JAPAN, APO 503

COLONEL D. C. TREDENNICK, Commanding

During the past two months there has been no change in the mission of supplying security guard for the Yokohama Area. Because of the inactivation of the 82d Chemical Mortar Battalion, which had been attached for operational control only, the burden of security guard for the remaining units has been increased. Since 4 September 1945, the 138th AAA Group and its predecessors, the 68th and 40th AAA Brigades, have maintained connections in security with security guard duties. One thousand five hundred fifty of these apprehensions have taken place since 25 May 1946 when the 138th AAA Group moved from Sugamo Prison and relieved the 40th AAA Brigade in Yokohama. 

Another change occurred on 20 May 1947 when the 209th AAA AW Battalion (SP) was inactivated. The 76th AAA AW Battalion (SP) was inactivated on the same date and took over Camp McNeely. All the officers of the 209th were transferred to the 76th.

On 8 May 1947 twenty-six officers completed the Troop Officers' course conducted in the 753d AAA Gun Battalion area. Certificates of completion were awarded by the group commander. The second session began 12 May 1947 with 30 officers attending. Officers are placed on TDY with the gun battalion and are relieved of all duties while in attendance at school.

All units participate fully in the Troop Information and Education Program carried on by the group. Copies of the TIE-AIR Bulletin, a weekly publication, are sent to the various staff sections of Eighth Army.

Under the latest group training directive, afternoons as far as is possible, are devoted to supervised athletics. During the last week in April the group conducted a series of informal games in Fryar Gym, Yokohama, with all battalions represented.

The group furnished a composite AAA battalion under the command of Lieutenant Colonel R. A. Stevens, Jr., to march in Yokohama's colorful Army Day Parade on 7 April 1947. This battalion consisted of one battery each of guns, half-tracks, and automatic weapons.

As this newsletter goes to press, the 138th AAA Group is preparing to move from its present location in central Yokohama to an area outside the City of Yokohama, pin-pointed two miles south of the Tomioka Seaplane Base.

The 209th AAA AW Battalion (SP), prior to its inactivation, was honored by the presence of Major General Clovis Byers, Chief of Staff, Eighth Army, at a retreat parade. The general commented favorably on the parade and on the brief inspection which followed it. Specifically mentioned was the superior condition of "A" Battery barracks, the Supply, battery, Post Exchange, and the Dispensary. Music for the occasion was furnished by the 124th AGF Band. Separate motor marches were made by "A" and "C" Batteries to Chigasaki Beach for training in driving and maintenance. The batteries bivouacked on the beach overnight and returned to Yokohama early the next morning.

The two new BOQ's previously reported under construction have been completed and will be occupied shortly.

An "Open House" and artillery drill demonstration were held by the 753d AAA Gun Battalion on 18 April 1947. Visitors were conducted to the various battalion installations by guides who pointed out and explained items of interest. A firing battery, made up of graduates of the battalion's Noncommissioned Officers School and commanded by Captain Robert K. Routh, gave a demonstration of the selection and occupation of a gun battery position in the field. At the conclusion of the demonstration, certificates of proficiency as Second-Class Gunners were presented to the graduates of the class. The field kitchen of the demonstration battery served refreshments to the guests and the 124th AGF Band under the direction of CWO L. Y. Harkness furnished music for the occasion.

On 22 May 1947 Major General Clovis E. Byers, Eighth Army Chief of Staff, inspected and reviewed the 753d AAA Gun Battalion. He praised "A" Battery's supply room and mess and was enthusiastic about the general camp arrangement.

Battery "B", 933d AAA AW Battalion (SM), spent three weeks at Mito during the target practice phase of their MTP schedule. This battery was the first in the battalion to fire 40mm guns and the first to fire at radio-controlled targets. Satisfactory results were obtained in both cases. As enlistments expire, the battalion continues to lose men for whom replacements are not forthcoming. This loss of personnel has forced temporary discontinuance of MTP training as all men are needed for security guard.

Sixteen men of the 538th Searchlight Battery (Sep) were commended by the Director of Operations, 1539th AAFBU, Western Pacific Wing, Pacific Division, APO 226, for exhibiting fine military bearing, pride, and interest in their work in connection with the operation of searchlights sited at Haneda Army Air Base. Captain Robert C. Dufault assumed command of the 538th AAA Searchlight Battery (Sep) on 8 May 1947.

When Captain William Beveridge was placed on TDY in connection with AA liaison duties with the 314th Composite Wing, Lieutenant Colonel Ralph A. Stevens, Jr., Director of Training, 138th AAA Group, has been detailed to the War Crimes Commission, Yokohama Division. Lieutenant Colonel Russel M. Nelson, who recently arrived from Manila, P. I., has replaced Colonel Stevens as Director of Training.

The group commander and his S-3, together with all the battalion commanders, completed a six-day inspection and reconnaissance of airfields assigned to this command and the antiaircraft artillery protection. They traveled by rail and motor to fields located in Kyushu and southern Honshu.

The months of April and May were banner months for the 138th. The group conducted a series of informal games in Fryar Gym, Yokohama, with all battalions represented. 

Visitations were conducted to the various battalion installations by guides who pointed out and explained items of interest. A firing battery, made up of graduates of the battalion's Noncommissioned Officers School and commanded by Captain Robert K. Routh, gave a demonstration of the selection and occupation of a gun battery position in the field. At the conclusion of the demonstration, certificates of proficiency as Second-Class Gunners were presented to the graduates of the class. The field kitchen of the demonstration battery served refreshments to the guests and the 124th AGF Band under the direction of CWO L. Y. Harkness furnished music for the occasion.

On 22 May 1947 Major General Clovis E. Byers, Eighth Army Chief of Staff, inspected and reviewed the 753d AAA Gun Battalion. He praised "A" Battery's supply room and mess and was enthusiastic about the general camp arrangement.

Battery "B", 933d AAA AW Battalion (SM), spent three weeks at Mito during the target practice phase of their MTP schedule. This battery was the first in the battalion to fire 40mm guns and the first to fire at radio-controlled targets. Satisfactory results were obtained in both cases. As enlistments expire, the battalion continues to lose men for whom replacements are not forthcoming. This loss of personnel has forced temporary discontinuance of MTP training as all men are needed for security guard.

Sixteen men of the 538th Searchlight Battery (Sep) were commended by the Director of Operations, 1539th AAFBU, Western Pacific Wing, Pacific Division, APO 226, for exhibiting fine military bearing, pride, and interest in their work in connection with the operation of searchlights sited at Haneda Army Air Base. Captain Robert C. Dufault assumed command of the 538th AAA Searchlight Battery (Sep) on 8 May 1947.

When Captain William Beveridge was placed on TDY in connection with AA liaison duties with the 314th Composite Wing, Lieutenant Colonel Ralph A. Stevens, Jr., Director of Training, 138th AAA Group, has been detailed to the War Crimes Commission, Yokohama Division. Lieutenant Colonel Russel M. Nelson, who recently arrived from Manila, P. I., has replaced Colonel Stevens as Director of Training.

The group commander and his S-3, together with all the battalion commanders, completed a six-day inspection and reconnaissance of airfields assigned to this command and the antiaircraft artillery protection. They traveled by rail and motor to fields located in Kyushu and southern Honshu.

The months of April and May were banner months...
Brigadier General McConnell—Headquarters, 8th Army.

Lieutenant Colonel William M. Hoke—670th Medium Port for return to the Zone of Interior.

Captain Nicholas J. Bruno—Headquarters, Marianas Bonins Command, APO 246.

Lieutenant Verdie B. Presley—Headquarters, 8th Army.

ENLISTED MEN

First Sergeant Clyde A. Lowery—Headquarters, 8th Armv.

Sergeant Charles A. Farrell—738th M.P. Bn.

Tec 4 Norbert C. Edwards—Paranaque Repl & Disp Ctr.

Tec 4 Max R. Keesey—Paranaque Repl & Disp Ctr.

Tec 5 Bronislaus J. Moskal—Paranaque Repl & Disp Ctr.

Tec 5 Charles W. Nimmo—Paranaque Repl & Disp Ctr.

Tec 5 Dwight L. Nichols—8115th Service Detachment.

32D ANTI AIRCRAFT ARTILLERY BRIGADE

Luzon, P. I., APO 707

Brigadier General Frank C. McConnell, Commanding

By General Order No. 52, Headquarters Philippines-Bonins Command, dated 7 May 1947, the 32d Antiaircraft Artillery Brigade was inactivated on 30 May 1947. This action brought to an end the activities of the last AAA Brigade in the United States Army.

The colorful and creditable war record of this unit has been recited in a recent feature article in the JOURNAL and will not be repeated here.

69TH ANTI AIRCRAFT ARTILLERY GROUP

Saipan, APO 244

Colonel William C. McFadden, Commanding

The 69th AAA Group with its attached battalions at greatly depleted strength, both in officer and enlisted personnel has been managing to sustain a reduced training schedule, to include radar tracking missions and automatic weapon firing practices at balloon targets, however, the outlook for the future remains bright with the expected arrival of enlisted replacements.

The Group has bid, or is about to bid adieu to the following officers and their families: Lieutenant Colonel Joseph C. Moore, Major Jack G. Gweek, Major Elmer P. Cutis, Major Adellon F. Hanson, and Captain Woodrow A. Jones. Recent arrivals include the families of the following: Captain John S. Farra and Captain Herbert W. Conklin. Officers who have recently arrived for duty include the following: Lieutenant Colonel Leslie J. Staub, Major John Downey, Jr., Captains Thomas L. McNerney, Jabez A. Smith and Merle E. Campbell, First Lieutenant Gordan Boswell, Jr., Second Lieutenants Richard H. Bacon, Jr., Lawson D. Bramblett, John J. Byrne, James E. Carter, Edward W. Jones, Jack B. Hagel, Ralph A. Meola, John Marberger, Paul A. Kelley, John W. Gillespie, Jr., Thomas E. Pfeifer, Richard L. Ruble, Robert S. Daniel, Jr., Francis M. Pelmatier, Stanky D. Fair, Daniel J. Finnegan, and James L. Andrews, Jr.

This "Paradise of the Pacific," and this description is by no means an exaggeration, is rapidly taking on the appearance and activities of a stateside garrison. Intensive efforts are being made to improve the welfare of all personnel, military or dependent. Saipan with an average temperature of 78 degrees, with its cooling breezes and its delightful swimming beaches, is one of most healthful spots in the Pacific. Numerous recreational facilities have been made available to all. Many fine clubs are now in operationLeet and the group is particularly proud of the recently opened stateside soda fountain. A Saipan Boosters' Club would have no difficulty gathering material for discussion, for the attractions and inducements are many.
South Sector Command

Fort Ruper, Hawaii, APO 956

Brigadier General James E. Moore, Commanding

The South Sector Command, charged with incorporating Oahu's seacoast and antiaircraft operations into the overall ground forces defenses of the island, experienced many staff changes during the months of April and May.

Colonel Leonard L. Davis, who was relieved as Chief of Staff early in May for reassignment on the mainland, was replaced by Colonel Carl J. Murphy, former Chief of Staff of the Hawaiian Artillery Command.

The month of May saw the departure of 17 officers. In this group, many branches of service other than Coast Artillery were represented from the ten posts of the command. Although the officers departed before their replacements arrived, the command continued to function efficiently until an influx of new officers in late May brought the command up to its full strength.

Enlisted strength dwindled during this period because of the expiration of short-term enlistments and the return of selectees to civilian life. It is expected that units will increase in enlisted strength in the near future as new men arrive from the mainland.

In the army motor show at Schofield Barracks in early May, prizes were given in a variety of contests to test the skills of drivers and mechanics. Members of the South Sector Command placed first in two contests and third in two others. The motor show is planned to be an annual event for the Army Ground Forces of the Pacific.

35TH COAST ARTILLERY MAINTENANCE DETACHMENT

Fort Ruper, Hawaii, APO 956

Colonel Donald C. Hawley, Commanding

With the completion of family quarters for noncommissioned officers and their families at six seacoast battery positions of the 35th Coast Artillery Maintenance Detachment and the withdrawal of the small caretaking detachments formerly located at these positions, three roving maintenance teams are performing all maintenance. These teams are located at Batteries Hatch, Burgess and Demerritt and provide necessary maintenance there and at the other adjacent areas.

On 10 June 1947, Colonel Donald C. Hawley assumed command of the 35th and the posts of Ft. Ruper and Ft. Hase, replacing Lieutenant Colonel Charles G. Young who is scheduled to return to the mainland for reassignment in early July.

Other 35th officers include: Major Maurice J. Palizzio, Executive Officer; Captain George McCarty, Artillery Engineer; Captain Andrew Daly, Communications Officer and Captain John A. Padenburg, Commanding Officer of both Headquarters and Headquarters Detachment and the 35th CA Maintenance Detachment.

A new arrival is First Lieutenant Leonard K. Olvis, who until recently was stationed at Ft. Stevens, Oregon. Captain Robert B. Graham left the command in May to return to civilian life.

98TH ANTIARTILLERY ARTILLERY GROUP

Fort Kamehameha, Hawaii, APO 956

Colonel John Harry, Commanding

The 98th AAA Group, with headquarters at Ft. Kamehameha now numbers the following among its units: 97th AAA Gun Battalion, Ft. Kamehameha; 867th AAA Automatic Weapons Battalion, Ft. Ruper; 88th Searchlight Battery, Schofield Barracks; 1st AGFPAC Radio-Controlled Target Unit, and the 31st Operations Detachment both at Ft. Kamehameha.

During April and May, there were many personnel changes throughout the 98th Group. In the 97th, Lieutenant Colonel Raymond C. Cheal recently succeeded Lieutenant Colonel Kenneth E. Tilton as battalion commander. Colonel Tilton, who had commanded the 97th since October 1946, returned to the mainland for duty with Fifth Army headquarters in Chicago.

Other new 97th staff officers include: Major Frank L. Coleman, Executive Officer; Major Charles Odenweller, Jr., another stateside returnee; Major Woodrow J. Steichen, S-3; Captain James F. Beers, S-2; Captain Jesse H. Colley, Medical Officer; Captain Benson Grant, S-4 and First Lieutenant Archie D. Brown, Radar Officer.

New 97th line officers are: Captain Karl W. Lehman and First Lieutenant William M. Dicke, Jr., Headquarters Battery; First Lieutenant Harry J. Kammel, A Battery; Captain Norman E. Fine, B Battery and Captain Delbert C. Carpenter, C Battery.

In the 867th, new staff officers are: Major Charles E. Bracken, on detached service as Executive Officer of Ft. Armstrong and Camp Sand Island; Major Joseph C. Carpenter, Executive Officer; Captain Walter A. Chavet, S-3; Captain Hugh R. Waters, Medical Officer; First Lieutenant Alexander E. Berger, Communications Officer; First Lieutenant George J. Coleman, First Lieutenant William Wemperl and Second Lieutenant Gordon M. Cheatham. In the 867th lettered battery arrivals are: Captain William S. Wall, First Lieutenant Louis P. Kershinar, First Lieutenant William P. Riggs and First Lieutenant Anthony D. Serpe, A Battery. Captain Bob G. Olsen is new B Battery commander and First Lieutenant Paul V. Wolf, new commanding officer of C Battery.

Newcomers to the 88th are: First Lieutenant Kenneth C. Carpenter, S-3; Captain Ralph H. Grist and First Lieutenant Robert E. Haeffner.
R. Balsley and First Lieutenant Ralph B. Raperto, as are Captain Leo P. Ticheli and First Lieutenant Alvin E. Fort of the RCTU and First Lieutenant Cyril C. Disney of the 31st.

The greatest change, however, has been in the group staff itself, which has had a complete turnover in the officer personnel in the past few months. New Group Commander is Colonel Harry F. Townsend, who succeeded Colonel William L. McPherson early in May. In addition to his duties as group commander, Colonel Harry is commanding Officer of Ft. Kamehameha, Ft. Weaver, Ft. Barette and Camp Malakole, and artillery officer in charge of Oahu's antiaircraft defenses.

New Executive Officer for the group is Lieutenant Colonel Harry F. Townsend, who is also post Executive Officer. Other new group staff members are: Lieutenant Colonel Richard A. McPherson, former Commander of US Army Personnel in the past few months. New Group Commander is Colonel Harry F. Townsend, formerly Commander of US Army, New Caledonia, who succeeded Colonel William L. McPherson early in May. In addition to his duties as group commander, Colonel Harry is commanding Officer of Ft. Kamehameha, Ft. Weaver, Ft. Barette and Camp Malakole, and artillery officer in charge of Oahu's antiaircraft defenses.

305th Anti-Aircraft Artillery Brigade

NEW YORK, NEW YORK

COLONEL H. RUSSELL DROWNE, JR., Commanding

The 305th AAA Brigade to which all Coast Artillery Reserve units in the New York Metropolitan area are assigned, was organized 1 Nov. 1946 with Colonel H. Russell Drowne, Jr., commanding. During the war, Colonel Drowne was Executive Officer of the 102d AAA Brigade with which he served from the date of Federalization until he left it to return to the States after VJ Day. Activation ceremonies were held for Brigade Headquarters and Headquarters Battery in conjunction with those for the Headquarters 77th Infantry Division and XXII Corps Artillery Headquarters at City Hall, New York City on 20 February 1947. Colors were presented to the Brigade Commander by The Secretary of War.

All organizations under 305th Brigade have been activated and all major units organized and personnel assigned. They are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Commanding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>313th CA Harbor Defenses</td>
<td>Col. Thomas F. Byrne, CA-Res</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315th CA Harbor Defenses</td>
<td>Col. Rowland K. Bennett, CA-Res</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316th CA Harbor Defenses</td>
<td>Col. Walter A. Heesch, CA-Res</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318th Group</td>
<td>Col. John S. Mayer, CA-Res</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315th Group</td>
<td>Col. Julius F. Mercandino, CA-Res</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320th Group</td>
<td>Col. George L. Clarke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326th Group</td>
<td>Col. Vincent A. Lane, CA-Res</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>697th AAA AW Battalion (SP)</td>
<td>Lt. Col. John C. Clark, CA-Res</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80th AAA AW Battalion (Mob)</td>
<td>Lt. Col. Wm. F. Nelson, CA-Res</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>697th AAA Operations Detachment</td>
<td>Maj. John F. Boyer, CA-Res</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Activation ceremonies were held for the above units at Times Square, New York on 19 June 1947 at which the colors were presented by Major General James A. Van Fleet, U.S.A. Deputy Commander, First Army and Commander New York-New Jersey-Delaware Military Area. Brigadier General Bruce C. Clarke, Asst 4, G-5, Army Ground Forces, gave the principal speech of the evening on "Future Warfare."

In addition to the above units, those organized comprise three 155mm C.A. Gun Batteries, three Mine Planter Batteries, three C.A. Searchlight Batteries, two 16" Gun Batteries, one 8" Gun Battery, and a H.D. Headquarters Battery.

The 397th AAA AW Battalion (SP) had service in the war as a semimobile battalion. It will be remembered as the unit from which a provisional machine-gun battalion was formed for attachment to the 1st Infantry Division for the Normandy landing on Omaha Beach, the balance of the battalion providing antiaircraft protection for the landing from the Phoenix barges that formed the artificial harbor. An unusual coincidence was noted that Colonel Mayers who was the last Commanding Officer of the old 397th was a participant in the ceremonies reactivating it.

The only other unit reactivated in the same form as its counterpart in World War II is the 430th AAA AW Battalion. The 313th, 315th and 316th Harbor Defenses were formerly the 103d, 105th, and 107th AAA Groups respectively. The 326th Operations Detachment saw war service as the 144th AAA Operations Detachment.

A brigade training program has been issued and is being followed by all the organized units, each of which has had two or three monthly meetings. Plans are being formed by a number of units to visit Fort Hancock during the summer to conduct a simple CPX or inspect the Harbor Defenses, finishing up with a beach party and social evening. The 313th Harbor Defenses was the first unit to follow this program, visiting Fort Hancock on 28-29 June.

Most all major units have had one or more officers on fifteen or ninety days' active duty at Coast Artillery Reserve Headquarters, 90 Church Street which has proved invaluable in the organization and preparation of training schedules and records of their organizations. It is hoped that enlisted Reservists, recently assigned will also take advantage of this duty as the permanent staff assigned to this Headquarters is far too small to handle the mass of detail necessary to the administration and training.
74TH ANTIAIRCRAFT ARTILLERY BRIGADE
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

COLONEL THOMAS F. MULLANEY, JR., Commanding

Headquarters and Headquarters Battery, 74th AAA Brigade, activated as a Reserve Unit in Chicago, Illinois on November 14, 1946, is the first Reserve Unit in Illinois to seek classification in the "B" unit category. On the basis of the unit's current assigned strength of fourteen officers and seventeen enlisted men, Acting Commanding Officer, Colonel Thomas F. Mullaney, Jr., CA-Res., has petitioned the War Department for advancement into "B" category.

Since activation, members of the Brigade staff, ably assisted by this area's Regular Army Instructors for the Organized Reserve, Colonel Wilfred H. Steward and Major Willis F. Whitaker, have conducted a widespread campaign to interest former commissioned and enlisted anti-aircraft personnel in the Brigade, and in three other recently activated Chicago anti-aircraft units. These are the 564th AAA Composite Group, commanded by Colonel Joseph B. Vlack, CA-Res.; the 383d AAA AW Battalion (SP), commanded by Lieutenant Colonel William F. Moehle, CA-Res.; and the 168th Operations Detachment, commanded by Major John R. Reynolds, CA-Res.

260TH ANTIAIRCRAFT ARTILLERY GROUP
WASHINGTON, D. C.

COLONEL LEROY S. MANN, Commanding

The 260th Antiaircraft Artillery Group, District of Columbia National Guard, is now installed in the immense new District of Columbia National Guard Armory, located about a mile and a half east of the Capitol. Although this building was completed in 1941, various bureaus and offices of the Executive Department, including the Federal Bureau of Investigation, occupied it until early in June, 1947.

The new Armory was eloquently dedicated "to the glory of God and the use of the District of Columbia National Guard" by Brigadier General Albert L. Cox, Commanding General of the District of Columbia Militia, at a large gathering of official and private friends of the Guard held in the two hundred by four hundred foot drill hall of the armory on Sunday, 29 June 1947, after the troops were formed. General Cox, who acted as host and master of ceremonies, introduced the speakers, who included Lieutenant General LeRoy Lutes of the War Department General Staff, Colonel Edward J. Geesen, Acting Chief of the National Guard Bureau, and Brigadier General Claude E. Ferenbaugh, Commanding General of the Military District of Washington.

Certificates of Service were awarded during the ceremony to all members of the District of Columbia National Guard who were inducted into Federal Service in 1941 during the emergency mobilization.

After the ceremony, the troops, commanded by Colonel Mann for the occasion, were reviewed by General Cox and the distinguished guests on the reviewing platform. Detachments of the 260th AAA Regiment Association and the 121st Engineer Regiment Association marched in the review immediately behind the 260th AAA Group. In addition, several Air Forces and other units of the District of Columbia Guard also marched.

The 260th occupies all of the South Building, leading off the drill hall, and part of the West Building. The State Staff and Headquarters of the District of Columbia Guard are located in the North Building. The Military Police Battalion occupies the greater portion of the West Building and Air Forces units occupy the East Building.

The District of Columbia Guard, and particularly the 260th, for the first time in District of Columbia history, now have adequate quarters. Their attractiveness is expected to speed the activation and Federal recognition of the many batteries of guns, searchlights and automatic weapons on the table of organization of this old and tried organization.

Just recently, the 104th Antiaircraft Ordnance Maintenance Company, commanded by Second Lieutenant Ben H. Watkins, received Federal recognition and "A" Battery of the 260th AAA Gun Battalion, which is commanded by Captain Abram L. Greene, has been inspected preparatory to being granted Federal recognition.

Personnel of the four units have been conducting joint monthly meetings since activation. Although facilities adequate for training are not as yet available, the meetings have proven instructive and interesting. A contributing factor has been the almost exact balance between personnel whose service was in the Pacific Theater and those serving in the European. Of the 74th Brigade's staff, for example, seven officers saw service in Europe, six in the Pacific, and one in the American Theater. For this reason, alternate meetings have been given over to discussions of the various anti-aircraft problems encountered in the different theaters. Both War Department and personal films have been utilized in these discussions.

The present staff of the 74th AAA Brigade is as follows: Acting C.O., Colonel Thomas F. Mullaney, Jr.; Executive Officer, Lieutenant Colonel Charles L. McGee; S-1, Captain Hervey R. Jenkinson; S-2, Major James W. Switzer; S-3, Lieutenant Colonel Henry B. Coleman; Asst. S-3, Captain Ralph P. Rickman; S-4, Major Bernard L. Hirsh; Asst. S-4, Captain Edwin L. Morris; Rad Off, Major James R. Keach; Asst. Rad Off, Captain Harry L. Savles; and Hq Btry C.O., Captain Alan Buhlmeier. Attached, as Asst. S-3 are Lieutenant Colonels Jesse L. Butler and Theodore H. Kuyper, and as Asst. Radar Officer, Captain Robert V. Suhrke.
1st Lt. E. S. Bibb, Judge Advocate
Lt. C. A. F. Pierson, Judge Advocate
Lt. W. H. P. Causey, Judge Advocate
Capt. C. W. O. Elkins, Judge Advocate
Capt. C. D. Campbell, Judge Advocate
Capt. W. E. Snodgrass, Judge Advocate
Capt. J. T. Evans, Judge Advocate
Capt. J. W. Gilfillan, Judge Advocate
Capt. J. W. Hendricks, Judge Advocate
Capt. W. H. Gile, Judge Advocate
Capt. G. W. Johnson, Judge Advocate
Capt. J. B. Markle, Judge Advocate
Capt. H. S. O'Donnell, Judge Advocate
Capt. H. B. Sansom, Judge Advocate
Capt. P. N. McCarthy, Judge Advocate
Capt. C. A. Landers, Judge Advocate
Capt. C. F. O'Donnell, Judge Advocate
Capt. W. P. Weis, Judge Advocate
Capt. J. W. Wycoff, Judge Advocate
1st Lt. R. S. Bennett, Judge Advocate
1st Lt. J. F. Sanson, Judge Advocate
Col. E. S. Bibb, Judge Advocate
Col. A. L. Ramon, Judge Advocate
Col. H. S. Tubbs (GSC), Judge Advocate
Lt. Col. E. S. Bibb, Judge Advocate
Lt. Col. J. A. Butler, Judge Advocate
Lt. Col. E. P. Clay, Judge Advocate
Lt. Col. C. Conell (IGD), Judge Advocate
Lt. Col. R. C. Dougan (GSC), Judge Advocate
Lt. Col. C. D. Hill, Judge Advocate
Lt. Col. F. A. Liwski (GSC), Judge Advocate
Lt. Col. A. L. Sanford, Judge Advocate
Lt. Col. J. T. Snodgrass, Judge Advocate
Lt. Col. T. H. Watkins, Judge Advocate
Lt. Col. R. A. Stevens, Judge Advocate
Maj. W. M. Brunner, Judge Advocate
Maj. R. E. Burt, Judge Advocate
Maj. B. C. DeWart, Judge Advocate
Maj. C. J. Hutson, Judge Advocate
Maj. C. C. Ringwalt, Judge Advocate
Maj. W. H. Sage, Judge Advocate
Maj. M. H. Schultz, Judge Advocate
Maj. W. B. Smith, Judge Advocate
Capt. C. D. Campbell, Judge Advocate
Capt. H. S. Dolsey, Judge Advocate
Capt. A. O. Ellis, Judge Advocate
Capt. W. F. Gilfillan, Judge Advocate
Capt. W. T. Hendricks, Judge Advocate
Capt. J. W. Jacobson, Judge Advocate
Capt. H. H. Landers, Judge Advocate
Capt. P. N. McCarthy, Judge Advocate
Capt. J. A. Norton, Judge Advocate
Capt. C. F. O'Donnell, Judge Advocate
Capt. W. P. Weiss, Judge Advocate
Capt. J. W. Wycoff, Judge Advocate
1st Lt. R. S. Bennett, Judge Advocate
1st Lt. J. F. Sanson, Judge Advocate
Judge Advocate
Judge Advocate
Judge Advocate
Judge Advocate
Engineer
Engineer
Special Service
Military Government
Military Government
Military Government
Provost Marshal
Judge Advocate
Military Government
Military Government
Military Government
Adjutant General
Military Government
Army Exchange Service
Military Government
Ordnance
Special Service
65TH ANTIAIRCRAFT ARTILLERY GROUP
FORT AMADOR, PANAMA CANAL DEPARTMENT

COLONEL HAROLD A. BRUSHER, COMMANDING

Brigadier General F. P. Hardaway reviewed all Pacific Sector troops for the last time, prior to leaving for the States and retirement, at a review held at Fort Clayton on 24 May at which many prominent military, naval, diplomatic and government figures were present to pay their respects. General Hardaway will be remembered by many as Commanding General of the Panama Coast Artillery Command at the time it was inactivated and the present Sector organization instituted. He has been succeeded as Sector Commander by Colonel P. B. Rutledge, his former Deputy Commander.

A distinguished visitor during May was General Cipriano Olivera, Chief of Staff of the Uruguayan Army who visited antiaircraft artillery installations as part of his tour of the Isthmus while on route to the U. S. as a guest of the War Department.

At Fort Amador on 6 May, officers of Headquarters 65th AAA Group, 76th AAA Gun Battalion, and 903d AAA AW Battalion, tendered a farewell party to Colonel M. G. Rutledge, Group Adjutant, both of whom have since left for the States for reassignment. Lieutenant Colonel C. H. Tanner, Executive Officer, succeeded to command of the Group and First Lieutenant Evert V. Youngs, replaced CWO Tulley as Group Adjutant.

Atlantic Sector sponsored a review on 26 April at Ft. Wm. D. Davis which had added color in the participation of Navy personnel. Lieutenant General W. D. Crittenden, Theater Commander, reviewed the troops accompanied by Admiral W. E. Shafroth, Commander of the 15th Naval District. Following the parade, Lieutenant General Crittenden presented the Department baseball championship cup to the winning Navy team through Admiral Shafroth who in turn presented individual awards to the several members of the team. Among other awards, a cup for the outstanding day-room of field units was accepted by First Lieutenant Werner, Battery Commander of Battery "B," 76th AAA Gun Battalion.

Three hundred and seventy continental troops, mainly young enlistees, who arrived on 29 April, practically brought the Group up to T/O strength, whereas it had been decreasing rapidly, as men in various categories had been returned to the States for separation or reassignment in recent months.

At a Battalion parade on 5 May 1947, Staff Sergeant Elmer N. Knutson, Headquarters Battery, 903d AAA AW Battalion, was the recipient of a Bronze Star for meritorious service in connection with military operations in the ETO from 1 May 1944 to 10 May 1945, during which time he served with the 634th AAA AW Battalion, then assigned to the Third Army. The Battalion was reviewed by Colonel M. G. Armstrong, Commanding Officer of the 65th AAA Group, and Lieutenant Colonel Jack Schrader, Battalion Commander, while Major R. S. Harlan acted as commander of troops. As the citations were read by CWO B. J. White, Colonel Armstrong also presented American Defense Service Medals to several members of the Battalion. At a similar ceremony on 20 May Technical Sergeant Robert Haldeman, Headquarters Battery, 65th AAA Group, was presented an American Defense Service Medal by Lieutenant Colonel C. H. Tanner, Group Commander. Sergeant Haldeman was in Hawaii when the Japs struck and continued through Pacific operations with the Headquarter Battery, 176th CA Battalion.

The departure of Colonel Wayne L. Barker, Commanding Officer of the Corozal General Depot since May 1946, for Washington, D. C., was announced on Friday, 13 June at Quarry Heights. Colonel Barker will remain in Washington for a brief period for orientation and instruction pending issuance of orders directing his assignment to Europe in connection with the administration of the United States loan to Greece. Colonel Barker arrived in the Canal Zone for duty in October 1945, and at that time was assigned to duty with the Coast Artillery Command.

The following newly arrived CAC officers have recently been assigned to the 65th AAA Group: Captains W. G. Trigg, J. C. Cole, Jr., and J. P. Wilson, and Second Lieutenant Robert T. Wagner.

Three reserve officers have recently completed active duty tours with the 903d AAA AW Battalion—Major C. E. Brrame (CAC), now an employee of Navy Supply Branch, Major D. M. Anderson, FA, who is a civilian employed with Pan Canal Engineer Office, and First Lieutenant J. H. Loftis, FA, an employee of Panama Air Depot.

Major Brrame formerly served here with the 615th CA Regiment and the 903d AAA AW Battalion, prior to his separation from the active service.

ERROR'S NOTE: Information has just been received that Colonel Tanner died suddenly on 4 July while witnessing a golf match. His loss is mourned by all who knew him. Colonel Tanner was given a full military funeral on 8 July at which last respects were paid by the multitude of his friends.

Colonel Harold A. Brusher assumed command of the Group following the untimely passing of Colonel Tanner. Colonel Brusher joined the Group from the Panama Canal Department where he was Chief of the Special Service Division.
The Seacoast Branch, The Artillery School

MAJOR GENERAL ROBERT T. FREDERICK, Officer In Charge

The courses of instruction officially designated by Army Ground Force Headquarters for the Seacoast Branch of The Artillery School during the coming school year, commencing September, 1947, are as listed below:

**Officer Courses**

- Associate Basic: 13 wks.
- Seacoast Artillery Gunnery: 18½ wks.
- Seacoast Electronics: 18½ wks.

**Enlisted Courses**

- Seacoast Artillery Tech: 18½ wks.
- Casemate Electrician: 18½ wks.
- Submarine Mining Operations: 18½ wks.

On 22 June, ninety-seven Reserve Officers from throughout the eight western states which comprise the Sixth Army area arrived at the School for attendance at the Indoctrination Course No. 1. This Reserve Officer Refresher and Indoctrination course, outlined by AGF Headquarters, was well calculated to present the big picture of current Army operations, and the latest developments in weapons and matériel.

A twelve hours of classroom time was devoted to committee studies of important battles of World War II. Four committees were formed and they conducted extensive research on the operation assigned to them for study. During the last four days of the classes, the committees, each in turn, gave the entire class an analytic digest and critique of the operation they had studied.

Two other Reserve Officer Indoctrination Courses, similar to the one outlined above, are scheduled for the months of July and August, respectively.

Sixteen students from Fordham and fourteen from the University of Washington arrived on 23 June to attend ROTC, CAC (Seacoast) Summer Camp at Fort Baker. The camp is scheduled for six weeks of training.

On the afternoon of 28 June, the School was host to some 45 officers of the 112th AAA Brigade, National Guard, of California. After a greeting by Colonel Daniel W. Hickey, Jr., Director of Instruction for the School, they went on a guided tour of the electronics laboratories and inspected the radar and other equipment used in the school for instructional purposes.

The Faculty members of the School and the officers of the 112th AAA Brigade dined together that evening at the SBAS Officers' Mess. Brigadier General David P. Hardy, Commanding the 112th AAA Brigade, spoke to the assembled group briefly, and then introduced Major General Robert T. Frederick, Commandant of the School, who made the principal address of the evening.

General Frederick visited the UMT Experimental Unit at Fort Knox early in June. On his return trip to Fort Scott, he was the guest of Major General Clift Andrus at Fort Sill for the graduation of the first Officers' Advanced Class since World War II from the Artillery Center.

Colonel Kenneth G. Wickham, former Inspector of the School, left on a 60-day leave early in June. At the conclusion of his leave he will report to the Armed Forces Staff College.

The following changes occurred during the month of June 1947:

**DEPARTURES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Destination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capt. James F. Beers</td>
<td>Trfd to O/S Repl Depot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Walter A. Chavet</td>
<td>Trfd to O/S Repl Depot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Lt. Ira S. Eintracht</td>
<td>Trfd to O/S Repl Depot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Lt. Hugh W. Flenniken</td>
<td>Trfd to O/S Repl Depot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOJC Edward V. Sargent</td>
<td>Trfd to O/S Repl Depot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ARRIVALS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CWO Ira L. Roach</td>
<td>Administrative Assistance, Sc Test Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Lt. Edward D. Dahill</td>
<td>Casemate Officer, Mine Detachment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DEPARTURES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Destination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Col. Kenneth G. Wickham</td>
<td>Trfd to Stu Det, Armed Forces, Staff College, Norfolk, Va.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maj. Thomas P. Caulfield</td>
<td>Trfd to Stu Det, Hq 2d Army, Baltimore, Md.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Richard Pullen</td>
<td>Trfd to Stu Det, Mine Sv Language Sch, Presidio of Monterey, California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOJC Joseph T. Fuss</td>
<td>Camp Stoneman, Pittsburg, California</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Antiaircraft Artillery and Guided Missiles Branch, The Artillery School
FORT BLISS, TEXAS

MAJOR GENERAL JOHN L. HOMER, Officer In Charge

The following changes occurred during the month of May 1947:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maj. John E. Campbell</td>
<td>Not yet joined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. James F. Keenan</td>
<td>Personnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Robert G. Pickins</td>
<td>Not yet joined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Thomas E. Ramsey</td>
<td>Not yet joined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Charles Stoetzer</td>
<td>Research and Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Lt. Alden W. Elibbard</td>
<td>Not yet joined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWO Harry L. Staley</td>
<td>Electronics Dept.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWO Louis J. Arnaud</td>
<td>Director of Instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOJG Frank Dudowicz</td>
<td>Gunnery Dept.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOJG Joseph Gravel</td>
<td>S-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Destination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lt. Col. Wm. M. Branan, Jr.</td>
<td>Separated from Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maj. Joseph Zimmerman</td>
<td>Separated from Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Carmine J. Cianfrocca</td>
<td>Separated from Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. James M. Bouton</td>
<td>Separated from Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Karl W. Lehmann</td>
<td>Separated from Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Edward F. Keevan</td>
<td>ORD, Camp Stoneman, Calif.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OC of S, Wash., D. C.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Capt. Edward T. Maguire Separated from Service
1st Lt. Wm. H. McDermott RTC, Ft. Dix, New Jersey
1st Lt. William Wempen ORD, Camp Stoneman, Calif.

The following changes occurred during the month of June 1947:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maj. Joseph P. Mastrucci</td>
<td>Not yet joined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maj. Constantine Vardus</td>
<td>Not yet joined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Frederick L. Schumm</td>
<td>TDY, Pasadena, Calif.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. John P. Taves</td>
<td>Not yet joined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Reno A. Mayzaccic</td>
<td>Electronics Dept.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Howard W. Feindel</td>
<td>Not yet joined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Carl G. Weeks</td>
<td>Training Literature &amp; Visual Aids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Louis S. Francisco</td>
<td>Not yet joined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Charles M. Melli</td>
<td>Not yet joined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Robt. H. Williamson, Jr.</td>
<td>Training Literature &amp; Visual Aids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. James J. Kelleher</td>
<td>Not yet joined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Lt. John L. West</td>
<td>Not yet joined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Lt. Harry E. Clark</td>
<td>Not yet joined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOJG William J. Fayne</td>
<td>Not yet joined</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Destination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Thomas E. Ramsey</td>
<td>Hq. Sixth Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Forrest B. Tilson</td>
<td>Hq. Sixth Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Jack W. Bradley</td>
<td>Det of Pnts WBGH, El Paso, Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Wm. P. McKinney, Jr.</td>
<td>Separated from Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Walter G. Andrews</td>
<td>Separated from Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOJG Joseph M. Gravel</td>
<td>284th AAA AW, Ft. Bliss, Tex</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Don't forget to take advantage of JOURNAL discounts on books, magazines and engraving orders.

Consult price list of books and engraving in this issue and write us for quotations on magazines.
Just Published

The Armed Forces As A Career

By NORTH CALLAHAN

334 pages, 5 1/2 x 8. $3.00

A fact-packed volume covering hundreds of things with which you should be fully acquainted concerning your branch of service, and others within the army. Traces the history of each arm, tells training offered by each, explains requirements needed to take advantage of educational opportunities now being offered servicemen. A unique feature is the comparison of army pay with salaries paid in civilian life. Every statement in this book should be read and considered carefully by all men now in the Army so that they can steer a true course to success.
BOOKS and MANUALS

**BEST SELLERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiction</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jack Rose (Costain)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Command Decision (Haines)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far From Anderson's House (Williamson)</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Great Gains (Shepard)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarzan (Wakeman)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The King's General (Gen. Marshall)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Royal (Levis)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>God, Hornblower (Forster)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jay Adams (Frank)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Roberts (Heggen)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night and the City (Kersh)</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preface To A Certain Midnight (Kersh)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Egg and the I (MacDonald)</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Salinger (Jensen)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Salute of The South Pacific (Mitchener)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Early To Tell (Weidman)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Beck and the Strong (Kersh)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Fiction</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A Pet of Plain Talk</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As We Saw It (Roosevelt)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of Jackson (Scheissinger)</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sens of Democracy (Nelson)</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An Egg and I (MacDonald)</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Country (Ernie Pyle)</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Chase Freedom (Koreshen)</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Please Almanac (Kieran)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journey To the End Of An Era (Hall)</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Reader (Edited by Angle)</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson—Citizen Soldier (Fye)</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Not So Wild A Dream (Seavey)</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Plotters (Carlson)</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Roosevelt I Knew (Perkins)</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running The Country (American Politics In Action)</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soldier's Album</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Strength Alliance (Dean)</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Strength We Need (Eliot)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Struggle for the World (Burrham)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcastic Entry (George)</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This is My Story (Budden)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Together (Marshall)</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Duty of (Dos Passos)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Cells in Nuremberg (Kelley)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WORLD WAR II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Big Picture</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam Diaries (Kahn &amp; McLemore)</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fighting Divisions (Kahn &amp; McLemore)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry—Ordinance Team (Campbell)</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out of Calvary (Hall)</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Lost War (Kato)</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The War In World II (Silvera)</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Way of War (Widow)</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our Vichy Gamble (Longer)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our Loving (Margolin)</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our War Reports (Marshall, King, Arnold)</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our Fighting Planes (Kinert)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our Fighting Planes in Action (Kiner)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our Fighting Planes (Kinert)</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thirty Seconds Over Tokyo (Lawson)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>War In The Air, 1939-41 (Barnett)</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**European Theater**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>European Theater</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Armies on Wheels (Marshall)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battlefront: The First Eight Days (Marshall)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blitzkrieg: Armies on Wheels (Marshall)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blitzkrieg: Its History (Marshall)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brave Men (Pyle)</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eisenhower's Report (6 June 44-8 May 45)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineers in Battle (Thompson)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BEST SELLERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiction</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burma Surgeon (Seagrave)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Fighting Forces edition</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blitzkrieg: Armies on Wheels (Marshall)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blitzkrieg: Its History (Marshall)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineers in Battle (Thompson)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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North African Theater

Artist at War (Biddle) ........................................... 3.50
The Battle is the Pay-Off (Ingersoll) ...................... 2.00
Campaigns of the Pacific (G.P.O.) ......................... 2.50
Capture of Attu: By Men Who Fought There ............ 2.50
Citizen of the North (Clifford) ......................... 3.00
Don't Blame the Generals (Morehead) ..................... 3.50
One Continent Redeemed (Ramsey) ...................... 2.50
One Damned Island (German) .............................. 2.50
Operation in North African Waters ..................... 5.00
Pipeline to Battle (Rainier) .................................. 1.00
Clash edition 2.50, Fighting Forces edition .......... 2.50

Pacific Theater

Admiralties ...................................................... 1.50
Bridge to Vichy (Frenkel) .................................. 2.00
Campaigns of the Pacific (G.P.O.) ......................... 2.25
General Wainwright's Story (Wainwright) .............. 2.00
Green Armor (White) .......................................... 3.00
Guadalcanal Diary (Tregaskis) ............................ 3.00
Guam .................................................................. 2.50
Intelligence (Tregaskis) ...................................... 1.50
Island Victory (Marshall) ..................................... 3.00
Clash edition 2.00, Fighting Forces edition .......... 2.50
Guam .................................................................. 3.50

Marines in Action

And A Few Marines (Thomson) .............................. 3.00
Beta Beachhead (Holcomb & Vandevert) ................. 5.00
Island War (Hough) ............................................ 2.00
The Island (Merrill) .......................................... 3.00
The Long and the Short and the Tall (Joseph) ......... 3.00
Marines at War (Crane) ...................................... 3.00
On To Westward (Shaw) ..................................... 2.75
A Ribbon and A Star (Mason & Teller) ................. 2.50
Semper Fidelis (Marines in Peace—1942-43) ....... 4.50
U. S. Marines on Two Jims (Five Marine Combat fighters) .................................................. 2.50
U.S. Marines' Correspondents (Six Marine Combat ... 3.00

Navy in Action

America's Navy in World War II ......................... 2.50
Battle Report—Pearl Harbor to the Coral Sea ......... 2.50
(King & Kelly) Vol. I ...................................... 1.50
Battle Report Vol. II (Atlantic War) ..................... 1.50
Battle for Leyte Gulf (Woodward) ....................... 1.00
British Navy's Air Arm (Rutter) ......................... 2.75
Carrier War (Jensen) ........................................ 2.50
The Navy's Air War (Ed. by Buchanan) ................. 3.50
The Navy's War (Pratt) ..................................... 2.75
Queer of the Flat-Tops (Johnson) ....................... 3.00
They Were Expendable (White) .......................... 2.50
Clash edition 2.00, Fighting Forces edition ......... 2.50

This is the Navy (Cost) ................................. 2.50

Unit Histories

Battle of Germany (84th Division) ....................... 5.00
Children of Yesterday (24th Division) ................. 4.00
History of 2d Engineer Special Brigade ............... 6.00
Island War in Bermuda (Randolph) ..................... 6.50
One Damned Island After Another (7th Air Force) ... 3.75
Report After Action (103d Infantry Division) ....... 3.00
347th Infantry Pictorial Review ........................ 4.00
Thunderbolt Across Europe (83d Div.) ................. 3.50
Timberwolf (104th Division) .............................. 4.00

BACKGROUND OF THE WAR AND PEACE

One World

Balance of Tomorrow (Strauss-Hupé) ................. 3.50
The Ciano Diaries (Ciano) ............................... 1.98
Freedom: Its Meaning (Anshen) ....................... 4.00
Future of American Secret Intelligence (Petree) .... 2.00
Geopolitics (Strauss-Hupé) .............................. 3.75
History of the World Since 1914 ........................ 1.00
Human Nature and Enduring Peace (Murphy) ........ 3.50
One World (Wilkie) ......................................... 2.00
Outline History of Europe, 1815 to 1944 .............. 1.75
Pillars of Peace (Preston) (Information School) .... 1.00
Signposts of Experience (Snow) ....................... 2.75
Time for Decision (Welles) ............................. 3.00

America

America's Foreign Policies (Bailey) ..................... 2.50
America's Strategy in World Politics (Spykman) .... 3.75
Inside U.S.A. (Guthrie) .................................. 5.00
Under Cover (Carlson) .................................... 1.49

BEST SELLING NOVELS

The Coast Artillery Journal Book Service stocks the best in modern fiction. It can furnish you with any best seller in print.

U. S. Foreign Policy (Ullmann) .......................... 2.50
U. S. and Its Place in World Affairs (Nevin & Hacker) .................................................. 3.25
U. S. War Aims (Ullmann) ............................... 1.50

Asia and the Pacific

East and West of Borneo (Barnes) ....................... 2.50
Filipinos and Their Country (Porter) .................... 2.50
Introduction to India (Marques & Simson) ............. 2.50
The Making of Modern China (Litvinov) ............... 2.75
Clash edition 3.00, Fighting Forces edition .......... 2.50
Pacific Islands in War and Peace (Kessing) ......... 2.50
Suicide of Japan (Lamont) .............................. 3.00
Wartime China (Stewart) ................................ 2.00

British Empire

A Raving Commission (Churchill) ......................... 1.75
East of Malia—West of Suez (Barnes) ................. 2.50
Empire in the Changing World (Hancock) .......... 2.25
The English People (Brogan) ........................... 2.50
Introducing Australia (Girard) ......................... 3.00
Report on India (Ranjan) .................................. 2.50
Clash edition 2.50, Fighting Forces edition ........ 2.50

Europe

Belgian Background (Newman) .......................... 2.50
Barred Wire Surgeon (Weinstein) ...................... 3.50
The Middle East (Ben-Haron) .......................... 3.00
The Netherlands (Edited by Bartholomew) .......... 1.00
Landrace ..................................................... 5.00
We Cannot Escape History (Whitaker) ............... 2.50
Clash edition 2.75, Fighting Forces edition ......... 2.50

THE NAVY

American Sea Power Since 1775 ......................... 5.00
(Ed. by Allan Wescoll) .................................. 3.10
Annapolis Today (Banning) .............................. 2.75
Book of the Navy (Roberts & Brantano) ............... 2.75
Command at Sea (Cope) .................................. 2.75
Mahon on Sea Power (Levy) .............................. 2.75
Navel Officers' Guide (Majer & McCoy) .............. 10.00
Navy Reserve Guide (Forster) .......................... 2.75
Secret Missions (Zacharias) ................................ 2.75
Ship's Log (Sprout) ....................................... 2.75
Timberwolf (104th Division) .............................. 4.00

THE ARMY

Army Ground Forces (What You Should Know About) (Greene) ........................................ 2.50
He's in the Paratroops Now (Roth & More) ......... 2.50
W仲裁sand in World Politics (Spykman) .......... 5.00

THE MARINE CORPS

Guidebook for Marines (Official) ......................... 3.00
History of the U.S.M.C. (Metcalf) ...................... 5.00
Your Marine Corps in World War II (Leatherneck) .................................................. 4.00

Guidebooks and Atlases

Atlas of Global Geography (Reid) ..................... 2.50
Encyclopedia Britannica World Atlas ................. 12.50
Look at America ......................................... 12.50
A War Atlas for America .................................. 1.00
Webster's College Dictionary .......................... 5.00

Air Forces

Army Flyer (Arnold & Eaker) ........................... 2.50
Guide to A.A.F. ................................. 2.50, Paper edition
Official History of the A.A.F. (Major McCoy) ....... 10.00
Winged Mars (Cuneo) Vol. I ........................... 2.00
Winged Mars Vol. II (The Air Weapon 1914-1917) ... 5.00
Winged Warfare (Arnold and Toker) ................... 2.50

Ground Forces

Army Ground Forces (What You Should Know About) (Greene) ........................................ 2.50
He's in the Paratroops Now (Roth & More) ......... 2.50
W We Jumped to Fight (Raff) ............................ 2.50
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DEAR FATHERLAND REST QUIETLY
By Margaret Bourke-White
A study of Germany in photos and text by one of America's outstanding photographers. $3.00.
LET US DO YOUR ENGRAVING

The Journal is equipped to handle all your engraving requirements and we would like to solicit your business.

All orders receive our immediate attention and will be mailed out about three weeks after receipt of your order.

Please make your selection from styles shown and ORDER BY NUMBER.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Style</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. and Mrs. Henry Taylor</td>
<td>50 Script</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Daniel Roy Benson</td>
<td>51 Script</td>
<td>$1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Betty Lee Owens</td>
<td>52 Script</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Glenn Matthews</td>
<td>53 Script</td>
<td>$3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Louise Coleman</td>
<td>54 Vertical Script</td>
<td>$7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. James Lewis Allison</td>
<td>55 Cabinet</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Anna Ritchie</td>
<td>56 Trinity</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Francis G. Harvey</td>
<td>57 Foreign Script</td>
<td>$5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Helen Craig</td>
<td>58 Spanish Script</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Alfred Hay Selby</td>
<td>59 Light Gothic</td>
<td>$1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. James Roy Acker</td>
<td>60 Heavy Gothic</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Irwin L. Baldwin</td>
<td>61 Architectural</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Gertrude Wallace</td>
<td>62 Lower Case Gothic</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. and Mrs. Joseph Leo Sullivan</td>
<td>63 Modern Roman</td>
<td>$7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Elizabeth Kennedy</td>
<td>64 Black Lower Case</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Gordon Thompson</td>
<td>65 Heavy Roman</td>
<td>$3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Bernard K. Meredith</td>
<td>66 Light Roman</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain James Randolph</td>
<td>67 Black Antique Roman</td>
<td>$7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Florence Manning</td>
<td>68 Old English</td>
<td>$1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. William Horton Kent</td>
<td>69 Modern Old English</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Luis K. Reith</td>
<td>70 Outline Old English</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. and Mrs. Mark Dodge</td>
<td>71 Outlined Roman</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieutenant Colonel Paul Frey</td>
<td>72 Outline Modified Roman</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Guy Thomas Stewart</td>
<td>73 Outline Antique Roman</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Josephine Cole Hale</td>
<td>74 Outline Roman</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRICES OF CALLING CARDS, INFORMALS AND BIRTH ANNOUNCEMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name Line</th>
<th>Address Line</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$1.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHARGE FOR PRINTING FROM PLATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visiting Cards</th>
<th>Informals</th>
<th>Birth Announcements, Ribbon Tied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WEDDING ANNOUNCEMENTS AND INVITATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wedding Invitations or Announcements</th>
<th>Enclosure Cards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All prices include Envelopes when appropriate.

A DISCOUNT OF 10% MAY BE DEDUCTED FROM THE PRICES QUOTED.

Quotations will be forwarded on request for any social engraving not listed here.

Order from

The Coast Artillery Journal

631 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. WASHINGTON 4, D.C.
What happens when an officer of the United States Army, a man who has spent his life in the service and is known and respected from Corregidor to Fort Williams, Maine, is told he is lower than the lowest Japanese private? How can he keep his self-respect in a Jap prison camp? How can he keep his sense of humor when he is shivering in rags, underfed, overworked?

Colonel Braly answers these questions. Decorated for his service in the defense of Corregidor, he was one of the Americans taken prisoner by the Japs in the early days of the war. In THE HARD WAY HOME, he tells his own story and the story of his Allied and American fellows, as prisoners of war of the Japanese.

His book is an astounding record of humor, decency, courage among men who lived for years under a regime of brutality and open murder.

THE HARD WAY HOME is a Coast Artillery Association Book

$3.50

15% discount, if you order from

The Coast Artillery Journal

631 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

WASHINGTON 4, D. C.
The Journal is including this Address Supplement with this issue as a ready reference for subscribers desiring to contact friends whose addresses, they no longer have. The addresses are those given by the persons concerned. In the event there are any changes, we shall be pleased to make corrections in the November-December issue if notification is received by 18 November.
Address Supplement

LIEUTENANT GENERAL
Lutes, LeR., Room 4E932, Pentagon Bldg., Washington 25, D. C.

MAJOR GENERALS
Barr, David G., Room 3E620, Pentagon, Washington 25, D. C.
Donovan, Richard, Melrose Hotel, Dallas 1, Texas.
Green, Joseph A., 315 Blue Bonnet Blvd., San Antonio 2, Texas.
Hoover, John L., AAA & GM Center, Fort Bliss, Texas.
Lemnitzer, Lyman L., 3286 Worthington St., N.W., Washington 15, D. C.
Moore, G. F., Hq., PHILRYCOM, APO 707, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Ottman, William, Ritz-Carlton Hotel, New York 17, N. Y.
Smith, John P., Wardman Park Hotel, Washington, D. C.
Terry, Thomas A., 21 Griffin Blvd., Asheville, N. C.

BRIGADIER GENERALS
Adams, Donald B., 1726 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Washington, D. C.
Berry, Robert W., Fort Davis, Panama Canal Zone.
Bowen, Charles F., State House, Concord, New Hampshire.
Bradshaw, Aaron, Qtrs. 5, Army War College, Washington, D. C.
Carter, Marshall S., 4430 Q St., N.W., Washington 7, D. C.
Clark, Frank S., Liberty, Maine.
Crawford, J. B., 228 Ryder Road, Manhasset, L. I., New York.
Curtis, Charles C., 2625 Allen St., Allentown, Penna.
Evans, E. A., 2317 Connecticut Ave., Washington, D. C.
Haines, Ralph E., 3038 Lyon St., San Francisco 23, Calif.
Hardaway, F. P., 4555 Maryland Ave., St. Louis 8, Mo.
Hart, David P., 500 Rivera St., San Francisco 16, Calif.
Hesketh, William, Room 3D731, Pentagon, Washington 25, D. C.
Hopkins, Jay P., 530 E. State St., Cassopolis, Michigan.
Irwine, Willard W., West PAC Base Cond., APO 244, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Johnson, Jacob C., 6301 Delaware St., Chevy Chase 15, Md.
Kelly, Paul B., Hq., 5th Army, Chicago, Ill.
Marquart, W. F., Hq., APAC, APO 500, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Mitchell, James B., North Hatley, Quebec, Canada.
Nichols, H. F., 276 32d Ave., San Francisco, Calif.
Pape, R. B., Hq., Sixth Army, Presidio of San Francisco, California.
Penfold, E. F., 1012 Gates Ave., Brooklyn, N. Y.
Richardson, William L., 4679 S. 34th St., Arlington, Va.
Rinard, Paul R., Gen. Del., N. G., Wilmington, Delaware.
Schuyler, Curtland V. R., Quarters 21A, Fort Myer, Virginia.
Sherrell, S. H., Signal Assoc., 804 17th St., N.W., Washington, D. C.
Stockton, Edward A., Jr., 2909 29th St., N.W., Washington 8, D. C.
Tilton, Rollin L., Fort Monroe, Virginia.
Tobin, Ralph C., Hotel Barclay, 111 E. 40th St., New York, N. Y.
Townsend, J. R., 1821 Dalton Road, Greensboro, N. C.
Weible, Walter L., Qtrs. 2 West, Fort McPherson, Georgia.
Williford, Forrest E., 206 Fakin St., Blacksburg, Va.

COLONELS
Adair, Arthur C., 1516 Columbia Rd., N.W., Washington, D. C.
Adams, Edward F., Room 206, Big Four Bldg., Indianapolis, Ind.
Adams, Carl Russell, 7300 Wellington Ave., St. Louis 14, Mo.
Allport, H. Hamilton, 209 S. LaSalle St., Chicago, Ill.
Alter, Dinsmore, Box 7987, Los Feliz Sta., Los Angeles 27, Calif.
Anderson, G. B., G-4 Sec., PHILRYCOM, APO 707, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Arlett, Gordon N., Rt. 1, Box 672, Auburn, Calif.
Armstrong, James F., 3509 Buena Vista Ave., Glendale 8, Calif.
Arthur, Robert, 1537 Octavia St., New Orleans 15, La.
Arthur, John F., Jr., 515 N. 1st St., Marshalltown, Iowa.
August, Alfred F., 208 Cliff Ave., Winthrop 52, Mass.
Baer, Frank H., PO Box 3391, Orlando, Fla.
Badger, George M., U.S.M.A., West Point, N. Y.
Baker, Albert S., 34 Auburn St., Concord, New Hampshire.
Ballough, W. K., 138 Michigan Ave., Daytona Beach, Fla.
Baker, Albert S., 34 Auburn St., Concord, New Hampshire.
Barber, Edward, Student, Air University, Maxwell Field, Ala.
Babers, Frank H., PO Box 3391, Orlando, Fla.
Ballough, W. K., 138 Michigan Ave., Daytona Beach, Fla.
Baker, Albert S., 34 Auburn St., Concord, New Hampshire.
Barber, Edward, Student, Air University, Maxwell Field, Ala.
Babers, Frank H., PO Box 3391, Orlando, Fla.
Ballough, W. K., 138 Michigan Ave., Daytona Beach, Fla.
Baker, Albert S., 34 Auburn St., Concord, New Hampshire.
Barber, Edward, Student, Air University, Maxwell Field, Ala.
Babers, Frank H., PO Box 3391, Orlando, Fla.
Henagan, John C., 503 E. Washington St., Dillon, S. C.


Hewett, Hobart, 83 Ingalls, Ft. Monroe, Va.

Hibbert, George W., 2124 Scottwood Ave., Toledo, Ohio.

Hickey, Daniel W., Jr., Qtrs. 37, Ft. Wm. Scott, California.

Higgins, Charles W., ROTC, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio.

Hill, Ira B., 201 Evans Ave., San Antonio 2, Tex.

Hines, Charles, Forest Hills Inn, Forest Hills, N. Y.

Hodgkins, Howard W., Room 1604, 38 South Dearborn St., Chicago 3, Ill.

Hogan, James P., 90 Weeks Ave., Cornwall-on-Hudson, N. Y.

Holden, B. M., Jr., 750 Main St., Hartford, Conn.

Holder, W. G., Hq., 1153d ASU, 3 N. Maine, Concord, N. H.

Holmes, Alonzo B., 6 Elm St., Tupsham, Maine.

Hood, Robert J., Jr., 1125 Forest Lane, Anniston, Ala.

Immer, W. L., 1559 Regent St., Schenectady, N. Y.

Jennings, J. E., 2820 Quenby Ave., Houston 5, Texas.

Johnson, Harry A., 16143 Via Media, San Lorenzo, Calif.

Johnson, H. R., 216th QM Bn., APO 235, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Johnson, Harold S., Hqs., Sixth Army, Presidio of San Francisco, Calif.

Johnson, W. L., 2511 S.W., 24th Court, Miami 33, Fla.

Jones, A. W., 53 West St., Portland, Maine.

Jones, R. T., Todd Hotel, Youngstown 3, Ohio.

Jordan, John R., Ivvie Rd., Cape Cottage, Maine.

Kemble, Franklin, 219 S. High St., Selinsgrove, Penna.

Kendall, William H., 25 W. 43d St. New York 18, N. Y.

Kennedy, Emler E., 1852 Perrott Ave., Buffalo 12, N. Y.

Kiade, Gustave E., 698 W. 7th St., Plainfield, N. J.

Kimball, D. G., 2380 Main St., Stratford, Conn.

Knutsen, Lloyd B., 2330 Winnebago St., La Crosse, Wisc.

Krueger, Robert H., 1612 South Cameron St., Harrisburg, Pa.

Kutta, M. E., 10 Cathbert St., Scotia, N. Y.

Kyster, O. H., Jr., 2126 Connecticut Ave., N.W., Washington 8, D. C.

Lafrenz, William F., Ft. Wadsworth, Staten Island, N. Y.

Lane, Vincent A., Box 4, Kew Gardens, N. Y.

Lanza, Conrad H., 1911 Elm St., Manchester, New Hampshire.

Lavery, Arthur L., 834 Elm St., Manchester, New Hampshire.

Milburn, B. L., St. Mary's College, San Antonio, Texas.


Mitchell, John D., Ft. Miles Lewes, Delaware.


Moore, Donald E., 101 Pleasant St., Newton Center, Mass.

Moore, Russell Y., 4628 South 36th St., Arlington, Va.


Morrow, Samuel H., 621 Howard St., San Antonio, Texas.

Moss, Joe, 4309 Van Ness St., N.W., Washington, D.C.

Moore, Russell Y., 4628 South 36th St., Arlington, Va.

Munford, Thomas W., Virginia Polytechnic Institute, Blacksburg, Va.

Munphy, Thomas W., Virginia Polytechnic Institute, Blacksburg, Va.

Murphy, John G., Post Hqs., Ft. Dix, N.J.


Nelson, Paul B., Hq., 65th AAA Group, Fort Amador, Canal Zone.

Nesmith, James, 2d, 107 10th St., Garden City, N.Y.


Newton, Harry F., 7025 Fretter St., New Orleans 18, La.

Nichols, George F., Oswegatchie, Waterford, Conn.

Nickerson, Clark R., 204 River Road, Hilton Village, Va.

Norris, Don R., 211 17th St., Santa Monica, Calif.

Oliver, J. F., R. t. 1, Box 101, El Centro, Calif.

Olson, Gustaf P., 110 1st St., SE, St. Cloud, Minnesota.


Ostrom, Charles D. Y., Fort Hancock, N.J.

Ottesen, P. H., 442 Ravina, La Jolla, Calif.

Palmer, George W., PO Box 112, Cape Cottage, Maine.


Pearson, D. C., New Mexico Military Institute, Roswell, New Mexico.

Peay, James H. B., Jr., 3202 Edgewood Ave., Richmond, Va.

Predicord, Everett D., Hq., AGF, Ft. Monroe, Va.

Pendleton, Randolph T., University of Delaware, Newark, Del.

Perry, Willis A., 6342 31st St., N.W., Washington, D.C.

Phillips, T. R., 104 Raymond St., Chevy Chase, Md.

Phillips, W. S., G-1 Sect., Hq., PHILRYCOM, APO 707, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.


Poujade, Donald G., 2535 Lee St., Salem, Oregon.

Price, Frederic A., Fawn Drive, Sleepy Hollow, San Anselmo, Calif.

Putnam, Webster F., 621 Alcazar Ave., Coral Gables, Fla.


Rasmussen, Kai E., U.S. Embassy, Oslo, Norway.

Raymond, Allen D., 332 Bellaire St., Denver, Colorado.

Reecer, Beo W., 501 N. Marienfeld St., Midland, Texas.

Reid, Edward E., 44 Main St., Exeter, N.H.

Reuter, Herbert C., Naval Ordnance Lab., U.S. Naval Gun Factory, Washington 25, D.C.

Ritchie, Isaac H., Aberdeen Proving Gds., Md.

Robinson, J. S., Office of the Military Attaché, Ankara, Turkey.

Rothgeb, Clarence E., 429 N. Hermosa Ave., Albuquerque, New Mexico.


Russell, R. W., ROTC, Mississippi State College, State College, Miss.

Samuels, Andrew, G-1 USAFMDPAC, APO 958, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Sawyer, John A., 401 Sheridan Road, Ft. Bliss, Texas.

Sellers, James M., Wentworth Military Academy, Lexington, Missouri.

Seltzer, James W., Jr., 2935 Feltz Ave., Cincinnati, Ohio.

Seward, John R., 3041 Mackland Drive, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Schabacker, C. H., Hq., South Sector Cmd., PO 956, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Schulz, George J., Hq., South Sector Cmd., PO 956, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Schweizer, Ward C., 3527 Webster St., San Francisco 23, Calif.


Sherman, C. Q., USAFE, A.2, APO 633, c/o PM, New York, N.Y.

Shepherd, Charles E., Msg. Ctr., MIS, Room 2C800, Pentagon, Washington 25, D.C.

Shumate, Joseph P., 500th Special Troops, 84th Inf. Div., 5104 ASU, Topeka, Kansas.

Shutt, L. O., Box 3196, Savannah, Ga.

Sides, John D., PO Box 127, Mobile, Ala.

Smith, D. H., 826 Spanos St., Madison, Wisconsin.

Sponsler, John B., 65 Prospect St., Huntington, L.I., N.Y.

Stark, Harry W., 3620 Rubidoux Drive, Riverside, Calif.

Steward, Wilfred H., 323 East Maple St., Fayetteville, Arkansas.
Stillman, George T., The Caldwell, Troy, N. Y.
Stockwell, Fred E., 40 Elmwood Rd., New Haven, Conn.
Stoebe, Rolland W., 3214 N. 19 Rg., Arlington, Va.
Strong, John E., 317 Margaret St., Herkimer, N. Y.
Stuart, Larhett L., University of San Francisco, San Francisco, Calif.
Stubbs, Guy H., TAS, Box 173, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Symons, Arthur, 1601 Argonne Pl., N.W., Washington, D. C.
Tandy, Frank G., 1041 W. 75th St., Los Angeles, Calif.
Tasker, Harold P., 53 Laurel Ave., Cornwall, N. Y.
Thiele, C. M., PO Box 1018, Santa Fe, New Mexico.
Thomas-Stahle, Charles, Centre Furnace, State College, Penna.
Thomson, Earl W., 151 Monticello Ave., Annapolis, Md.
Timberlake, Edward W., Dept. of PMS&T, Utah State Agr. College, Logan, Utah.
Tischbein, Carl F., 4404 39th St., N.W., Washington 16, D. C.
Toenes, Henry K., Rt. 1, Box 29, Mobile, Ala.
Topping, Frederick L., 1861 Brae Burn Rd., Altadena, Calif.
Touart, C. N., 320 Montezuma Rd., Montgomery 6, Alabama.
Tracy, Maxwell W., One Pendleton Pl., Lexington, Va.
Tredennick, D. C., 4701 Connecticut Ave., N.W., Washington, D. C.
Tubbs, Harry S., Hqs., 2273d AAAC, APO 958, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Turley, Robert E., Jr., PO Box 176, Ft. Warren, Wyoming.
Turnbull, Harold T., 2824 S. Columbus St., Arlington, Va.
Vandersluis, Howard J., 1562 33d St., N.W., Washington, D. C.
Vestal, Samuel C., 554 East Howard St., Pasadena, Calif.
Von Daacke, Fred J., 237 Tremont Ave., Kensington, N. Y.
Walker, Eugene B., 107 West Underwood St., Chey Chase, Md.
Wallace, William A., Br. USDB, Camp Cooke, Calif.
Warren, Albert H., Box 337, Oakland Beach, R. I.
Waters, Thomas L., 1152d A.S.U., Office of the Senior N.G. Instructor, Augusta, Maine.
Watson, Harry J., 1831 Stanwood Road, E Cleveland, Ohio.
Watson, William E., Jr., 3168 South 26th St, Milwaukee 7, Wisconsin.
Watt, Raymond, 3151 Main St., Stratford, Con.
Weddell, W. A., c/o S. C. Nat'l Guard, Dillon, S. C.
Wieczerok, Thomas F., 212 Fourth Ave., Huntington Station, Long Island, N. Y.
Wilkinson, Jos. B., 2205 Stanmore Drive, Houston 6, Texas.
Willard, Ray W., Box 471, Mankato, Minn.
Willard, Sherman E., 813 Orange St., New Haven 11, Conn.
Wilmer, Richard H., 2600 81st St., N.W., Washington, D. C.
Wilson, Arthur E., State Armys, W. Hartford, Conn.
Wilson, A. M., Jr., 2652 36th Ave., San Francisco, Calif.
Wilson, Donald B., 1900 F St., N.W., Washington, D. C.
Wilson, R. L., Jr., 5838 Bartlett St., Pittsburgh 17, Pa.
Wing, Charles K., 603 Melville Ave., Palo Alto, Calif.
Wirth, Arthur J., 43 East Town St., Norwich, Conn.
Wood, Francis O., 710 E. Central Ave., Albuquerque, New Mexico.
Wood, Wayne L., 3301 N. Hudson, Oklahoma City, Okla.
Wright, Allen G., 950 Lombard St., San Francisco 11, Calif.
Young, Ellsworth, Lake City Ordnance Depot, Independence, Mo.
Young, John P., Renwick Drive, Ithaca, New York.

**Lieutenant Colonels**

Ackert, Thomas W., 816 C St., S.E., Washington, D. C.
Adam, James, Jr., 34 Garrison Rd., Wellesley 81, Mass.
Adams, Lawrence W., 1970 James Ave., S. Paul 5, Minn.
Adamson, Weir, c/o International Nickel, 67 Wall St., New York, N. Y.
Albergotti, J. S., 206 Elm St., Lancaster, S. C.
Aimes, George W., Rt. 1, Box 1131, Cupertino, Calif.
Amoroso, Arnold D., AAA School, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Anderson, Carl E., 705 W. 3d St., Red Wing, Minn.
Archibald, Harold G., 705 West 6th St., Albany, Oregon.
Bain, James G., 2220 20th St., N.W., Washington 9, D. C.

Baker, P. I., 521 T.C. Truck Bn., APO 169, c/o PM, New York, N. Y.


Balter, Nyles W., 214 Damaske St., Ludington, Mich.

Bank, John C., Qtrs. 102, Picatinny Arsenal, Dover, N. J.

Barnes, Richard W., 3120 S.W. 25th St., Miami 33, Fla.

Bury, C. J., Jr., GHQ, AFPAC, AGO, APO 500, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.


Berg, Frederick T., 586th AAA AW Bn., APO 331, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Berry, Leland P., 48 Union Ave., Framington, Mass.

Berry, Malcolm H., 2518 Hildegrass Ave., Berkeley, Calif.

Bibb, Eugene S., 210 West 55th St., New York, N. Y.

Biggar, James, 898 Memorial Dr., Cambridge, Mass.


Bliss, Leonard J., Jr., Colonial Iron Works, Inc., Rt. 4, Columbus, S. C.

Boone, Clarence A., PO Box 430, Laurel, Miss.

Borden, Nathaniel E., 123 Beverly St., Fall River, Mass.


Bowerman, Josephus A., Air Commd. & Staff Sch., Maxwell Field, Fla.

Boyce, W. Craig, Jr., S-4, Hq. Com'd., USFET, APO 757, c/o PM, New York, N. Y.

Boys, R. C., 450-4 Kearney Ave., Ft. Leavenworth, Kansas.

Bradshaw, Leon S., Box 1099, Salisbury, N. C.

Brady, Daniel J., 586th AAA AW Bn., APO 331, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Brighton, Henry R., 322 W. Beechtree Lane, Wayne, Penna.

Brink, James, 2220 20th St., N.W., Washington 9, D. C.

Brown, R. L., 2518 Hildegrass Ave., East Providence, R. I.


Buker, Norman L., 6191 Rogers Pk. Pl., Cincinnati 13, Ohio.

Buntin, Thomas J., Wilmatt Bldg., 209 S. Orange Ave., Orlando, Fla.

Burnham, Carl Von H., 961 Indian Rock Ave., Berkeley 7, Calif.

Butlerfield, Kenneth C., 16264 Snowden Ave., Detroit 27, Mich.

Byers, Lawrence W., Box 458, AA & GM Br., TAS, Ft. Bliss, Texas.

Caldwell, John B., 3241 Cedrow Ave., High Point, N. C.

Campbell, Ralph L., 6617 Lake Shore Dr., Minneapolis 9, Minn.

Cassidy, R. T., 355th ASU, Fla. National Guard Instructor, Pensacola, Fla.

Cattin, William, Jr., 301 Park St., Jacksonville, Fla.

Causton, William A., Hq., Third Zone, AGRC, APO 887—Nancy—c/o PM, New York, N. Y.

Chambers, Arthur K., R. 1, Box 484, Olympia, Washington.

Christie, Walter E., 23 E. Verand, Route 2, Orinda, Calif.

Chui, William L., Qtrs. 117, Picatinny Arsenal, Dover, N. J.

Cleve, Temple S., 4211 Howe St., Oakland 11, Calif.

Coehran, Maurice D., Blacke Butte Route, Cottage Grove, Oregon.


Coles, Cleo E., 2441 Arlington Ave., Davenport, Ia.

Comolli, Joseph E., 251 South St., Concord, N. H.

Constock, Richard H., 58 Rodney Place, Rockville Centre, N. Y.

Conell, Joseph P., Hq., 8th Army, I.G., APO 343, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Connor, R. T., 20 N. Stanworth Dr., Princeton, N. J.

Cordell, William G., 330 20th St., S.W., Washington 9, D. C.

Cox, Thomas R., 323 Atlantic St., Stamford, Conn.


Creamer, Frank C., 130 N. Holly St., Anderson, S. C.

Cressman, Louis G., 219 Walnut St., Sellersville, Pa.
Cromwell, W. H., 104th AAA AW SP, Ala. 
NG, Florence, Alabama.

Crossman, J. E., 6028 Calvin Ave., Tarzana, 
Calif.

Cummings, Walter J., R.R. 17, Box 821, Indiana- 
apolis, Indiana.

Currie, Allan A., Bell Tel. Laboratories, Whipp- 
pany, N. J.

Daley, P. W., 130 Glenwood Pl., Aurora, Ill.

Darienio, Durwood C, Clyde, Kansas.

Darrah, J. T., G-3 Div., Hq., AGF, Ft. Monroe, 
Va.

Davis, G. M., 227 Washington Highway, Buf- 
falo 21, N. Y.

Davis, Lee J., 304 3d St., Ft. Leavenworth, Kans.

Davis, Thomas 0., 8248 28th N.E., Seattle 5, 
Washington.

Day, F. E., Ill. Inst. of Tech., 3300 Federal St., 
Chicago, Ill.

Dean, Louis B., 3 Pasadena Ave., Nashua, New 
Hampshire.


Dimmick, H. S., 341 Kathmere Rd., Hlidertown, 
Penna.

Dodson, M. B., 433 N. Chester, Pasadena 4, 
Calif.

Donelan, Thomas E., 219-16 139th Ave., Spring- 
garden 4, N. Y.

Donnelly, Paul !., 1831 Delaware Ave., Wil- 
mington, Delaware.

Drexel, Conrad G., Quarters 32-A, Ft. Scott, 
Calif.

Dryer, James C, Jr., 2 Greenfield Lane, Roches- 
ter W, N. Y.

Dubois, Jesse B., 762 Franklin St., Grenada, 
Miss.

Duer, Charles B., 300 Raymond St., Chevy Chase 
15, Md.

Duke, David H., PO Box 2010, Wichita Falls, 
Texas.

Dunwoody, William K., 2246 South 16th St., 
Broadview, Maywood, Ill.

Fambrough, James Me!, 2026 Brand St., Houston 6, 
Texas.

Farnham, George W., 332 S. Michigan Ave. 
Chicago 4, Ill.

Farnsworth, Edward E., Jr., A.C.C. Rumania 
APO 512, c/o PM, New York, N. Y.

Field, Myrah, PO Box 427, Harrisonburg, Va.

Finkenauer, Robert G., G-S Sect., AAG, APO 
909, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Fish, James H., c/o ROTC Unit, Michigan State 
Agricultural College, East Lansing, Mich.

Foucault, Shae, Instructor, New Mexico N.G., 
APO 468, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Foster, R. J., Grand View Lodge, Brainerd, 
Minn.

Fowles, Leslie O., Apt. 10, 211 South Naches 
Ave., Yakima, Washington.

Franklin, J. W., 306 Bradley Bldg., Springfield, 
Ill.

Frankson, P. O., Jr., Gunfire Support Sch., 
U.S.N.O B., Little Creek, Va.

French, Kendrick S., 44 Cedar Road, Rochester 
13, N. Y.

French, Kendrick S., 44 Cedar Road, Rochester 
13, N. Y.

Frohman, Irving G., 91-01 Blvd., Rockaway 
Beach, N. Y.

Frohman, Irving G., 91-01 Blvd., Rockaway 
Beach, N. Y.

Fuller, A. L., Jr., Hqs., 209th AAA AW Bn. 
SP, APO 503, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Gamble, Andrew S., c/o Capt. J. S. Rosenthal, 
US Coast Gd. Trng. Station, Groton, Conn.

Gard, H. P., Manila Casual etr., APO 900, c/o 
PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Gauen, George R., 509 E. Clay St., Collinsville, 
Ill.

Gearhiser, William P., Box 650, Greenwood, 
Miss.

Gee, John R., Jr., La Plata, Md.

Griffin, Stewart S., Bainbridge, Ohio.

Gilbert, C. M., 112th AAA Brigade, CNG. 
1800 Mission St., San Francisco, Calif.

Goldman, Meyer L., 214 E. Armour Blvd., Kan- 
sas City 2, Mo.

Gough, A. Deane, 2600 Ridge Rd. Dr., Alex- 
andria, Va.

Green, John W., Box 250, Kansas State College. 
Manhattan, Kansas.

Greenlee, H. R., Jr., 4166 South 36th St., 
Arlington, Va.

Greene, H. R., Jr., 4166 South 36th St., 
Arlington, Va.

Greene, H. R., Jr., 4166 South 36th St., 
Arlington, Va.

Greene, H. R., Jr., 4166 South 36th St., 
Arlington, Va.

Greensberg, F. C., 205 Bd. of Trade Annex. 
New Orleans 12, La.

Griffin, James J., Hq., Second Major Port, APO 
303, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.


Guiney, P. W., Jr., Hq., 8th TX Bn., 230th 
Broad St. 

Guggenbilly, Francis J., 2705 North Broom St., 
Wilmington, Delaware.

Point, N. Y.
McBride, Walton E., Ruston, La.
McEnery, C. T., 111 East 16th St., New York 3, N. Y.
McGoldrick, Francis M., 1201 E. California St., Pasadena, Calif.
McGuire, Mathew J., 62 Patterson Ave., Stratford, Conn.
McKee, George S., 411 Fairview Road, Springfield, Pa.
McLamb, N. A., Hq., RYKOM, Mil. Gov't., APO 331-7, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Main, Robert W., Cont. Div., Hq., AGFPAC, APO 958, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Markert, Benjamin F., Jr., 326 N. George Mason Dr., Arlington, Va.
Marsh, C. T., Jr., Hq., First Army, Governors Island, New York, N. Y.
Martin, John B., 414 South 47th St., Philadelphia 43, Penna.
Martindale, John, 108 Second Ave., Newark 4, N. J.
Mason, Roy P., Berksvedt Farm, Robsonia, Penna.
May, Samuel, 130 Maple Ave., Washington, Penna.
Meagher, Joseph M., 604 Tompkins Ave., Mamaroneck, L. I., N. Y.
Meehans, Leonard H., RDF 2, Allison Park, Penna.
Mcigs, Beverly V., Alward Ave., Basking Ridge, N. J.
Meinhart, Fred H., 303 Mills St., El Paso, Texas.
Michael, D. T., 5042 Anderson Place, Cincinnati, Ohio.
Michelet, Howard B., USAMGIK, APO 255, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Miller, Edwin, 575 Johnson Ave., Brooklyn, N. Y.
Miller, C. E., WCID 6, APO 633, c/o PM, New York, N. Y.
Moodle, A. E., PO Box 1109, Dodge City, Kans.
Moorman, R. R., 605 East Clark St., Champaign, Ill.
Morgan, Richard L., Hq., 933d AAA AW Bn., APO 503, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Mott, Frank E., 211 Rockingstone Ave., Larchmont, N. Y.
Murphy, J. J., 3424 Craig Ave., Cincinnati 11, Ohio.
Murray, D. B., ROTC, Oregon State College, Corvallis, Oregon.
Neal, F. E., Jr., 21 East 14th St., New York 3, N. Y.
Newcomer, F. K., Jr., 1817 S. George Mason Dr., Arlington, Va.
Nodell, Richard E., Box 215, Farmingdale, N. Y.
Norris, Vernon M., 41-68 Forley St., Elmhurst, L. I., N. Y.
Nunnemaker, R. O., 905 Woodmont Blvd., Nashville, Tenn.
Oakes, William J., Morris St., Clumber, Penna.
O'Connor, J. C., 80 Norman Ave., Brooklyn 27, N. Y.
Ogden, Milton L., 9407 Flower Ave., Silver Spring, Md.
Passarella, P. F., 271st FA Bn., APO 201, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Patterson, C. G., Armed Forces Staff College, Norfolk 11, Va.
Pecce, Peter S., AEF Bd. No. 4, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Perrell, R. M., 1524 Harmony St., New Orleans, La.
Phillips, Anthony J., Jr., 835 Elsbeth St., Dallas, Texas.
Pindar, George E., 1st AAA GM Bn., WSPG, Las Cruces, New Mexico.
Pirkle, Russell L., Jr., Ft. Adams, R. I.
Platt, R. G., G-1 Div., HAF MIDPAC, APO 958, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Ponce, Pedro A., Box 211, Hato Rey, Puerto Rico.
Pope, Thomas H., 107th AAA AW Bn., SP., Newberry, S. C.
Pratt, F. E., c/o Stu. Detachment, Conn. & Gen. Staff College, Ft. Leavenworth, Kansas.
Pryor, R. H., 56 Henderson Rd., Baltimore 20, Md.
Quarles, William W., Hollow Tree Ridge Rd., Darien, Conn.
Quinlan, Edward W., 5829 11th Ave. So., Minneapolis, Minn.
Raleigh, W. C., 5326 Greene St., Philadelphia 44, Penna.
Ramey, H. S., PO Box 1145, AA & GM Br., TAS, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Ramsay, W. W., 4431 Pleasant Valley, Oakland 11, Calif.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ackner, Ned</td>
<td>3d Repl. Depot, APO 872, c/o PM, New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adams, R. C.</td>
<td>10 Mt. Hope St., Pittsburgh 23, Penna.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahrens, A. M.</td>
<td>630-5 McClellan, Ft. Leavenworth, Kans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen, Thomas L.</td>
<td>116 N. Prevost St., Anderson, S. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson, John E., Jr.</td>
<td>162 Alexandria St., N.W., Atlanta, Ga.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anson, Paul A.</td>
<td>Grand Ronde, Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atkinson, Edwin S.</td>
<td>Box 265, Santa Barbara, Calif.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker, Phillip H.</td>
<td>Hq., 37th Port Bn., APO 244, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker, Russell E.</td>
<td>8637 South Bennett Ave., Chicago 17, Ill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker, Wade F.</td>
<td>205 W. Lawrence Ave., Springfield, Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbero, C. Z.</td>
<td>Ft. Sill, Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbour, Dan H.</td>
<td>1066 85th St., Niagara Falls, N. Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnard, William M.</td>
<td>Hq., RYKOM, Plans Office, APO 331, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartlett, Kenneth R.</td>
<td>9 Russell St., Plymouth, New Hampshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassford, Norman D.</td>
<td>Farmer's Home Admin, Riverton, Wyoming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bekaert, Charles J.</td>
<td>149-25 19th Ave., White stone, L. I., N. Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell, W. B.</td>
<td>Dept. of Biology, Virginia Polytechnic Inst., Blacksburg, Va.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belisle, Fred</td>
<td>810 Denver, Pawnee, Okla.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belz, Herman M.</td>
<td>1210 Nutter St., Clarksburg, W. Va.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernhard, Carl W.</td>
<td>5639 South 114th St., Hales Corners, Wis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bertelmann, W. P., 1881 Walton Ave., Bronx 53, N. Y.

Best, G. H., Hq., VAC, G-4 Civ. Supply, APO 777, c/o PM, New York, N. Y.


Beckatis, Veto, University of San Francisco, San Francisco, Calif.


Bojes, W. H., 624 Wellington Rd., S., West Hempstead, N. Y.


Boyles, Thomas P., Jr., 1201 Magnolia St., Richmond 22, Va.

Boyer, James M., 2965 Searbonough Road, Cleveland Heights 18, Ohio.

Bowser, J. K., Box 405, AA & GM Br., TAS, Ft. Bliss, Texas.

Bradford, C. B., Jr., Route 6, Box 115 W, Modesto, Calif.

Bresnan, II Egerton Road, Arlington, Mass.

Brightman, John Y., 150 Summer St., New Bedford, Mass.


Brown, Harry W., 934 South Main St., Gainesville, Ga.

Brown, Philip G., 589th QM Bn., AGRC, APO 887, c/o PM, New York, N. Y.

Brown, R. E., Hqs., Antilles Dept., Ex. Serv., APO 851, c/o PM, Miami, Fla.

Brown, John T., AAAS, Box 339, Ft. Bliss, Texas.

Brandage, Lyle D., White Cloud, Michigan.

Burlingame, John H., 928 Judson Ave., Evanston, Ill.

Buskirk, Winfred, 1807 East Lead Ave., Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Byrne, J. S., Box 142, N.Y.U., New York 53, N. Y.


Caffey, W. G., Jr., 18 South Julia St., Mobile 19, Ala.

Caldwell, Alex C., 3564 84th St., Jackson Heights, N. Y.

Carpenter, E. H., Box 475, AA & GM Br., TAS, Ft. Bliss, Texas.

Carrera, R. C., 368 Summer St., New Bedford, Mass.

Catlin, John W., 3409 Greenway, Baltimore 18, Md.

Casy, C. W., 53d POW—Overseas—TC, APO 351-2, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Castle, Edmund, State Armory, Bangor, Maine.

Caswell, John, 1726 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., Washington 6, D. C.

Caulfield, T. D., 3110 Northern Parkway, Baltimore 14, Md.

Chamberlain, Lawrence N., 5221 Gaylord Ave., Dormont Pitt., 16, Penna.

Champion, George E., 1217 E. 82d Terr., Kansas City, Mo.

Chittenden, A. O., 1681 Cable St., San Diego 7, Calif.

Clanton, Henry M., Lester Branch, Philadelphia 13, Penna.

Cleek, Given W., 1813 N. Quesada St., Arlington, Va.

Cloud, Howard H., Hq., 3d Air Force, Greenville Army Air Base, Greenville, S. C.

Cleveland, Conrad P., Jr., 178 S. Fairview Ave., Spartanburg, S. C.

Coffman, Burness L., 825 N. Elm St., Nevada, Missouri.

Cole, Cecil R., Rt. 1, Alamo Oaks, Walnut Creek, Calif.

Cole, Donald R., 512 3d Street West, Wilmar, Minn.


Cole, Harold S., c/o Seaford Inn, Seaford, Del.

Coleman, David R., Holton, Kansas.

Colman, A. Sargent, 83 Charles St., Rochester, New Hampshire.

Colquitt, R. M., Jr., G-4 Section, Hq., PCD, Quarry Heights, Canal Zone.

Comenens, Charles, 107 Franklin, Lynn, Mass.

Corbett, John B., 45 Fort Drive, Fair Haven, Alexandria, Va.

Corcoran, James F., Jr., 55 Melerose Ave., Croton-on-Hudson, N. Y.

Corley, W. E., Jr., Duval County Armory, Jacksonville, Fla.

Cotering, William R., Jr., 5655 Woodlawn Place, New Orleans 19, La.

Coughlin, Frank J., 83-14 160th St., Jamaica 2, L. I., N. Y.

Coulter, N. A., Jr., 240 Vanderbilt Hall, Boston 15, Mass.

Counley, Richard T., 543 Main, Grand Junction, Colo.

Cowan, R. W., The Celotex Corporation, Metuchen, N. J.


Crooker, Clarence E., PO Box 677, Burnet, Tex.


Cutter, Arnold D., PO Box 504, Claremont, New Hampshire.

Daniel, Robert J., 290 Walton Dr., Buffalo 21, N. Y.


Davidoff, J. E., G-4 Const., HAFMIDPAC, APO 958, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Davidson, Sol. C., 1120 W. Nash St., Wilson, N. C.

Davis, Dale V., 409 Military Ave., Dodge City, Kansas.
Hurlin, Marshall W., 22 Riverview Dr., Norwalk, Conn.
Huston, Robert M., 921 W. 11th St., Albany, Oregon.
Hutchinson, George W., 1021 Austin Road, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Jakubowski, Walter M., 556 Kossuth St., Bridgeport, Conn.
Jencks, F. W., G-2, Hq., APO 301, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Johnson, Kendall L., 11 Marion Ave., Woburn, Mass.
Johnson, T., 750th AAA Gun Bn., APO 954, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Johnston, Harry C., AASTS AGF Bd. No. 4, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Jones, R. F., 1540 Highland Ave., Hillside, N. J.
Kavin, Albert, 443 S. 57th St., Philadelphia 43, Penna.
Kane, T. F., Jr., Sr. Inst., ORC, The Armory, 500 S. 6th St., Minneapolis 15, Minn.
Kanof, Irving L., 2341 S.W. 27th St., Miami, Fla.
Kapsiak, Thaddeus W., 66 Greeley St., Buffalo 7, N. Y.
Kenigly, Kenneth S., c/o Gulf, Box 362, Goldsmith, Texas.
King, Albert K., Hq., 933d AAA AW Bn., APO 503, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Kunk, M. C. B., Box 838, AA & GM Br., TAS, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Kosar, William F., Box 217, College Park, Md.
Krugel, C. W., 1038 Lindy St., Wenatchee, Wash.
Kunigk, William A., 2903 N. 26th St., Tacoma 7, Washington.
Labarre, Rene L., 15 Corey St., Woonsocket, R. I.
La Hote, William E., 3301 St. Paul, Baltimore 18, Md.
Langefit, B. B., Hq., 570th AAA AW Bn., PS, APO 74, Unit 2, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Lark, G. A., Lake Road Warehouse, RDF 6, St. Joseph, Mo.
Lambert, W. H., 80 Castro St., San Francisco 14, Calif.
Laxton, Amon Harold, 16713 Ashton Road, Detroit 19, Mich.
Lewis, Berkeley R., Aberdeen Prov. Grounds, Maryland.
Lewis, F. Stewart, Box 434, Easton, Md.
Lieber, George M., 317 High St., Fremont, Ohio.
Loos, Alfred R., R.F.D., Orangeburg, N. Y.
Loyinger, James W., 6602 Palmetto St., Madisonville, Cincinnati, Ohio.
Lott, Hamilton, 1226 Woodrow St., Columbia, S. C.
Loveless, James J., 5511 Seminary Ave., Richmond, Va.
Lueth, Paul F., Jr., 1045 Cedar Ave., Long Beach 2, Calif.
Lutz, Carl G., 3600 Van Buren St., El Paso, Tex.
Lynch, William J., Box 258, New York University, New York, N. Y.
McCabe, William Y., Hq., APPAC, APO 500, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
McMillan, Everett E., 120 Hope St., Bristol, R. I.
McNeil, T. H., 133 W. Cambridge St., Greenwood, S. C.
Makowsky, Raymond, 631 Hope St. Bristol, R. I.
Maquire, Cedric E., 208 West 25th St., New York, N. Y.
Martin, M. H., PO Box 588, Corvallis, Ore.
Maxfield, Gordon T., 72 Chestnut St., Fairhaven, Mass.
May, Joseph B., Hq., AAA Gp., PCD, Ft. Amador, Canal Zone.
Meech, Charles B., 2312 Oliver Ave., S. Minneapolis, Minn.
Mejo, Walter L., 87 Sydney Ave., Malvern, N. Y.
Mengel, R. H., II, OIG, GHQ, APPAC, APO 500, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Milmore, Oswald H., 1028 Merced St., Berkeley 6, Calif.
Miller, J. M., 3907 Floyd Ave., Richmond 21, Va.
Moomaw, Benjamin F., 1007 Wisconsin St., San Francisco 7, Calif.
Mook, Oswald H., 43 Savannah, Rochester 7, N. Y.
Mizuno, John, 1007 Wisconsin St., St. Paul, Minn.
Mokum, Frank, 598th AAA Gun Bn., 5917 Broadway, Chicago 40, Ill.
Monody, Douglas N., 3907 Floyd Ave., Richmond 21, Va.
Moormaw, Benjamme F., Hawaii Natl. Park, Hawaii, T.H.
Moore, F. M., 854 E. 7th St., Long Beach 13, Calif.
Monte, William H., 43 Savannah, Rochester 7, N. Y.
Moucha, Miroslav F., Qtrs, 114-11, West Point, N.Y.
Murphy, Dennis V., 603 Middle St., Fall River, Mass.
Murphy, James O., Army Advisory Gp., APO 909, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Murray, W. H., G-4 Sect., Hq., MARBO, APO 246, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Murray, R. M., 56 Harding Pl., Mt. Vernon, N.Y.
Myatt, A. R., Jr., Counsel Sect., War Crimes Gp., APO 407, c/o PM, New York, N.Y.
Nanney, D. Y., Qtrs. D-3, McKinley Colony, APO 900, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Neuburger, Burton A., 6930 S. Shore Drive, Chicago, Ill.
Newlin, Stanley A., 2903 Sander St., Cincinnati, Ohio.
Nim, Carl J., Jr., 30 New Haven Ave., Woodmont, Conn.
Oakley, Alan C., 431 S. 4th St., North Wales, Penna.
O'Brien, John S., 9 Central St., Methuen, Mass.
O'Connor, John P., R.F.D. 1, Box 289, Alexandria, Va.
Osthues, H. E., PO Box 412, Wilmington 99, Delaware.
Pappas, C. L., 75 Central Blvd., 310 3d Ave., Seattle, Wash.
Parish, Claude L., 711 Oak St., Anniston, Ala.
Parker, Herman W., Post Road, Greenland, New Hampshire.
Parsons, M. L., RED 1, Skewhigan, Maine.
Payne, Harrison S., 2425 16th Ave., San Francisco, Calif.
Pebbley, Henry A., Oakhurst Ave., Cape Elizabeth, Maine.
Pearson, R. E., PRO, Nat. Gd. Bureau, Room 4E1/2, Pentagon, Washington 25, D. C.
Peebles, E. T., 164 N. Laporte Ave., Chicago 44, Ill.
Penney, T. F., Hq., 27th Constabulary, APO 65, c/o PM, New York, N.Y.
Peterson, John D., 517 S. Narberth Ave., Merion, Penna.
Peterson, Lee R., Box 742, Safford, Arizona.
Pike, Lloyd F., 25 A South Apts., University of Maine, Orono, Maine.
Plate, M. M., 55 W. Wood St., Palatine, Ill.
Pryor, F. D., Jr., Box 1086, AA & GM Br., TAF, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Rachmanoff, R. R., PO Box 186, La Jolla, Calif.
Rasmussen, Floyd D., 465 North 1st East, Logan, Utah.
Regan, R. L., 105-59 134th St., Richmond Hill 19, N. Y.
Regmund, Jerry, PO Box 748, Corpus Christi, Texas.
Rhodes, J. M., 30 Crunch St., Quincy, Mass.
Reichley, Martin S., c/o Lbc. Sch. of F. Serv., Georgetown University, Washington 7, D. C.
Rice, Herbert E., APO 676, c/o PM, Miami, Fl.
Richards, A. P., c/o Norman Baraby, Wilton, Maine.
Richtel, C. H., 536 Burkhard Ave., Mineola, N.Y.
Riteinger, John B., Cambridge, Minn.
Roberson, Albin B., Jr., 13 Center St., Newark, Delaware.
Roberson, William, Jr., 15 Duncan Ave., Jersey City 4, N. J.
Roddy, F. J., Memphis City Schs., 317 Poplar Ave., Memphis, Tenn.
Rohan, Thomas C., G-4 Sect., Third Army, APO 403, c/o PM, New York, N. Y.
Rolph, Herbert F., Box 1166, AAA Sch., Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Rotar, Louis, 1017 Pointz, Manhattan, Kansas.
Rouzie, R. C., Box 1586, Jamestown, N. D.
Ruddell, N. C., 6402 Ridgewood Ave., Chevy Chase 15, Md.
Russell, Thomas S., 197th OR Comp. Gp., Georgetown, Delaware.
Seager, Wesley C., 758 54th St., Brooklyn 20, N. Y.
Selas, Miguel, Protasio Tagle—36—Tacubaya, D.F., Mexico.
Santina, Matthew, Hq., RTC, S-3 Section, Ft. Ord, Calif.
Schaefer, Charles J., Jr., 1 Clearview Dr., Summit, New Jersey.
Schmidt, Daniel H., 308 Granville Dr., Silver Spring, Md.
Scott, John A., 1665 Rugby Road, Schenectady 8, N. Y.
Seagle, G. A., PO Box 1605, Charleston 26, W. Va.
Seidman, H. W., 150-28 223d St, Laurelton, Long Is., N. Y.
Sellman, Walter, 440 Park Ave., New York, N. Y.
Shagrin, R. A., 1281st ASU Cornell University, Ithaca, N. Y.
Sharma, A. P., AA School, Karachi, India.
Sherman, Welford A., Jr., Orange, Va.
Shenk, David E., Jr., 120 N. Grant St., Palmyra, Penna.
ShepHd, William M., Sig. Sec., Hq., 8th Army, PO 343, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Shoenaker, Kenneth W., 86 Dewey Ave., Buffalo 14, N. Y.
Shurt, Robert M., Sardis, Miss.
Shuss, Morris L., U. S. Army Section, Ground, APO, 676, c/o PM, Miami, Fla.
Sigley, W. B., 8004 Newell Court, Silver Spring, Md.
Simpson, Robert E., Valley Falls, Kansas.
Singer, Gabriel, 855 Fawell Court, Silver Spring, Md.
Skinner, Gordon M., 1446 Center St., Salem, Oregon.
Sloman, Mark J., Jr., 40 East 73d St., New York 21, N. Y.
Smart, Burton, Jr., Ft. Adams, R. I.
Smirnoff, R. L., 10014 Capitol View Ave., Silver Spring, Md.
Smith, H. G., 144 Lanier Ave., Danville, Va.
Smith, Robert L., Box 911, Albemarle, N. C.
Sparrow, Albert W., Jr., 2 Somerset Road, Baltimore 28, Md.
Spengler, J. T., Hq., Fifth Army, Chicago 15, Ill.
Strickland, Z. J., Jr., Forest Lake Rd., Tuscaloosa, Ala.
Syphers, Le Roy S., Gorham, Maine.
Taves, Kenneth J., 245 Freidensburg Rd., Mt. Penn, Reading, Penna.
Thelbaud, Reynal De St. M., 37 Oxford Rd., White Plains, N. Y.
Thorp, K. E., 242½ Houston Ave., Crookston, Minn.
Told, E. H., 9397 Hazel Ave., Norwood 12, Ohio.
Trenet, J. S., 1418 E. Superior St., Duluth, Minn.
Treu, William L., 1703 Landreth Bldg., 320 N. 4th Street, St. Louis, Mo.
Trussell, J. B., Jr., Okayama Mil. Gov't. Team, APO 317, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Tyler, William H., East Falls Church, Va.
Twiggell, R. M., 8139th Serv. Det., AES, APO 707, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Van Fleet, Henry B., 1647 Kent St., Columbus, Ohio.
Vesolnaski, Joseph, 1692 Barrow Ave., Bridgeport, Conn.
Viall, Richmond, Jr., 106 Benevolent St., Providence 6, R. I.
Walker, John K., Jr., Dept. of Mil. Sc. & Tactics, A&M College of Texas, College Station, Tex.
Walker, John W., Hq., EUCOM, Int. Div., APO 757, c/o PM, New York, N. Y.
Wallis, John P., 62 Tate St., Elberon, Ga.
Wanner, W. S., AAAS, Box 1394, Ft. Bliss, Tex.
Waring, David J., 4 North 8th St., St. Louis 1, Mo.
Watson, A. C., 4708 Huron Ave., S.E., Washington 20, D. C.
Webb, James W., 116 Polk St., Chapel Hill, N. C.
Wellerreiter, 8th Army Stockade, APO 343, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
White, John J., Jr., 167 Tulane Rd., Kenmore 17, N. Y.
Whitaker, Willis F., 415 S. East Ave., Oak Park, III.
Wiegand, J. A., 559 Bloomfield Ave., Montclair, N. J.
Wilkins, William W., Jr., Turberville, Va.
Wilis, H. J., 571 East 29th St., Brooklyn, N. Y.
Wilson, Glenn H., East Granby, RFD, Conn.
Winkler, John M., 3111 N.E. Tillamook St., Portland 12, Ore.
Wood, Oliver E., 2083d AAA AW Bn., PS, APO 331, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Woods, Sam P., 3803 Pennsylvania Ave., Kansas City, Mo.
Workman, William D., Jr., Churchill Circle, Columbus 62, S. C.
Wylie, Frank B., Jr., 1130 Fourth St., Beaver, Penna.
Wynn, Don, PO Box 363, Nederland, Texas.

CAPTAINS

Aberle, F. J., 216 Ramsey Ave., Bridgeville, Penna.
Adcox, G. E., 933d AAA AW Bn., APO 503, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Adkins, James W., 147 Redstone Park, Huntsville, Ala.
Adolphson, John F., 4241 Iowa Ave., St. Louis 11, Mo.
Pyles, Dixon L., 930 E. Fortification St., Jackson, Miss.

Ready, J. J., Jr., Fort Worden, Wash.

Redheffer, George E., 214 Sylvan Ave., Rutledge, Penna.

Reed, D. R., 814 Jefferson Ave., La Porte, Ind.

Rice, Merrill J., 537th C.A., 1675 Berkeley Ave., St. Paul 5, Minn.

Rice, L. F., 136 Madison Lane, Charlottesville, Va.

Ringen, H. S. C, 328th Ht Type 2, Little Falls, N. J.

Ringgold, C. L., 532d AAA Gun Bn., PS, APO 331, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Ringen, H. S. C, 328th Ht Type 2, Little Falls, N. J.

Robison, Edward A., 59 Ivy St., West Hempstead, N. Y.

Rogers, Jack P., 166-16 81st St., Jamaica, L. I., N. Y.


Roicki, S. A., 47 NL, Randolph Field, Sub-Base, San Angelo, Texas.

Rooney, T. R., 1204 Liberty Life Bldg., Columbia, S. C

Rosekelly, Lowell J., PO Box 817, Smithfield, Utah.


Rowley, Owen B., RFD 1, Lockport, Ill.

Rudloff, Louis A., 14 Butler Place, Brooklyn 17, N. Y.

Rudy, James H., 2334 Bond Ave., Drexel Hill, Penna.

Ruskin, B. W., 8356 Maxwell St., Detroit 13, Mich.

Russ, David Elijah, Jr., PO Box 164, Mt. Upton, N. Y.

Russell, Eugene O., Jr., The Du Pont Club, Parlin, N. J.

Rutherford, R. D., Box 1154, AA & GM Br., TAS, Ft. Bliss, Texas.

Sabine, Edward, 758th AAA Gun Bn., Florence Apt., Scanton, Penna.

Salmon, W. A., 239 Forest Ave., Glen Ridge, N. J.

Sanborn, Edwin H., 85 Codman St., Portland, Maine.

Sandager, Robert K., 707 University Ave., S.E., Minneapolis 14, Minn.

Sammons, John R., 311 10th Ave., W., Ashland, Wis.

Santopietro, Leobaldo, 1307 Bladina St., Utica, N. Y.

Sawers, G. M., Jr., 1014 S. 54th St., Philadelphia 43, Penna.

Schield, Theodore G., 1501 Locust St., Sterling, Ill.

Schenck, Herschel W., 221 5th Ave., Venice, Calif.

Scheimerethorn, John, 318 Westminster Road, Brooklyn, N. Y.

Schiedel, H. E., 1411 N.E. Fremont St., Portland 12, Oregon.


Schmud, Wilbur F., 205 Prospect St., Covington, Va.

Schneider, Charles L., 1546 Dayton Ave., St. Paul 4, Minn.

Schell, Peter, Jr., 2215 N.E. 22d Ave., Portland, Oregon.

Schulberg, Irving J., 6509 Hayes Dr., Los Angeles 36, Calif.

Schuler, Richard H., Shank Village, Orangetown, N. Y.

Schwager, Martin J., AGF Bd. No. 4, ASTS, Ft. Bliss, Texas.

Schwindt, Marvin W., 6531 Neff Road, Detroit 24, Mich.

Sciappa, C. J., 691 Honeyspot Rd., Stratford, Conn.

Scaggs, Leonard S., 488 Clifton St., Oakland 9, Calif.

Scott, William H., 200 Brewster Rd., Scarsdale, N. Y.

Seabrook, G. W., 1301 E. River St., El Paso, Texas.

Seal, K. W., 817½ N. Court St., Rockford, Ill.

Seymour, Whitney, Jr., Stockton, N. J.

Shafer, G. W., 3980 Rose Hill Ave., Cincinnati 29, Ohio.

Shapero, Paul D., 333 West 86th St., New York, N. Y.

Sharpe, Charles E., 34 E. 24th St., Chester, Penna.

Shea, Cornelius F., 1573 Trenton St., Denver 7, Colo.

Shearman, F. W., 4 Conway Rd., Bankstown, New South Wales, Australia.

Sheeham, James T., Hq., CAC Comm., APO 826, c/o PM, New Orleans, La.

Shelton, Frank W., Post Ord. Off., APO 831, c/o PM, Miami, Fla.

Shepard, J. L., 75 11th St., Troy, N. Y.

Sheppard, Dudley G., PO Box 4877, Warrington, Fla.

Shockley, James W., Box 185, Monett, Mo.

Shortall, John L., Jr., 56th M.G. Gp., APO 6-3, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.


Siegel, Frank C., 149 E. 45th St., New York, N. Y.

Sill, T. E., 1847 24th No., Seattle 2, Wash.

Simon, L. E., 76 Roosevelt Ave., East Orange, N. J.

Sinclair, R. L., 311 E. 5th St., Clare, Mich.

Sittik, B. E., San Marcos, AAF, San Marcos, Texas.

Skipper, John D., Btry. B, 532d AAA Gun Bn., APO 531, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Skolnick, Herbert, 70 Linden Blvd., Brooklyn 26, N. Y.

Skinner, C. E., Jr., 1504 First Ave., Middletown, Ohio.

Slowter, Edward E., 1275 Thornwood Place, Columbus 8, Ohio.

Smither, Joseph A., Jr., 20 Hudson Place, Edge-wood, R. I.


Smith, W. J., 209th AAA AW Bn., APO 503, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Smreker, Hubert P., 330 7th St., Niagara Falls, N. Y.

Sommerfeld, R. E., 2845 Whitewood, Pittsfield Village, Ann Arbor, Mich.

Southard, James E., Stoy, Ill.

Spain, William D., Mahopac, N. Y.

Spurgeon, G. W., Jr., 1475 Vroom Rd., Spencerport, N. Y.

Stacy, R. S., 3506 S. Wakefield St., Arlington, Va.

Stamm, Claus H., 504 Cook St., Lewistown, Mont.

Stephenson, Frederick J., Gambles Store No. 1282, Great Falls, Mont.

Stevens, Dudley F., 2611 Franklin Ave., Seattle, Wash.

Stewart, Loren F., Hq., 57th AART Bn., Ft. Ord, Calif.


Stinson, W. H., 725 14th Ave., Prospect Park, Penna.


Stoll, Roy, Jr., 410 South Ave., Wilkinsburg, Penna.

Stoughton, R., Dartmouth Club, 37 E. 39th St., New York, N. Y.

Strouss, C. M., 207 W. Third St., Mount Carmel, Penna.

Studer, Carl J., Jr., Port Ewen, N. Y.


Summer, Charles F., Jr., 423 1/2 Waccamaw Ave., Columbia, S. C.

Sund, J. H., 5348 Roe Blvd., Kansas City, Kansas City, Mo.

Taylor, A. B., Box 1491 Spartanburg, S. C.

Taylor, R. R., 704 E. Fourth St., Greenville, N. C.

Tedesco, Vincent F., Jr., 1020 Haddock St., Anchorage, Alaska.

Terwilliger, F. W., 1205 Climax St., Lansing, Mich.

Terwilliger, L. H., 3712 Avenue R, Galveston, Texas.

Testa, John F., 7108th MGD, Gorizia Area, APO 88, c/o PM, New York, N. Y.

Thatcher, Theodore O., 700 S. 31st St., Apt. 2C Richmond, Calif.

Thews, Vernon W., 2300 S. Pacific Ave., San Pedro, Calif.


Thompson, Garr, 3615 N. Palmsra, Apt. 10, Portland, Oregon.

Tillberg, Harlin E., 3508 Columbus Ave., Minneapolis 7, Minn.

Tillery, G. G., 846 41st St., Norfolk 8, Va.

Torrisi, Fred T., 151 Garden St., Lawrence, Mass.

Townsend, William J., 530 N. 12th St., Las Vegas, Nev.

Train, T. S., 2680 Bell St., Vienna Woods, Sacramento, Calif.

Trevett, Donald A., 610 S. Kennedy Ave., Tyler, Texas.


Trippe, E. R., 24 A Prospect Ave., Port Washington, N. Y.

Troska, Adam, River Road, Lumberville, Penna.

Trout, J. G., 130 E. Clay St., Lancaster, Penna.

Truell, R. J., New York University, Box 236, New York, N. Y.

Turitto, Thomas, 3462 Bailey Ave., New York, N. Y.

Turner, E. E., 1132 Alabemairle St., St. Paul 3, Minn.

Turner, George W., Box 21, Lurberne, Ala.

Tyng, John S., Palmyra, Va.

Tysinger, James W., Box 1105, Ga. Tech, Atlanta, Ga.

Upchurch, Robert P., Box 251, Livingston, Ala.

Vessiny, Albert G., 731 Quincy St., Brooklyn, N. Y.


Voss, A. W., 406 S. 12th St., Omaha, Neb.


Wachter, L. R., 2261 63rd St., 1st Floor Rear, Brooklyn, N. Y.

Wagman, Joel I., 383 Harvard St., Cambridge, Mass.

Waldeen, Upp, 451/2 Greeley Ave., Bend, Ore.

Walker, Avery W., 912 College Ave., Niagara Falls, N. Y.

Walker, Norman M., Rt. 2, Box 232 B, El Paso, Texas.

Walton, C. F., PO Box 1191, Columbia, S. C.

Ward, Samuel B., Box 93, Elm Rd., Chester Hts., Penna.


Warshaw, Bernard, Walterboro, S. C.

Waterman, J. G., 520 Riley St., Apt. 1, Santa Rosa, Calif.

Watts, G. E., Jr., 3524 Bandini, Riverside, Calif.

Weaver, R. J., 903d AAA AW Bn., APO 827, c/o PM, New Orleans, La.

Weeks, Carl G., 2227 Gold St., El Paso, Texas.

Wehde, H. C., Jr., 284 S. Columbus Ave., Mpls., Vernon, N. Y.

Weinstein, Bernard, Jasper, Ala.

Weldon, W. W., 410 S. Court St., Cirelville, Ohio.

Wellman, Arthur A., c/o Hatton, 8201 4th Ave., Brooklyn, N. Y.

Werner, Albert F., 341 Lincoln Ave., Landsdown, Penna.

Werner, F. A., 351 S. Center St., Bloomington, Ill.

Westbury, John R., Jr., Box 123, Cheraw, S. C.

Westerdahl, William E., 3820 43d Ave., South Minneapolis 6, Minn.
Westphal, R. H., 1204 Hudson St., Hoboken, N. J.
White, Clarence E., Jr., 5334 Gilson Ave., St. Louis, Mo.
White, Grady O., Box 1384, AA & GM Br., TAS, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
White, Henry Packard, PO Box 1852, Cleveland, Ohio.
White, Thomas A., 44 12th St., Columbus 1, Ohio.
Williamson, R. H., Jr., Box 1384, AAAS, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Williamson, J. C., Guard Hill Rd., Mt. Kisco, N. Y.
Wilcox, Jake M., PO Box 981, Pinehurst, N. C.
Williams, Henry Packard, PO Box 1852, Cleveland, Ohio.
Williams, Thomas A., 44 12th St., Columbus 1, Ohio.
Williamson, R. H., Jr., Box 1384, AAAS, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Williams, G. V., 377th AAA Wpns. Bn., MOB, 3321 Clairton Blvd., Pittsburgh, Penna.
Williams, Joseph J., 14 E. Myrtle St., Flint 5, Mich.
Williams, Vernon D., 611 Liberty St., Findlay, Ohio.
Williams, Vern D., 611 Liberty St., Findlay, Ohio.
Window, J. G., 1148 Fifth Ave., New York, N. Y.
Wing, Ernest W., 1028 Esplanade, Pelham 65, N. Y.
Wolf, Martin J., 1936 Hendricks Ave., Jackson ville 7, Fla.
Worthing, E. W., PO Box 988, Denver, Colo.
Wright, G. W., Jr., 91 Academy Hill Terrace, Stratford, Conn.
Bennett, Samuel C., 1027 West 108th St., Los Angeles, Calif.
Benton, John C., 245 S. Main St., Wharton, N. J.
Bice, Vernon M., University Station, Box 4546, Tucson, Ariz.
Bloom, J. D., 223 West 14th St., New York 11, N. Y.
Bond, John B., 317 E. University Prky., Baltimore 18, Md.
Boock, Oscar R., 801 Mounds St., Valparaiso, Ind.
Boyd, Charles M., 69-66 108th St., Forest Hills, L. I., N. Y.
Broad, Bruce J., 793 W. Parkview Ave., St. Paul 3, Minn.
Brod, R. H., 2 Grace Court, Apt. 1-E, Brooklyn 2, N. Y.
Brooks, Samuel I., 14 Jayne Place, Baldwin, N. Y.
Brown, Eric S., 408 E. Mill, Plymouth, Wis.
Buffalano, Frank A., AGF Bd. 4, Ft. Bliss, Tex.
Carr, C. H., 711 Collins Ave., Miami, Fla.
Carrington, Wallace J., AGF Bd. 4, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Calver, George I., 406 High St., Williamsport 34, Penna.
Campbell, Alfred O., 180 John St., Princeton, N. J.
Campbell, C. M., Rt. 3, Jonesboro, Tenn.
Carr, C. H., 711 Collins Ave., Miami, Fla.
Carrington, Wallace J., AGF Bd. 4, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Calver, George I., 406 High St., Williamsport 34, Penna.
Campbell, Alfred O., 180 John St., Princeton, N. J.
Campbell, C. M., Rt. 3, Jonesboro, Tenn.
Carr, C. H., 711 Collins Ave., Miami, Fla.
Carrington, Wallace J., AGF Bd. 4, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Calver, George I., 406 High St., Williamsport 34, Penna.
Campbell, Alfred O., 180 John St., Princeton, N. J.
Campbell, C. M., Rt. 3, Jonesboro, Tenn.
Carr, C. H., 711 Collins Ave., Miami, Fla.
Carrington, Wallace J., AGF Bd. 4, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Calver, George I., 406 High St., Williamsport 34, Penna.
Campbell, Alfred O., 180 John St., Princeton, N. J.
Campbell, C. M., Rt. 3, Jonesboro, Tenn.
Carr, C. H., 711 Collins Ave., Miami, Fla.
Carrington, Wallace J., AGF Bd. 4, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Calver, George I., 406 High St., Williamsport 34, Penna.
Campbell, Alfred O., 180 John St., Princeton, N. J.
Campbell, C. M., Rt. 3, Jonesboro, Tenn.
Carr, C. H., 711 Collins Ave., Miami, Fla.
Carrington, Wallace J., AGF Bd. 4, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Calver, George I., 406 High St., Williamsport 34, Penna.
Campbell, Alfred O., 180 John St., Princeton, N. J.
Campbell, C. M., Rt. 3, Jonesboro, Tenn.
Carr, C. H., 711 Collins Ave., Miami, Fla.
Carrington, Wallace J., AGF Bd. 4, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Calver, George I., 406 High St., Williamsport 34, Penna.
Campbell, Alfred O., 180 John St., Princeton, N. J.
Campbell, C. M., Rt. 3, Jonesboro, Tenn.
Carr, C. H., 711 Collins Ave., Miami, Fla.
Carrington, Wallace J., AGF Bd. 4, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Calver, George I., 406 High St., Williamsport 34, Penna.
Campbell, Alfred O., 180 John St., Princeton, N. J.
Campbell, C. M., Rt. 3, Jonesboro, Tenn.
Carr, C. H., 711 Collins Ave., Miami, Fla.
Carrington, Wallace J., AGF Bd. 4, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Calver, George I., 406 High St., Williamsport 34, Penna.
Campbell, Alfred O., 180 John St., Princeton, N. J.
Campbell, C. M., Rt. 3, Jonesboro, Tenn.
Carr, C. H., 711 Collins Ave., Miami, Fla.
Carrington, Wallace J., AGF Bd. 4, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Calver, George I., 406 High St., Williamsport 34, Penna.
Campbell, Alfred O., 180 John St., Princeton, N. J.
Campbell, C. M., Rt. 3, Jonesboro, Tenn.
Carr, C. H., 711 Collins Ave., Miami, Fla.
Carrington, Wallace J., AGF Bd. 4, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Calver, George I., 406 High St., Williamsport 34, Penna.

Charnley, Ralph E., 3 Williams St., Centredale, R. I.

Clark, Richard C., Camden, Delaware.

Clark, W. A., Jr., 2 Acton Place, Annapolis, Md.

Cline, H. N., Hq., 138th AAA Gp., APO 503, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Cole, Ward W., 119 State St., Batavia, N. Y.


Cooper, R. G., 3247th Sig. Base Maine Co., APO 503, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Coppola, William W., 111 N. Adams St., Carthage, Ill.

Curtin, Robert E., Information Sect., Hq., AGF, Washington 25, D. C.

Curtin, Theodore, J., Jr., 117 Coast Blvd., La Jolla, Calif.

Daggett, Lyle E., AAA Sch., Box 574, Ft. Bliss, Texas.


Day, John G., Martin, S. D.

Deane, Thomas J., 2015 University Ave., New York 53, N. Y.

Demone, C. J., 32 Main St., Hyannis, Mass.

Denes, George M., 596 Green Ave., Brooklyn 16, N. Y.

DeRosset, Philip N., 1173 Coast Blvd., La Jolla, Calif.

Derrick, Charles D., CIC Det., Hq., Sixth Army, Presidio of San Francisco, Calif.

Dilley, Roy E., Loogootee, Ind.

DiNardo, Carlo, 68 Alabama Ave., N. Y.

Distel, Robert E., 547 Bailey St., East Lansing, Mich.

Donohue, J. T., Jr., Information Sect., Hq., AGF, Washington 25, D. C.


Dyer, C. P., 11 Grove St., Winchester, Mass.

Ebel, H. N., 8415-86th St., Woodhaven, L. I., N. Y.

Edenbo, Bill, 79 Poquonock Ave., Windsor, Conn.

Effron, Martin, Box 1-306, R. D. 1, Princeton, N. J.

Efron, Henry F., 320 Maple St., Brooklyn, N. Y.

Emery, James L., Hanau Eng. Depot, Hanau, Germany, APO 757, c/o PM, New York, N. Y.

Emerson, William A., 56 Van Houten Place, Bellerive, N. J.

Emery, James L., Hanau Eng. Depot, Hanau, Germany, APO 757, c/o PM, New York, N. Y.

Erdman, George W., 1563 Louisiana St., Vallejo, Calif.

Ernst, Maurice E., 8 Roller Road, Charleston 4, W. Va.

Evans, Charles W., 1208 Murfreesboro Rd., Nashville, Tenn.

Fabre, H. A., 8 N.W. 10th, Pendleton, Oregon.
Hearn, K. P., 185 Cottage St., Pawtucket, R. I.
Heald, Walter S., Jr., 311 South Church St.,
Charlotte I., N. C.
Heckathorn, R. E., R. R. No.1, Kenton, Ohio.
Heimer, Gerard, Materiel Dept., TAS, Ft. Sill,
Okla.
Hendrix, Thomas H., Box 447, Yazoo City,
Miss.
Henry, Wales B., 823 Asylum Ave., Hartford,
Conn.
Hickey, D. W., III, Pers. Sec., ORP, Stoneman,
Calif.
Higgins, Francis J., 230 East 96th St., New
York 28, N. Y.
Hilton, James H., 1225 Boston Road, Bronx
56, N. Y.
Hoff, Arthur P., 404 Holly Ave., St. Paul 2,
Minn.
Hoffman, Charles P., 37 South 18th St., Allen-
town, Penna.
Holmes, L. J., Jr., Lanark Manor, Allentown,
Penna.
Holton, Kenneth A., R. F. D. 4, Logansport,
Ind.
Horkott, John, 60 Gerard Ave., Binghamton,
N. Y.
Horne, P. E., 61 Colburn St., North Attleboro,
Mass.
Huston, Arthur M., Hqs., AA & GM Br., TAS,
Box 765, Ft. Bliss, Texas.
Hutchinson, Lewis J., 702 W. 137th Place, Haw-
thorne, Calif.
Hutton, John H., 453 S. Spring St., Los Angeles
13, Calif.
Imming, Bernard J., 3659 Harrison Blvd., Kan-
sas City 3, Mo.
Ibid, Edwin W., Oakland, Miss.
Israel, Martin A., 3191 54th St., Woodside,
N. Y.
Johns, C. N., Hq., Karlsruhe Mil. Sub-Post,
APO 403, c/o PM, New York, N. Y.
Johnson, D. R., 836 Sunnyside Ave., Chicago,
Ill.
Johnson, Paul C., 9114 Wire Ave., Silver Spring,
Md.
Jones, J. D., 4318 Chestnut St., Philadelphia,
Penna.
Jones, M. H., 5333 Upton Ave., So., Minneapo-
lis, Minn.
Jorgenson, Kristen, 13642 Military Rd., Seattle
88, Wash.
Keens, Thomas E., 141 Bond St., Hartford,
Conn.
Kelly, J. P., Jr., 3250 Perry Ave., Bronx 67,
N. Y.
Kennedy, John C., Jr., 503 S. Penn St., Wheel-
ing, W. Va.
Kennell, R. L., 51 Otis St., Newtonville, Mass.
Kessinger, John L., PO Box 386, Silver Spring,
Md.
Kimsey, Everett J., 501 West 34th St., Wil-
ington 218, Del.
Kleiner, Sidney, 1512 Park Place, Brooklyn 13,
N. Y.
Klenik, R. L., Hq., 7934d M. P. Br., APO 696,
c/o PM, New York, N. Y.

Stidger, J. W., 1800 Wordsworth Ave., St. Paul 2, Minn.

Stone, Kenneth E., 142 Broadway, Hanover, Penna.

Sturges, Ward H., 11 Waller Ave., Ossining, N. Y.

Sullivan, Francis J., Jr., 38 Rutland Road, Brooklyn 25, N. Y.

Sweers, P. C., Jr., 8104th SU Det., RYKOM, APO 331, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Taylor, John A., PO Box 17, Titusville, N. J.

Taylor, P. B., Jr., 2722 Elmwood Ave., Berkeley 5, Calif.

Tazelaar, C. H., California, Md.

Thompson, Charles R., 220 West 12th St., Portland 3, Wash.

Thompson, }. F., Jr., Michigan Rd., New Canaan, Conn.

Tolbert, Charles C., Btry. C, 933d AAA Gun Bn., APO 503, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.


Toney, Thomas, 529 E. Long Ave., New Castle, Penna.

Towles, Woodson P., Jr., 831 South Chilton, Tyler, Texas.

Tuttle, Verne C., 764th AAA AW Bn., 58 Highland Ave., Binghamton, N. Y.

Underwood, B. L., 1723/2 E. Magnolia Ave., Knoxville, Tenn.

Vieger, V. V., 639 Devine Ave., Elizabeth, N. J.

White, Edgar L., Jr., Hq., 865th AAA AW Bn., APO 956, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.


Williams, George C., Jr., Spec. Serv. Sect., RYKOM, APO 331, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Williamson, John G., 2115 F St., N.W., Washington 6, D. C.

Wills, J. K., Box No. 6, Lynnhaven, Va.

Willment, Milton A., 165 Broadway, New York 6, N. Y.

Wittenman, A. D. H., 120 W. 62d St., New York, N. Y.


Wroten, Jack M., 351 N. Western Ave., Los Angeles, Calif.

Yetter, Fred W., 517 McCabe Ave., Baltimore 59, Del.


Zenco, John, 127 Kenilworth Rd., Merion, Penna.

CHIEF WARRANT OFFICERS

Beattie, James, Hq., So. Sector Comd., APO 956, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Benham, Leland F., 1206 E. Livingston Ave., Orlando, Fla.

Brunson, Gail E., 1915 Grand Ave., Astoria, Ore.

Huntoon, John E., Jr., Hq., Camp Rizal, APO 707, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Stanley, Charles M., 441st CIC Det., APO 500, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

Potts, Edward J., Hqs., 584th AAA Gun Bn., Ft. Bliss, Texas.

WARRANT OFFICERS (J. G.)

Pendleton, Joe L., 1442 Cottage St., Fort Myers, Fla.

Pusey, R. A., P.O.B. 45, Fort Hancock, N. J.

FIRST SERGEANTS

Bradley, J. V., Tabor Hotel, 4522 Whittier Blvd., Los Angeles 22, Calif.


Doherty, E. B., 25th Airdrome Sqd., APO 855, c/o PM, Miami, Fla.

Suter, Edwin, 8th Cav. Regt., APO 201-2, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

MASTER SERGEANTS

Chilson, G. H., 60th AA Gp., APO 244, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.


Dunkum, A. R., 3829 Kipling Ave., St. Louis Park, Minn.

Emery, Edwin L., Det. 5, State Arsenal, St. Augustine, Fla.

Eisler, K. G., Box C, University, Alabama.

Gossler, W. G., 2575 Summit St., Columbus 2, Ohio.

Hanna, Martin L., Amador Apts. 167, 350 Glenn, Vallejo, Calif.
Hentgen, Arnold W., 2432 Lyndale Ave., So., Minneapolis, Minn.
Ling, David E., 204 Walnut St., Peekskill, N. Y.
Miller, Charles R., 609 Van Buren St., N.W., Washington, D. C.
Nettles, E. X., 3d MRS, APO 503, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Nevel, William S., 611 Washington, Martins Ferry, Ohio.
Richardson, V. K., Hq., 14th Con. Regt., APO 800, c/o PM, New York, N. Y.
Rugg, E. H., 227 Soundview Ave., Bridgeport 5, Conn.
Sorrels, Aaron I., 8305 S. Constance, Chicago 17, Ill.
Wong, Alfred J., 124 S. 9th St., Brawley, Calif.

TECHNICAL SERGEANTS
Aldridge, George W., Jr., PO Box 106, Queens-town, Md.
Braisted, H. P., Hq. Btry., 208th AAA, Conn. NG, 345 St. John St., New Haven, Conn.
Clegg, W. J., 385 Morris St., Albany 3, N. Y.
Kelly, Martin J., Casual APO, Camp Stoneman, Calif.
Myers, Elbert, 183 Maple Ave., Alcoa, Tenn.
Parks, H. C., 753d AAA Gun Bn., APO 503, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.
Wright, Wilbur J., Btry. D, HDB, APO 826, c/o PM, New Orleans, La.
Wronski, S. F., 457 Prcht. F.A. Bn., APO 468, Unit 2, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif.

STAFF SERGEANTS
Braswell, John E., USMC, 1st AAA Bn, c/o FPO, San Francisco, Calif.
Coakley, C. H., 2469 E. Main St., Bexley, Columbus 9, Ohio.
McAfee, John A., 260 W. Griggs St, Las Cruces, New Mexico.
Zuller, Edward C., Lonesome St., Fairport, N. Y.

TECHNICIAN THIRD GRADE
Ankner, Charles J., Jr., 29 Lawn Ave., New Rochelle, N. Y.

SERGEANTS
De Block, J. T., 107 James St., Lodi, N. J.
Diehl, F. A., Jr., 212 Bedford St., Rochester 9, N. Y.
Fetterman, R. B., 17 Main, Box 187, Lyndora, Penna.
Henry, William, 106 Kengsley St., Buffalo 8, N. Y.
Hafer, R. H., 212 Laymen St., Pico, Calif.
Jensen, B. W., 1119 Tyler St., Topeka, Kansas.
Little, Robert W., South St., West Winfield N. Y.
Petersen, Stanley C., RFD, No. 1, Deerfield, Wis.
Thomas, Willard L., APO 26920, c/o PM, New York, N. Y.

CORPORALS
Fanelli, Thomas, 727 S. Mildred St., Philadelphia 45, Penna.
Lloyd, George F., 4133 Kamerling Ave., Chicago 51, Ill.

TECHNICIANS FIFTH GRADE
Beard, A. B., 30 Carr Fork, Bingham Canyon, Utah.
Sommer, George F., 6050 Park Ave., Indianapolis 5, Ind.

PRIVATE FIRST CLASS
Cummings, Merrill A., B S T R, Ft. Monmouth, N. J.
Davison, George W., Jr., 308 East E St., Ontario, Calif.
Donahue, R. H., PO Box 233, Folsom, Calif.
Howell, Charles F., 3780 Schust Rd., 7, Saginaw, Michigan.
Hunt, John H., 633 Hancock St., Brooklyn, N. Y.
Jacques, Felix, Det. 4, 1262d ASU, Fort Dix, N. J.
Mognine, Foy R., 1065 Paradise Blvd., Hayward, Calif.
Montoya, Jose R., Co. C, 397th MP Bn., APO 65, c/o PM, New York, N. Y.

PRIVATES
Ahrens, Walter, 203 Piedmont St., Ext., Waterbury 81, Conn.
Davis, Charles D., 801 Faxon Ave., San Francisco 12, Calif.
Moliterno, Joseph A., 25-36 36th St., Astoria 1, L. I., N. Y.

CIVILIANS, OTHER SERVICES OR NO RANK INDICATED
Adams, Ogden R., Jr., 620 Allen's Creek Road, Rochester 10, N. Y.
Angelini, Commodore O., 2123 Leroy Place, N.W., Washington 8, D. C.
Arbatsky, 100 W. 88th St., New York 24, N. Y.
Ronson, Samuel B., 5331 Forbes St., Pittsburgh 17, Penna.

Ballantine, R. E., R.F.D. 1, Lyndon, Ohio.

Ballinger, H. R., 6213 Chabot Rd., Oakland 11, Calif.

Barks, John C., 517 Cypress Ave., Vallejo, Calif.

Bartels, Ralph J., 510 Wall St., Joplin, Mo.

Bartolletti, Jos., 6618 Leeds St., Philadelphia 31, Penna.

Bech, W. A., 53 Elmwood Terrace, East Paterson, N. J.

Belka, Kenneth, 1209 Renton Highlands, Renton, Wash.

Belk, Eugene E., Delaware Trust Bldg., Wilmington, Del.

Bold, John W., Denman Tire & Rubber Co., Warren, Ohio.

Brown, Bowman A., 100 Telegraph Bldg., Harrisburg, Penna.

Burt, Arthur S., 197 Wainwright Court, Arlington, Calif.

Camino, Fernando G., Spanish Embassy, Washington, D. C.

Carroll, Berry W., Jr., 3132 Westminster, Dallas, Texas.

Carroll, James F., 89-42 217th St., Queens Village, New York, N. Y.

Chapin, Leon D., Federal Court, Springfield 5, Mass.


Coughlan, John E., 35-40 83d St., Jackson Heights N. Y.

Coxwell, Dean E., Main St., Wrenham, Mass.

Colonnelli, J., 3450 Avocado Ave., Canyon Crest, Riverside, Calif.

Compagnone, A. N., Room 752, YMCA, Huntington Ave., Boston, Mass.

Conover, Louis W., 404 4th Ave., New York 16, N. Y.

Crawford, Howard F., Jr., 122 Fairview Rd., Springield, Del. County, Penna.

Daub, Harry, 302 Livingston St., Peoria 6, Ill.

DeMerritt, Robert E., 157 Governor St., Providence 6, Rhode Island.

Dernburg, Fred, 6231 Drexel Ave., Los Angeles 36, Calif.

Dinsmore, Robert C., 517 Ketchum St., Bay City, Michigan.

Drowne, H. Russell, Jr., 136 East 67th St., New York 21, N. Y.

Drfoos, Hugh, 505 Carroll St., Brooklyn 15, N. Y.

Dunnington, R. E., 820 S. College, Springfield, Ill.

Dunnington, Thomas A., 10419 Lorain Ave., Silver Spring, Md.


Evans, W. C., 479 Alameda Ave., Youngstown 4, Ohio.

Falkena, Clifford A., Gen. Electric Co., PRD, 1 River Road, Schenectady 5, N. Y.

Fleming, Charles H., 2900 Woodstock Ave., Forest Glenn, Md.

Fleming, Robert F., 1634 S. Wesley Ave., Berwyn Illinois.

Gallagher, Francis C., Michigan Bluff, Calif.

Geisbecker, John, 206 East Central St., Franklin, Mass.
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